A new definition of resolvents for convex functions on complete geodesic spaces 完備測地距離空間上の凸関数に対するリゾルベント の新しい定義

東邦大学・理学部 梶村拓豊 Takuto Kajimura Department of Information Science Toho University 東邦大学・理学部 木村泰紀 Yasunori Kimura Department of Information Science Toho University

Abstract

In this paper, we propose a new resolvent in complete geodesic spaces and we show that it is well-defined as a single valued mapping. Moreover, we propose spherical nonspreadingness of sum-type and we show that the new resolvent satisfies this condition.

1 Introduction

Let X be a complete CAT(0) space and f a proper lower semicontinuous convex function from X into $]-\infty,\infty]$. A resolvent for f is defined by

$$J_f x = \operatorname*{argmin}_{y \in X} \left\{ f(y) + d(y, x)^2 \right\}$$

for all $x \in X$. In 1998, Mayer [7] proved its well-definedness; see also Jost [2]. It is known that J_f is nonspreading, that is,

$$2d(J_f x, J_f y)^2 \leq d(J_f x, y)^2 + d(x, J_f y)^2$$

for all $x, y \in X$. See [6] for more details.

Let X be a complete CAT(1) space with $d(v, v') < \pi/2$ for all $v, v' \in X$ and f a proper lower semicontinuous convex function from X into $[-\infty, \infty]$. In this case, a

$$Q_f x = \operatorname*{argmin}_{y \in X} \left\{ f(y) + \tan d(y, x) \sin d(y, x) \right\}$$

for all $x \in X$. In 2016, Kimura and Kohsaka [5] proved its well-definedness. They also showed that the resolvent is spherically nonspreading of product-type, that is,

$$\cos^2 d(Q_f x, Q_f y) \ge \cos d(Q_f x, y) \cos d(x, Q_f y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

In this paper, we propose a new resolvent in a complete CAT(1) space and we show that it is well-defined as a single-valued mapping. Moreover, we propose spherical nonspreadingness of sum-type and we show that the new resolvent satisfies this condition.

2 Preliminaries

Let X be a metric space with metric d. We denote by $\mathcal{F}(T)$ the set of all fixed points of a mapping from X into itself. A continuous mapping $c: [0, l] \to X$ is called geodesic if c satisfies c(0) = x, c(l) = y and d(c(s), c(t)) = |s-t| for all $x, y \in X$ and $s, t \in [0, 1]$. Its image, which is denoted by [x, y], is called geodesic segment with endpoints x and X. X is said to be a geodesic space if there exists [x, y] for all $x, y \in X$. In this paper, when X is a geodesic space, its geodesic is always assumed to be unique.

Let X be a geodesic space. There exists a unique point $z \in [x, y]$ such that $d(x, z) = (1 - \alpha)d(x, y)$ and $d(z, y) = \alpha d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. This point is called convex combination of x and X, which is denoted by $\alpha x \oplus (1 - \alpha)y$. A subset $C \subset X$ is said to be convex if $[x, y] \subset X$ for all $x, y \in C$. A geodesic triangle of vertices $x, y, z \in X$ is defined by $[x, y] \cup [y, z] \cup [z, x]$, which is denoted by $\Delta(x, y, z)$.

Let M_{κ}^2 be a two dimensional model space for all $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$. For example, $M_0^2 = \mathbb{R}^2$, $M_1^2 = \mathbb{S}^2$ and $M_{-1}^2 = \mathbb{H}^2$. A comparison triangle to $\triangle(x, y, z) \subset X$ of vertices $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in M_{\kappa}^2$ is defined by $[\bar{x}, \bar{y}] \cup [\bar{y}, \bar{z}] \cup [\bar{z}, \bar{x}]$ with $d(x, y) = d(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), d(y, x) = d(\bar{y}, \bar{z})$ and $d(z, x) = d(\bar{z}, \bar{x})$, which is denoted by $\overline{\triangle}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$. $\bar{z} \in [\bar{x}, \bar{y}]$ is called comparison point of $z \in [x, y]$ if $d(x, z) = d(\bar{x}, \bar{z})$ holds. For all $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, X is called a CAT(κ) space if $d(p, q) \leq d(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$ holds whenever $\bar{p}, \bar{q} \in \bar{\Delta}$ are comparison points for $p, q \in \Delta$. In general, if $\kappa < \kappa'$, then the CAT(κ) spaces are CAT(κ') spaces [1]. The following lemma is important to show the main theorem.

Lemma 2.1 ([3]). Let X be a complete CAT(1) space, $x, y, z \in X$ with $d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x) < 2\pi$, and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\cos d(\alpha x \oplus (1 - \alpha)y, z) \sin d(x, y)$$

$$\geq \cos d(x, z) \sin \alpha d(x, y) + \cos d(y, z) \sin(1 - \alpha) d(x, y).$$

Lemma 2.2 ([4]). Let X, x, y, z, and α be the same as in Lemma 2.1. Then

$$\cos d\left(\frac{1}{2}x \oplus \frac{1}{2}y, z\right) \cos \frac{1}{2}d(x, y) \ge \frac{1}{2}\cos d(x, z) + \frac{1}{2}\cos d(y, z).$$

Lemma 2.3 ([5]). Let X, x, y, z, and α be the same as in Lemma 2.1. If $d(x, z) < \pi/2$ and $d(y, z) < \pi/2$, then

$$\cos d(\alpha x \oplus (1-\alpha)y, z) \ge \alpha \cos d(x, z) + (1-\alpha) \cos d(y, z).$$

Let X be a geodesic space and f a function from X into $]-\infty,\infty]$. The function f is said to be lower semicontinuous if the set $\{x \in X \mid f(x) \leq a\}$ is closed for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$. If f is continuous, then it is lower semicontinuous. The domain of f is defined by $\{x \in X \mid f(x) \in \mathbb{R}\}$, which is denoted by domf. The function f is said to be proper if domf is nonempty. The function is said to be convex if

$$f(\alpha x \oplus (1-\alpha)y) \leq \alpha f(x) + (1-\alpha)f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1[$.

Lemma 2.4 ([5]). Let X be a complete CAT(1) space with $d(v, v') < \pi/2$ for all $v, v' \in X$, f a proper lower semicontinuous convex function from X into $]-\infty, \infty]$ and p an element of X. Suppose that $f(x_n) \to \infty$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of X with $d(p, x_n) \to \pi/2$. Then $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ is nonempty. Further, if

$$x, y \in \operatorname{dom} f, x \neq y \Rightarrow f\left(\frac{1}{2}x \oplus \frac{1}{2}y\right) < \frac{1}{2}f(x) + \frac{1}{2}f(y),$$

then $\operatorname{argmin}_X f$ consists of one point.

Lemma 2.5 ([5]). Let X be a complete CAT(1) space with $d(v, v') < \pi/2$ for all $v, v' \in X$. Then every proper lower semicontinuous convex function from X into $]-\infty,\infty]$ is bounded below.

3 Resolvents for convex functions in complete CAT(1) spaces

Let X be a metric space and T a mapping from X into itself. Then T is said to be spherically nonspreading of sum-type if

$$2\cos d(Tx, Ty) \ge \cos d(Tx, y) + \cos d(x, Ty)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. It is obvious that if T is spherically nonspreading of sum-type, then T is spherically nonspreading of product-type.

In this section, we show that a new resolvent

$$R_f x := \operatorname*{argmin}_{y \in X} \{ f(y) - \log(\cos d(y, x)) \}$$

is well-defined, where f is a proper lower semicontinuous convex function. Moreover we show the fundamental properties of the new resolvent.

Throughout this section, we suppose that X is a complete CAT(1) space with $d(v, v') < \pi/2$ for all $v, v' \in X$.

Lemma 3.1. Let f be a proper lower semicontinuous convex function from X into $]-\infty,\infty]$. If

$$g(\cdot) = f(\cdot) - \log(\cos d(\cdot, p))$$

for each $p \in X$, then g is a proper lower semicontinuous convex function from X into $]-\infty,\infty]$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1[$. From Lemma 2.3, we know that

$$\cos d(\alpha x \oplus (1-\alpha)y, p) \ge \alpha \cos d(x, p) + (1-\alpha) \cos d(y, p)$$

holds for all $p \in X$. Since $-\log t$ is decreasing and convex for all $t \ge 0$, we get

$$-\log(\cos d(\alpha x \oplus (1-\alpha)y, p)) \leq -\log(\alpha \cos d(x, p) + (1-\alpha) \cos d(y, p))$$
$$\leq -\alpha \log(\cos d(x, p)) - (1-\alpha) \log(\cos d(y, p)).$$

Thus g is convex. On the other hand, it is obvious that g is proper and lower semicontinuous.

Theorem 3.2. Let f be a proper lower semicontinuous convex function from X into $|-\infty,\infty|$. If

$$g(\cdot) = f(\cdot) - \log(\cos d(\cdot, p))$$

for each $p \in X$, then $\operatorname{argmin}_X g$ consists of one point.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of X with $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, p) = \pi/2$ for each $p \in X$. Then, it is obvious that $\lim_{n\to\infty} (-\log(\cos d(x_n, p))) \to \infty$. On the other hand, from Lemma 2.5, we know that there exists $K \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) \geq K$ for all $x \in X$. So, we get

$$g(x_n) \ge K + \log(\cos d(x_n, p)) \to \infty$$

and hence $g(x_n) \to \infty$. From Lemma 2.4 and 3.1, $\operatorname{argmin}_X g$ is nonempty.

We next show that $\operatorname{argmin}_X g$ consists of one point. Suppose that $x, y \in \operatorname{dom} f$ with $x \neq y$. Then, Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$\cos d\left(\frac{1}{2}x \oplus \frac{1}{2}y, p\right) > \cos d\left(\frac{1}{2}x \oplus \frac{1}{2}y, p\right) \cos \frac{1}{2}d(x, y)$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\cos d(x, p) + \frac{1}{2}\cos d(y, p)$$

for all $p \in X$. Further, since $-\log t$ is decreasing and convex for all t > 0, we get

$$-\log\left(\cos d\left(\frac{1}{2}x\oplus\frac{1}{2}y,p\right)\right) < -\log\left(\frac{1}{2}\cos d(x,p) + \frac{1}{2}\cos d(y,p)\right)$$

$$\leq -\frac{1}{2}\log(\cos d(x,p)) - \frac{1}{2}\log(\cos d(y,p)).$$

Since f is convex, the inequality

$$g\left(\frac{1}{2}x\oplus\frac{1}{2}y\right) < \frac{1}{2}g(x) + \frac{1}{2}g(y)$$

holds. Thus $\operatorname{argmin}_X g$ consists of one point.

Definition 3.3. Let f be a proper lower semicontinuous convex function from X into $]-\infty,\infty]$. Then we define a new resolvent $R_f: X \to X$ by

$$R_f x = \operatorname*{argmin}_{y \in X} \{ f(y) - \log(\cos d(y, x)) \}$$

for all $x \in X$. From Theorem 3.2, we know that R_f is well-defined.

Remark 3.4. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of X. If $f = i_C$, then $R_f = P_C$, where P_C is metric projection from X onto C. In fact,

$$R_f x = \underset{y \in C}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{ f(y) - \log(\cos d(y, x)) \}$$
$$= \underset{y \in C}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{ -\log(\cos d(y, x)) \} = \underset{y \in C}{\operatorname{argmin}} d(y, x) = P_C x$$

for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 3.5. Let f be a proper lower semicontinuous convex function from X into $]-\infty,\infty]$ and R_f a resolvent of f. Then the following properties hold:

- (i) R_f is spherically nonspreading of sum-type;
- (ii) $\mathcal{F}(R_f) = \operatorname{argmin}_X f.$

Proof. Put $T = R_f$. We first show (i). Let $x, y \in X$ with $Tx \neq Ty$ and put $z_t = tTx \oplus (1-t)Ty$ for all $t \in [0, 1[$. Then, by the definition of T and convexity of f, we have

$$f(Ty) - \log(\cos d(Ty, y)) \leq f(z_t) - \log(\cos d(z_t, y))$$
$$\leq tf(Tx) + (1-t)f(Ty) - \log(\cos d(z_t, y))$$

and hence

$$t(f(Tx) - f(Ty)) \ge \log(\cos d(z_t, y)) - \log(\cos d(Ty, y))$$
$$= \log\left(\frac{\cos d(z_t, y)}{\cos d(Ty, y)}\right).$$

So, using Lemma 2.1 and putting D = d(Tx, Ty), we get

 $e^{t(f(Tx)-f(Ty))}\sin D$

$$\begin{split} &\geq \frac{\cos d(z_t, y) \sin D}{\cos d(Ty, y)} \\ &\geq \frac{\cos d(Tx, y) \sin tD + \cos d(Ty, y) \sin(1 - t)D}{\cos d(Ty, y)} \\ &= \frac{\cos d(Tx, y) \sin tD + \cos d(Ty, y) \sin D \cos tD - \cos d(Ty, y) \cos D \sin tD}{\cos d(Ty, y)} \\ &= \sin tD \frac{\cos d(Tx, y) - \cos d(Ty, y) \cos D}{\cos d(Ty, y)} + \sin D \cos tD \\ &= \sin tD \frac{\cos d(Tx, y) - \cos d(Ty, y) \cos D}{\cos d(Ty, y)} + \sin D - 2 \sin D \sin^2 \frac{t}{2}D \end{split}$$

and hence

$$\sin D\left(\frac{e^{t(f(Tx)-f(Ty))}-1}{t}\right)$$

$$\geq \frac{\sin tD}{t}\frac{\cos d(Tx,y)-\cos d(Ty,y)\cos D}{\cos d(Ty,y)}-\frac{2}{t}\sin D\sin^2\frac{t}{2}D.$$

Letting $t \downarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\sin D(f(Tx) - f(Ty)) \ge \frac{D}{\cos d(Ty, y)} (\cos d(Tx, y) - \cos d(Ty, y) \cos D).$$

From this inequality, we also know that

$$\sin D(f(Ty) - f(Tx)) \ge \frac{D}{\cos d(Tx, x)} (\cos d(x, Ty) - \cos d(Tx, x) \cos D)$$

holds. Adding these inequalities, we get

$$0 \ge \frac{1}{\cos d(Ty, y)} (\cos d(Tx, y) - \cos d(Ty, y) \cos D) + \frac{1}{\cos d(Tx, x)} (\cos d(x, Ty) - \cos d(Tx, x) \cos D).$$

So we have

$$2\cos D \ge \frac{1}{\cos d(Ty,y)}\cos d(Tx,y) + \frac{1}{\cos d(Tx,x)}\cos d(x,Ty)$$
$$\ge \cos d(Tx,y) + \cos d(x,Ty).$$

Thus we get the conclusion.

We next show (ii). Let $u \in \operatorname{argmin}_X f$. Then we have

$$f(u) - \log(\cos d(u, u)) = f(u) \leq f(y) \leq f(y) - \log(\cos d(y, u))$$

for all $y \in X$. Hence we get

$$f(u) - \log(\cos d(u, u)) = \inf_{y \in X} \{ f(y) - \log(\cos d(y, u)) \}.$$

This implies that $u \in \mathcal{F}(T)$. Inversely, let $u \in \mathcal{F}(T)$ and $t \in [0, 1[$. Then, by the definition of T and the convexity of f, we have

$$f(u) = f(u) - \log(\cos d(u, u))$$

$$\leq f(ty \oplus (1 - t)u) - \log(\cos d(ty \oplus (1 - t)u, u))$$

$$= f(ty \oplus (1 - t)u) - \log(\cos td(y, u))$$

$$\leq tf(y) + (1 - t)f(u) - \log(\cos td(y, u))$$

and hence

$$f(u) \leq f(y) - \frac{\log(\cos td(y, u))}{t}$$

for all $y \in X$. Letting $t \downarrow 0$, we obtain $f(u) \leq f(y)$. This implies that $u \in \operatorname{argmin}_X f$.

References

- M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [2] J. Jost, Convex functionals and generalized harmonic maps into spaces of nonpositive curvature, Comment. Math. Helv. 70 (1995), 659–673.
- [3] Y. Kimura and K. Satô, Convergence of subsets of a complete geodesic space with curvature bounded above, Nonlinear Anal. 75 (2012), 5079–5085.
- [4] Y. Kimura and K. Sato, Halpern iteration for strongly quasinonexpansive mappings on a geodesic space with curvature bounded above by one, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013 (2013), doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-7, 14 pages.
- [5] Y. Kimura and F. Kohsaka, Spherical nonspreadingness of resolvents of convex functions in geodesic spaces, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 18 (2016), 93–115.
- [6] F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, Fixed point theorems for a class of nonlinear mappings related to maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces, Arch. Math. (Basel) 91 (2008), 166–177.
- [7] U. F. Mayer, Gradient flows on nonpositively curved metric spaces and harmonic maps, Comm. Anal. Geom. 6 (1998), 199–206.