## Forcing continuous epsilon-chains with finite side conditions

Tadatoshi Miyamoto

May 20, 2020

#### Abstract

We introduce a poset that generically adds a continuously increasing epsilon-chain of a length the least uncountable cardinal. This poset is similar to a poset that consists of finite conditions and generically adds a closed cofinal subset of the least uncountable cardinal. As an application, we consider a poset for the Strong Reflection Principle of S. Todorcevic along this line.

# Introduction

Let us review a poset that generically adds a closed cofinal subset of  $\omega_1$  by finite conditions.

**Definition.** Let  $p \in P$ , if

- p is a finite partial function from  $\omega_1$  to  $\omega_1$ .
- If  $i \in dom(p)$ , then  $i \le p(i)$ .
- If  $i_1, i_2 \in \text{dom}(p)$  with  $i_1 < i_2$ , then  $p(i_1) < i_2$ .

For  $p, q \in P$ , let  $q \leq p$  in P, if  $q \supseteq p$ .

Hence if

$$p \in P,$$

$$dom(p) = \{x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{k-1}\},$$

$$p = \{(x_0, p(x_0)), (x_1, p(x_1)), \dots, (x_{k-1}, p(x_{k-1}))\},$$

then

$$x_0 \le p(x_0) < x_1 \le p(x_1) < \dots < x_{k-1} \le p(x_{k-1}) < \omega_1.$$

The following is standard.

**Lemma.** (1) P is proper.

(2) Let G be P-generic over the ground model V. Then the collection of points in the **domains** forms a closed cofinal subset of  $\omega_1$ . More precisely, let

$$\dot{C} = \bigcup \{\mathbf{dom}(p) \mid p \in G\} = \{\mathbf{i} < \omega_1 \mid \exists p \in G \ \exists j \ \text{s.t.} \ (\mathbf{i}, j) \in p\}.$$

Then  $\dot{C}$  is a closed cofinal subset of  $\omega_1$ .

Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal with  $\kappa \geq \omega_2$ . In this note, we present a similar proper poset that generically add a sequence  $\langle \dot{M}_i \mid i < \omega_1 \rangle$  over the ground model V such that

- $\dot{M}_i \in V$  and, in  $V, \dot{M}_i$  is a countable elementary substructure of  $(H_{\kappa}^V, \in)$ .
- If  $i < j < \omega_1$ , then  $\dot{M}_i \in \dot{M}_j$ .
- If j is a limit ordinal, then  $\dot{M}_j = \bigcup {\{\dot{M}_i \mid i < j\}}$ .
- $H_{\kappa}^V = \bigcup \{\dot{M}_i \mid i < \omega_1\}.$

In particular,  $\{\omega_1 \cap \dot{M}_i \mid i < \omega_1\}$  forms a closed cofinal subset of  $\omega_1$ .

As an application of this line of poset, we present a poset for the Strong Reflection Principle (SRP) of S. Todorcevic. (see [B] for a natural construction by the initial segments). There is another application of this method in [MY], where we present a poset for the Mapping Reflection Principle (MRP) of J. Moore. (see [M] for a natural construction by the initial segments.)

**Question.** Do you see any new application of a plausible reflection principle that combines the two features of SRP and MRP ?

### The poset

**Definition.** Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal with  $\kappa \geq \omega_2$ . Let us first form a relational structure

$$(H_{\kappa}, \in)$$
.

Then we form a club  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $[H_{\kappa}]^{\omega}$  that consists of the countable elementary substructures of  $(H_{\kappa}, \in)$ . Hence

$$C = \{ N \in [H_{\kappa}]^{\omega} \mid N \prec (H_{\kappa}, \in) \},$$
$$C \subset H_{\kappa}.$$

We next form a relational structure with an additional unary predicate  $\mathcal C$ 

$$(H_{\kappa}, \in, \mathcal{C}).$$

Then we similarly form a club  $\mathcal{D}$  in  $[H_{\kappa}]^{\omega}$  that consists of the countable elementary substructures of  $(H_{\kappa}, \in, \mathcal{C})$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ M \in [H_{\kappa}]^{\omega} \mid M \prec (H_{\kappa}, \in, \mathcal{C}) \},$$
$$\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{C} \subset H_{\kappa}.$$

**Proposition.** Let  $M \in \mathcal{D}$ . Then for any  $x \in M$ , there exists  $N \in \mathcal{C} \cap M$  with  $x \in N$ .

Hence M is a union of countable elementary substructures N of  $(H_{\kappa}, \in)$  that belong to M. More precisely,

$$M = \bigcup (\mathcal{C} \cap M).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in M$ . Then  $(H_{\kappa}, \in, \mathcal{C})$  knows that there exists  $N \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $x \in N$ . Since  $x \in M \prec (H_{\kappa}, \in, \mathcal{C})$ , we can take  $N \in M$  as such. Conversely, if  $N \in \mathcal{C} \cap M$ , then N is countable. Hence  $N \in M \in \mathcal{D}$  entails  $N = e[\omega] \subset M$ , where  $e : \omega \longrightarrow N$  onto with  $e \in M$ .

**Definition.** Let  $p \in P$ , if

- p is a finite partial function from  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $(\text{dom}(p), \in) \models$  "linear".
- If  $M \in \text{dom}(p)$ , then  $M \in p(M)$ .
- If  $M_1, M_2 \in \text{dom}(p)$  with  $M_1 \in M_2$ , then  $p(M_1) \in M_2$ .

For  $p, q \in P$ , let  $q \leq p$  in P, if  $q \supseteq p$ .

Hence if

$$p \in P,$$

$$dom(p) = \{X_0 \in X_1 \in \dots \in X_{k-1}\},$$

$$p = \{(X_0, Y_0), (X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_{k-1}, Y_{k-1})\},$$

then

$$(\operatorname{dom}(p), \in) \sim (\{\omega_1 \cap M \mid M \in \operatorname{dom}(p)\}, <) \text{ isomorphic by } M \mapsto \omega_1 \cap M,$$
  
$$X_0 \in Y_0 \in X_1 \in Y_1 \in \dots \in X_{k-1} \in Y_{k-1}.$$

**Lemma.** For any  $p \in P$  and  $a \in H_{\kappa}$ , there exists  $q \in P$  such that  $q \leq p$  in P and  $a \in \bigcup \text{dom}(q)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p \in P$  and  $a \in H_{\kappa}$ . Take (M, N) such that

- $p, a \in M \in N$ .
- $M \in \mathcal{D}$ .
- $N \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Let  $q = p \cup \{(M, N)\}$ . Then  $q \in P$ ,  $q \leq p$  in P, and  $a \in M \in \text{dom}(q)$ .

**Lemma.** P is proper.

*Proof.* Let  $p \in P$  and  $H_{\kappa}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, p, P \in M^*(\text{countable}) \prec H_{\lambda}$ . Then  $M := H_{\kappa} \cap M^* \in \mathcal{D}$ . Let  $N \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $M \in N$ . Let  $p_{M^*} = p \cup \{(M, N)\}$ . Then  $p_{M^*} \in P$  and  $p_{M^*} \leq p$  in P.

Claim.  $p_{M^*}$  is  $(P, M^*)$ -generic.

*Proof.* Let  $D \in M^*$  be predense in P. We show that  $D \cap M^*$  is predense below  $p_{M^*}$ . To this end, let  $\tilde{p} \leq p_{M^*}$  in P. Let  $q \leq \tilde{p}$  and  $d \in D$  with  $q \leq d$  in P. We consider an  $M^*$ -copy (q', d', M') of (q, d, M) as follows. Since  $H_{\lambda}$  knows that there exists  $(q', d', M') \in H_{\kappa}$  such that

- $q' \in P$ .
- $d' \in D$ .
- $q' \leq d'$  in P.
- $M' \in \text{dom}(q')$ .
- $q' \cap M' = (q \cap M)$ .

Since  $H_{\kappa}, P, D, (q \cap M) \in M^* \prec H_{\lambda}$ , we can take  $(q', d', M') \in H_{\kappa} \cap M^* = M$  as such. Let  $r = q \cup q'$ . Then  $r \in P$  and  $r \leq q, q'$  in P. Hence  $D \cap M^*$  is predense below  $p_{M^*}$ .

**Lemma.** Let G be P-generic over the ground model V. In the generic extension V[G], let

$$\dot{\mathcal{M}} = \bigcup \{ \operatorname{dom}(p) \mid p \in G \}.$$

Then

$$\dot{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathcal{D}$$
 
$$\bigcup \dot{\mathcal{M}} = H_{\kappa}^{V},$$
  $(\dot{\mathcal{M}}, \in) \models \text{"linear"}.$ 

$$\dot{c}: (\dot{\mathcal{M}}, \in) \longrightarrow (\omega_1, <)$$
 by  $M \mapsto \dot{c}(M) = \omega_1 \cap M$  is order preserving.

Since the range of  $\dot{c}$  is cofinal in  $\omega_1$ , the well-order-type of  $(\dot{\mathcal{M}}, \in)$  is exactly  $\omega_1$ . Hence there exists an isomorphism  $\pi: (\omega_1, <) \longrightarrow (\dot{\mathcal{M}}, \in)$ . We simply write  $\dot{M}_i$  for  $\pi(i)$ . Hence  $\dot{\mathcal{M}}$  gets represented as an  $\in$ -chain

$$\langle \dot{M}_i \mid i < \omega_1 \rangle$$
.

*Proof.* We show that  $(\dot{\mathcal{M}}, \in) \models$  "linear". Let  $M_1, M_2 \in \dot{\mathcal{M}}$  s.t.  $M_1 \neq M_2$ . Take  $p \in G$  s.t.  $M_1, M_2 \in \text{dom}(p)$ . Since  $(\text{dom}(p), \in) \models$  "linear", either  $M_1 \in M_2$  or  $M_2 \in M_1$  holds.

Ц

**Lemma.** In V[G], let  $\langle \dot{X}_k \mid k < \omega \rangle$  be such that  $\dot{X}_k \in \dot{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\dot{X}_k \in \dot{X}_{k+1}$  for all  $k < \omega$ . Then

$$\bigcup \{\dot{X}_k \mid k < \omega\} \in \dot{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Hence  $\langle \dot{M}_i \mid i < \omega_1 \rangle$  is continuously  $\subset$ -increasing.

*Proof.* Let  $p \Vdash_P "\dot{X}_k \in \dot{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\dot{X}_k \in \dot{X}_{k+1}$  for all  $k < \omega"$ . Since P preserves  $\omega_1$ , we can assume, by extending p, that there exists  $\delta < \omega_1$  such that  $p \Vdash_P "\delta = \sup\{\omega_1 \cap \dot{X}_k \mid k < \omega\}"$ .

Claim 1. There exists  $X \in \text{dom}(p)$  s.t.  $\delta = \omega_1 \cap X$ .

*Proof.* Suppose not. Then we have (q, M) such that

- $q \in P$ .
- $q \leq p$ .
- $M \in dom(q)$ .
- $\omega_1 \cap M < \delta$ .
- If  $Z \in \text{dom}(p)$  with  $\omega_1 \cap Z < \delta$ , then  $p(Z) \in M$ .
- $\delta < \omega_1 \cap q(M)$ .

Hence  $q \Vdash_P$  "there exists no  $X \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\omega_1 \cap M < \omega_1 \cap X < \delta$ ". This would be absurd.

Claim 2. Let  $X \in \text{dom}(p)$  s.t.  $\delta = \omega_1 \cap X$ . Then  $p \Vdash_P \cup \{\dot{X}_k \mid k < \omega\} = X \in \dot{\mathcal{M}}$ .

*Proof.* Let G be P-generic over V with  $p \in G$ . Argue in V[G]. Since  $\omega_1 \cap \dot{X}_k < \delta = \omega_1 \cap X$  and  $\dot{X}_k, X \in \dot{\mathcal{M}}$ , we have  $\dot{X}_k \in X$ . Hence

$$\bigcup \{\dot{X}_k \mid k < \omega\} \subseteq X.$$

Conversely, let  $x \in X$  and  $\tilde{p} \leq p$  in P. Since  $X \in \mathcal{D}$  and so  $X = \bigcup (\mathcal{C} \cap X)$ , there exists (M, N) such that

- $M \in N \in X$ .
- $M \in \mathcal{D}$ .
- $N \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- $\tilde{p} \cap X \in M$ .
- $x \in N$ .

Let  $q = \tilde{p} \cup \{(M, N)\}$ . Then  $q \in P$ ,  $q \leq \tilde{p}$ , and  $q \Vdash_P \exists \dot{X}_k$  s.t.  $\underline{x \in N} \in \dot{X}_k$ ". Hence  $p \Vdash_P X \subseteq \{\dot{X}_k \mid k < \omega\}$ ".

### SRP

**Definition.** ([B]) The Strong Reflection Principle (SRP) holds, if for any set X with  $\omega_1 \subseteq X$ , any  $S \subseteq [X]^{\omega}$ , and any regular cardinal  $\lambda$  s.t.  $X, [X]^{\omega}, S \in H_{\lambda}$ , there exists a sequence  $\langle M_i \mid i < \omega_1 \rangle$  such that

- $M_i$  are countable elementral substructures of a first order structure  $(H_{\lambda}, \in, X, S)$ , where X and S are constants.
- If  $i < j < \omega_1$ , then  $M_i \in M_j$ .
- If  $j < \omega_1$  is a limit, then  $M_j = \bigcup \{M_i \mid i < j\}$ .
- For each  $i < \omega_1$ , either (yes) or (nono) holds.

(yes) 
$$X \cap M_i \in S$$
.

(nono) For any countable elementary substructure M' of  $(H_{\lambda}, \in, X, S)$  such that  $M_i \subseteq_{\omega_1} M'$ , we have  $X \cap M' \notin S$ , where let  $M_i \subseteq_{\omega_1} M'$  abbreviate  $M_i \subseteq M'$  and  $\omega_1 \cap M_i = \omega_1 \cap M'$ .

In [B], a natural semi-proper poset for SRP by the initial segments is used under the Semi Proper Forcing Axiom (SPFA). We design a semi-proper poset along the line of previous section.

**Definition.** Let us form a closed cofinal set  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $[H_{\lambda}]^{\omega}$  by

$$\mathcal{C} = \{ N \in [H_{\lambda}]^{\omega} \mid N \prec (H_{\lambda}, \in, X, S) \}.$$

Then we form a closed cofinal set  $\mathcal{D}$  in  $[H_{\lambda}]^{\omega}$  by

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ N \in [H_{\lambda}]^{\omega} \mid N \prec (H_{\lambda}, \in, X, S, C) \}, \text{ where } \mathcal{C} \text{ is a unary predicate.}$$

Let  $p \in P$ , if

- p is a finite partial function from  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $(\text{dom}(p), \in) \models$  "linear".
- If  $M \in \text{dom}(p)$ , then  $M \in p(M)$ .
- If  $M_1, M_2 \in \text{dom}(p)$  with  $M_1 \in M_2$ , then  $p(M_1) \in M_2$ .
- For each  $M \in \text{dom}(p)$ , either the following (yes) or (nono) holds.

(yes) 
$$X \cap M \in S$$
.

(nono) If  $M \subseteq_{\omega_1} \underline{M' \in \mathcal{C}}$ , then  $X \cap M' \notin S$ .

For  $p, q \in P$ , let  $q \leq p$  in P, if  $q \supseteq p$ .

**Lemma.** (Pre-Semi-Generic) Let  $p \in P$ ,  $M^*$  be a countable elementary substructure of

$$(H_{\theta}, \in, H_{\lambda}, X, S, \mathcal{C}, P),$$

where  $H_{\lambda}, X, S, \mathcal{C}, P$  as constants, and  $p \in M^*$ . Then there exists  $M^{\triangle}(\text{countable}) \prec (H_{\theta}, \in, H_{\lambda}, X, S, \mathcal{C}, P)$  such that

- $M^* \subseteq_{\omega_1} M^{\triangle}$ .
- $H_{\lambda} \cap M^{\triangle} \in \mathcal{D}$ .
- $M^{\triangle}$  satisfies either the following (yes) or (nono).

(ves) 
$$X \cap (H_{\lambda} \cap M^{\triangle}) \in S$$
.

(nono) For any M' s.t.  $(H_{\lambda} \cap M^{\triangle}) \subseteq_{\omega_1} \underline{M' \in \mathcal{C}}$ , we have  $X \cap M' \notin S$ .

Hence if  $N \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $H_{\lambda} \cap M^{\triangle} \in N$  and we set

$$q = p \cup \{(H_{\lambda} \cap M^{\Delta}, N)\},\$$

then  $q \in P$ ,  $q \leq p$ , and  $H_{\lambda} \cap M^{\Delta} \in \text{dom}(q)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p, M^*, H_\theta$  as as above.

Case 1. There exists  $M' \in \mathcal{C}$  s.t.  $H_{\lambda} \cap M^* \subseteq_{\omega_1} M'$  and  $X \cap M' \in S$ . Let

$$M^{\triangle} := \{ f(s) \mid f \in M^* \text{ and } s \in ({}^{<\omega}X) \cap M' \}.$$

Then

Claim. (1)  $M^{\triangle} \prec (H_{\theta}, \in, H_{\lambda}, X, S, \mathcal{C}, P)$ .

- (2)  $H_{\lambda} \cap M^{\triangle} \in \mathcal{D}$ .
- (3)  $X \cap M^{\triangle} = X \cap M'$ .
- (4)  $M^* \subseteq_{\omega_1} M^{\triangle}$ .

Proof. (1): We check by the Tarski's criterion. Let

$$(H_{\theta}, \in, H_{\lambda}, X, S, \mathcal{C}, P) \models \text{``}\exists y \phi(y, f_1(s_1), \cdots, f_k(s_k))\text{''}.$$

Then there exists  $g: {}^{<\omega}X \longrightarrow H_{\theta}$  s.t.  $g \in H_{\theta}$  and for any  $(y, t_1, \dots, t_k)$  with  $y \in H_{\theta}, t_1, \dots, t_k \in {}^{<\omega}X$ , if

$$(H_{\theta}, \in, H_{\lambda}, X, S, \mathcal{C}, P) \models "\phi(y, f_1(t_1), \cdots, f_k(t_k))",$$

then

$$(H_{\theta}, \in, H_{\lambda}, X, S, \mathcal{C}, P) \models "\phi(g(\langle t_1, \dots, t_k \rangle), f_1(t_1), \dots, f_k(t_k))",$$

where  $\langle t_1, \dots, t_k \rangle$  is regarded as an element of  $^{<\omega}X$ .

Since  $X, \langle f_1, \dots, f_k \rangle \in M^* \prec (H_\theta, \in, H_\lambda, X, S, \mathcal{C}, P)$ , we can take  $g \in M^*$ . Hence

$$(H_{\theta}, \in, H_{\lambda}, X, S, \mathcal{C}, P) \models "\phi(g(\langle s_1, \dots, s_k \rangle), f_1(s_1), \dots, f_k(s_k))",$$

$$g(\langle s_1, \cdots, s_k \rangle) \in M^{\triangle}$$
.

Hence  $M^{\triangle} \prec (H_{\theta}, \in, H_{\lambda}, X, S, \mathcal{C}, P)$ .

- (2): Since  $M^{\triangle} \prec (H_{\theta}, \in, H_{\lambda}, X, S, \mathcal{C}, P)$ , we have  $H_{\lambda} \cap M^{\triangle} \prec (H_{\lambda}, \in, X, S, \mathcal{C})$  by the Tarski's criterion and relativizations. Hence  $H_{\lambda} \cap M^{\triangle} \in \mathcal{D}$ .
  - (3): Let  $x \in X \cap M'$ . We want to show  $x \in X \cap M^{\triangle}$ . Let us consider a map

$$f: {}^{<\omega}X \longrightarrow H_{\theta},$$

$$\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \mapsto x_1 \cup \cdots \cup x_n.$$

Then  $f \in M^*$ ,  $\langle x \rangle \in ({}^{<\omega}X) \cap M'$ , and  $f(\langle x \rangle) = x \in X \cap M^{\triangle}$ .

Conversely, let  $y = f(s) \in X \cap M^{\triangle}$ . Then there exists  $g \in M^*$  s.t.  $g : {}^{<\omega}X \longrightarrow X$ , if  $f(t) \in X$ , then g(t) = f(t). We have  $g \in H_{\lambda} \cap M^* \subseteq_{\omega_1} M'$ . Hence  $y = g(s) \in X \cap M'$ .

(4): Let  $a \in M^*$ . We first show that  $a \in M^{\triangle}$ . Let us consider  $f : {}^{<\omega}X \longrightarrow \{a\}$  s.t. constantly f(t) = a. Then  $f \in M^*$  and  $a = f(\emptyset) \in M^{\triangle}$ . Next since  $X \cap M^{\triangle} = X \cap M'$ , we have

$$\omega_1 \cap M^{\triangle} = (\omega_1 \cap X) \cap M^{\triangle} = \omega_1 \cap (X \cap M^{\triangle})$$

$$=\omega_1\cap(X\cap M')=(\omega_1\cap X)\cap M'=\omega_1\cap M'=\omega_1\cap M^*.$$

Case 2. For any  $M' \in \mathcal{C}$  s.t.  $H_{\lambda} \cap M^* \subseteq_{\omega_1} M'$ , we have  $X \cap M' \notin S$ . Let  $M^{\triangle} := M^*$ . Then this  $M^{\triangle}$  works.

**Lemma.** (Generic) Let  $M^{\triangle}$  be a countable elementary substructure of  $(H_{\theta}, \in, H_{\lambda}, X, S, \mathcal{C}, P), q \in P$ , and  $H_{\lambda} \cap M^{\triangle} \in \text{dom}(q)$ . Then q is  $(P, M^{\triangle})$ -generic.

*Proof.* Let  $D \in M^{\triangle}$  be predense in P. We want to show that  $D \cap M^{\triangle}$  is predense below q. To this end, let  $\tilde{q} \leq q$  in P. Let  $r \leq \tilde{q}, d$  in P s.t.  $d \in D$ . We consider  $M^{\triangle}$ -copy (r', d', M') of  $(r, d, H_{\lambda} \cap M^{\triangle})$  as follows.

 $(H_{\theta}, \in, H_{\lambda}, X, S, \mathcal{C}, P)$  knows that there exists  $(r', d', M') \in H_{\lambda}$  such that

- $r' \in P$ .
- $d' \in D$ .
- r' < d' in P.
- $M' \in \operatorname{dom}(r')$ .
- $r' \cap M' = (r \cap (H_{\lambda} \cap M^{\triangle})).$

Since  $H_{\lambda}, P, D, (r \cap (H_{\lambda} \cap M^{\triangle})) \in M^{\triangle} \prec (H_{\theta}, \in, H_{\lambda}, X, S, \mathcal{C}, P)$ , we can take  $(r', d', M') \in H_{\lambda} \cap M^{\triangle}$  as such. Let  $u = r \cup r'$ . Then  $u \in P$  and  $u \leq r, r'$ . Hence  $D \cap M^{\triangle}$  is predense below q.

**Lemma.** (Semi-Generic) Let  $p \in P$ ,  $M^*$  be countable, and  $M^* \prec (H_{\theta}, \in, H_{\lambda}, X, S, \mathcal{C}, P)$ . Then there exists  $q \leq p$  in P s.t. q is  $(P, M^*)$ -semi-generic.

Proof. Let  $M^{\triangle}$  be as in the previous lemma. Then we had  $q \in P$  such that  $q \leq p$  in P and  $H_{\lambda} \cap M^{\triangle} \in \text{dom}(q)$ . Hence q is  $(P, M^{\triangle})$ -generic. Since  $M^* \subseteq_{\omega_1} M^{\triangle}$ , we conclude that q is  $(P, M^*)$ -semi-generic as follows.  $q \Vdash_P "\theta \cap M^{\triangle}[\dot{G}] = \theta \cap M^{\triangle}"$ . Hence  $q \Vdash_p "\omega_1^V \cap M^*[\dot{G}] \subseteq \omega_1^V \cap M^{\triangle}[\dot{G}] = \omega_1^V \cap M^{\triangle} = \omega_1^V \cap M^*"$ . Hence  $q \Vdash_P "\omega_1^V \cap M^*[\dot{G}] = \omega_1^V \cap M^*"$ .

Corollary. ([B]) Assume SPFA. Then SRP holds.

*Proof.* Apply SPFA to P.

#### References

[B] M. Bekkali, Topics in set theory. Lebesgue measurability, large cardinals, forcing axioms, rhofunctions. Notes on lectures by Stevo Todorcevic. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1476. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.

[M] J. Moore, Set mapping reflection. J. Math. Log. 5 (2005), no. 1, 87-97.

[MY] T. Miyamoto, T. Yorioka, Forcing the mapping reflection principle by finite approximations.

miyamoto@nanzan-u.ac.jp

Mathematics

Nanzan University

18 Yamazato-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya

466-8673 Japan

南山大学 数学 宮元忠敏

7

]