# ON THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF HRUSHOVSKI'S PSEUDOPLANES ASSOCIATED TO SMALL RATIONAL NUMBERS

# HIROTAKA KIKYO GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SYSTEM INFORMATICS KOBE UNIVERSITY

ABSTRACT. The automorphism groups of Hrushovski's pseudoplanes associated to rational numbers  $\alpha$  with  $1/3 > \alpha \ge 1/4$  are simple groups.

#### 1. Introduction

D. Evans, Z. Ghadernezhad, and K. Tent have shown that the automorphisms groups of certain countable structures obtained by using the Hrushovski amalgamation method are simple groups. Among them, there are generic structure of  $\mathbf{K}_f$  for certain f with coefficient 1/2 for the predimension function. They conjectured that the automorphism group of the generic structure of  $\mathbf{K}_f$  is a simple group if the coefficient of the predimension function for  $\mathbf{K}_f$  is rational.

In this paper, we show that the automorphism groups of Hrushovski's original "pseudoplanes" associated to a predimension function with rational coefficients  $\alpha$  with  $1/3 > \alpha \ge 1/4$  is a simple group. Similar argument shows the simplicity of the automorphism groups when  $1/2 > \alpha > 0$ . The statement holds in the case  $1 > \alpha > 1/2$  as well, but we need more argument. The Method by D. Evans, Z. Ghadernezhad, and K. Tent works in the case  $\alpha = 1/2$ . We are going to treat the general case with  $1 > \alpha > 0$  in another paper [15].

We essentially use notation and terminology from Baldwin-Shi [3] and Wagner [16]. We also use some terminology from graph theory [4].

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 03C10, 03C13, 03C25, 03C30.

Key words and phrases. Hrushovski's construction, automorphism group, simple group.

For a set X,  $[X]^n$  denotes the set of all subsets of X of size n, and |X| the cardinality of X.

We recall some of the basic notions in graph theory we use in this paper. These appear in [4]. Let G be a graph. V(G) denotes the set of vertices of G. Vertices will be also called *points*. E(G) is the set of edges of G. E(G) is a subset of  $[V(G)]^2$ . |G| denotes |V(G)| and e(G) denotes |E(G)|. The *degree* of a vertex v is the number of edges at v. A vertex of degree 1 is a *leaf*. A vertex of degree 2 or more is a *node*. G is a *path*  $x_0x_1...x_k$  if  $V(G) = \{x_0,x_1,...,x_k\}$  and  $E(G) = \{x_0x_1,x_1x_2,...,x_{k-1}x_k\}$  where the  $x_i$  are all distinct.  $x_0$  and  $x_k$  are *ends* of G. The number of edges of a path is its *length*. A path of length 0 is a single vertex. G is a *cycle*  $x_0x_1...x_{k-1}x_0$  if  $k \geq 3$ ,  $V(G) = \{x_0,x_1,...,x_{k-1}\}$  and  $E(G) = \{x_0x_1,x_1x_2,...,x_{k-2}x_{k-1},x_{k-1}x_0\}$  where the  $x_i$  are all distinct. The number of edges of a cycle is its *length*. A non-empty graph G is *connected* if any two of its vertices are linked by a path in G. A *connected component* of a graph G is a maximal connected subgraph of G. A *forest* is a graph not containing any cycles. A *tree* is a connected forest.

To see a graph G as a structure in the model theoretic sense, it is a structure in language  $\{E\}$  where E is a binary relation symbol. V(G) will be the universe, and E(G) will be the interpretation of E. The language  $\{E\}$  will be called *the graph language*.

Suppose A is a graph. If  $X \subseteq V(A)$ , A|X denotes the substructure B of A such that V(B) = X. If there is no ambiguity, X denotes A|X. We usually follow this convention.  $B \subseteq A$  means that B is a substructure of A. A substructure of a graph is an induced subgraph in graph theory. A|X is the same as A[X] in Diestel's book [4].

We say that *X* is *connected* in *A* if *X* is a connected graph in the graph theoretical sense [4]. A maximal connected substructure of *A* is a *connected component* of *A*.

Let A, B, C be graphs such that  $A \subseteq C$  and  $B \subseteq C$ . AB denotes  $C|(V(A) \cup V(B)), A \cap B$  denotes  $C|(V(A) \cap V(B)), \text{ and } A - B$  denotes C|(V(A) - V(B)). If  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , E(A,B) denotes the set of edges xy such that  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ . We put e(A,B) = |E(A,B)|. E(A,B) and e(A,B) depend on the graph in which we are working.

Let *D* be a graph and *A*, *B*, and *C* substructures of *D*. We write  $D = B \otimes_A C$  if D = BC,  $B \cap C = A$ , and  $E(D) = E(B) \cup E(C)$ .  $E(D) = E(B) \cup E(C)$  means that there are no edges between B - A and C - A. *D* is called a *free* 

amalgam of B and C over A. If A is empty, we write  $D = B \otimes C$ , and D is also called a free amalgam of B and C.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\alpha$  be a real number such that  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

- (1) For a finite graph A, we define a predimension function  $\delta$  by  $\delta(A) = |A| e(A)\alpha$ .
- (2) Let A and B be substructures of a common graph. Put  $\delta(A/B) = \delta(AB) \delta(B)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let A and B be graphs with  $A \subseteq B$ , and suppose A is finite.

 $A \leq B$  if whenever  $A \subseteq X \subseteq B$  with X finite then  $\delta(A) \leq \delta(X)$ .

A < B if whenever  $A \subseteq X \subseteq B$  with X finite then  $\delta(A) < \delta(X)$ .

We say that A is *closed* in B if A < B.

 $A <^{-} B$  if whenever  $A \subseteq X \subseteq B$  with X finite then  $\delta(A) < \delta(X)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha}$  be the class of all finite graphs A such that  $\emptyset < A$ .

Some facts about < appear in [3, 16, 17]. Some proofs are given in [12].

**Fact 1.3.** Let A and B be disjoint substructures of a common graph. Then  $\delta(A/B) = \delta(A) + e(A,B)$ .

**Fact 1.4.** *If*  $A < B \subseteq D$  *and*  $C \subseteq D$  *then*  $A \cap C < B \cap C$ .

**Fact 1.5.** *Let*  $D = B \otimes_A C$ .

- (1)  $\delta(D/A) = \delta(B/A) + \delta(C/A)$ .
- (2) If A < C then B < D.
- (3) If A < B and A < C then A < D.

Let B, C be graphs and  $g: B \to C$  a graph embedding. g is a *closed embedding* of B into C if g(B) < C. Let A be a graph with  $A \subseteq B$  and  $A \subseteq C$ . g is a *closed embedding over* A if g is a closed embedding and g(x) = x for any  $x \in A$ .

In the rest of the paper,  $\mathbf{K}$  denotes a class of finite graphs closed under isomorphisms.

**Definition 1.6.** Let **K** be a subclass of  $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha}$ .  $(\mathbf{K},<)$  has the *amalgamation property* if for any finite graphs  $A,B,C \in \mathbf{K}$ , whenever  $g_1:A \to B$  and  $g_2:A \to C$  are closed embeddings then there is a graph  $D \in \mathbf{K}$  and closed embeddings  $h_1:B \to D$  and  $g_2:C \to D$  such that  $h_1 \circ g_1 = h_2 \circ g_2$ .

**K** has the *hereditary property* if for any finite graphs A, B, whenever  $A \subseteq B \in \mathbf{K}$  then  $A \in \mathbf{K}$ .

**K** is an *amalgamation class* if  $\emptyset \in \mathbf{K}$  and **K** has the hereditary property and the amalgamation property.

A countable graph M is a *generic structure* of  $(\mathbf{K}, <)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) If  $A \subseteq M$  and A is finite then there exists a finite graph  $B \subseteq M$  such that  $A \subseteq B < M$ .
- (2) If  $A \subseteq M$  then  $A \in \mathbf{K}$ .
- (3) For any  $A, B \in \mathbb{K}$ , if A < M and A < B then there is a closed embedding of B into M over A.

Let A be a finite structure of M. There is a smallest B satisfying  $A \subseteq B < M$ , written cl(A). The set cl(A) is called the *closure* of A in M.

**Fact 1.7** ([3, 16, 17]). Let  $(\mathbf{K}, <)$  be an amalgamation class. Then there is a generic structure of  $(\mathbf{K}, <)$ . Let M be a generic structure of  $(\mathbf{K}, <)$ . Then any isomorphism between finite closed substructures of M can be extended to an automorphism of M.

**Definition 1.8.** Let **K** be a subclass of  $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha}$ .  $(\mathbf{K}, <)$  has the *free amalgamation property* if whenever  $D = B \otimes_A C$  with  $B, C \in \mathbf{K}$ , A < B and A < C then  $D \in \mathbf{K}$ .

By Fact 1.5 (2), we have the following.

**Fact 1.9.** Let **K** be a subclass of  $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha}$ . If  $(\mathbf{K}, <)$  has the free amalgamation property then it has the amalgamation property.

**Definition 1.10.** Let  $\mathbb{R}^+$  be the set of non-negative real numbers. Suppose  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is a strictly increasing concave (convex upward) unbounded function. Assume that f(0) = 0, and  $f(1) \leq 1$ . We assume that f is piecewise smooth.  $f'_+(x)$  denotes the right-hand derivative at x. We have  $f(x+h) \leq f(x) + f'_+(x)h$  for h > 0. Define  $\mathbf{K}_f$  as follows:

$$\mathbf{K}_f = \{ A \in \mathbf{K}_{\alpha} \mid B \subseteq A \Rightarrow \delta(B) \geq f(|B|) \}.$$

Note that if  $\mathbf{K}_f$  is an amalgamation class then the generic structure of  $(\mathbf{K}_f, <)$  has a countably categorical theory [17].

A graph X is *normal to* f if  $\delta(X) \ge f(|X|)$ . A graph A belongs to  $\mathbf{K}_f$  if and only if U is normal to f for any substructure U of A.

### 2. Theorems by Evans, Ghadernezhad, and Tent

In this section, we fix a generic structure M of  $\mathbf{K}_f$ . Many of the following definitions and facts are by Evans, Ghadernezhad, and Tent [5].

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $A \subseteq M$ . Aut(M/A) denotes the set of automorphisms of M fixing A pointwise. Let  $b \in M$ . orb(b/A) denotes the Aut(M/A)-orbit of b. So, orb $(b/A) = {\sigma(b) \mid \sigma \in \text{Aut}(M/A)}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $A \subseteq M$  be finite. The *dimension* d(A) of A is defined by  $d(A) = \delta(\operatorname{cl}(A))$ . Let  $B \subseteq M$  be also finite. The *relative dimension* d(A/B) is defined by d(A/B) = d(AB) - d(B).

Replace M by any graph G. Then we represent the corresponding dimensions by  $d_G(A)$  and  $d_G(A/B)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Suppose  $b \in M$  and A < M with A finite. We say that b is basic over A if  $b \notin A$  and whenever  $A \subseteq C < M$  and d(b/C) < d(b/A) then  $b \in C$ . In this case, orb(b/A) is called a basic orbit over A.

**Definition 2.4.** We say that M is *monodimensional* if for every finite A < M and basic orbit D over A there is a finite B < M with  $M = \operatorname{cl}(BD)$  and  $A \subseteq B$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Suppose A < M and  $b \in M$  a single element.  $b \perp A$  if cl(bA) = bA and d(b/A) = d(b).

**Fact 2.6.** Suppose A < M and  $b_1, b_2 \in M$  be single elements. If  $b_1 \perp A$  and  $b_2 \perp A$  then  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are conjugate over A in M.

*Proof.* Suppose  $b_1 \perp A$  and  $b_2 \perp A$ . We have  $\operatorname{cl}(b_1A) = b_1A$  by the definition. So,  $\delta(b_1/A) = \delta(b_1) = 1$ . This means that there are no edges between  $b_1$  and A. By the same argument, there are no edges between  $b_2$  and A. Hence,  $b_1A$  and  $b_2A$  are isomorphic over A and also  $b_1A < M$  and  $b_2A < M$ . Therefore, the partial isomorphism between  $b_1A$  and  $b_2A$  over A can be extended to an automorphism of M by Fact 1.7.

**Fact 2.7.** If M = cl(AD) for some finite A < M and a basic orbit D over A then M is monodimensional.

**Fact 2.8.** If M is monodimensional then the automorphism group of M is a simple group.

#### 3. Hrushovski's Boundary Functions

**Definition 3.1** ([7]). Let  $\alpha$  be a positive real number. We define  $x_n$ ,  $e_n$ ,  $k_n$ ,  $d_n$  for integers  $n \ge 1$  by induction as follows: Put  $x_1 = 2$  and  $e_1 = 1$ . Assume that  $x_n$  and  $e_n$  are defined. Let  $r_n$  be a smallest rational number r such that  $r = k/d > \alpha$  with  $d \le e_n$  where k and d are positive integers. Let

 $k_n/d_n$  be a reduced fraction with  $k_n/d_n = r_n$ . Finally, let  $x_{n+1} = x_n + k_n$ , and  $e_{n+1} = e_n + d_n$ .

Let  $a_0 = (0,0)$ , and  $a_n = (x_n, x_n - e_n \alpha)$  for  $n \ge 1$ . Let  $f_\alpha$  be a function from  $\mathbb{R}^+$  to  $\mathbb{R}^+$  whose graph on interval  $[x_n, x_{n+1}]$  with  $n \ge 0$  is a line segment connecting  $a_n$  and  $a_{n+1}$ . We call  $f_\alpha$  a *Hrushovski's boundary function associated to*  $\alpha$ .

**Fact 3.2** ([7]). Let  $f_{\alpha}$  be a Hrushovski's boundary function and  $\{x_i\}$ ,  $\{e_i\}$ ,  $\{k_i\}$ ,  $\{d_i\}$  sequences in the definition of  $f_{\alpha}$ .

Suppose C is an extension of B by x points and z edges,  $|B| \ge x_n$  and  $x/z \ge k_n/d_n$  for some n, and B is normal to  $f_\alpha$ . Then C is normal to  $f_\alpha$ .

**Fact 3.3** ([7]). Let  $D = B \otimes_A C$ . If  $\delta(A) < \delta(B)$ ,  $\delta(A) < \delta(C)$ , and A, B, C are normal to  $f_{\alpha}$  then D is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$ .

**Fact 3.4** ([7]). Let  $\alpha$  be a real number with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then  $f_{\alpha}$  is strictly increasing and concave, and  $(\mathbf{K}_{f_{\alpha}}, <)$  has the free amalgamation property. Therefore, there is a generic structure of  $(\mathbf{K}_{f_{\alpha}}, <)$ . Any one point structure is closed in any structure in  $\mathbf{K}_{f_{\alpha}}$ . If  $\alpha$  is rational then  $f_{\alpha}$  is unbounded.

**Definition 3.5.** Two positive rational numbers  $\beta$ ,  $\beta'$  are called a *Farey pair* if there are positive integers h, k, h', k' such that  $\beta = h/k$ ,  $\beta' = h'/k'$ , and hk' - h'k = 1. Note that  $\beta > \beta'$  in this case and h/k and h'/k' are reduced fractions. Let  $\beta'' = (h+h')/(k+k')$ .  $\beta''$  is called a *mediant* of  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$ .

The following lemma follows from well-known properties of Farey sequences (Farey series) [6]. It is a good exercise to prove it directly.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let h/k and h'/k' be reduced fractions which are a Farey pair. Let u, v be positive integers.

- (1) If u/v > h'/k' with v < k + k' then  $u/v \ge h/k$ .
- (2) If h/k > u/v > h'/k' then  $v \ge k + k'$ .
- (3) Let h''/k'' be the mediant of h/k and h'/k'. Then h/k and h''/k'' are a Farey pair and h''/k'' and h'/k' are a Farey pair.
- (4) Suppose  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$  are a Farey pair, and  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  are a Farey pair. If the mediant of  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$  and the mediant of  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  are the same then  $\beta = \gamma$  and  $\beta' = \gamma'$ .

The following is easy.

**Lemma 3.7.** (1) Let  $C = A \otimes_p B$  where p is a single vertex and  $A, B \in \mathbf{K}_f$ . Then  $C \in \mathbf{K}_f$ .

(2) Any finite forests belong to  $\mathbf{K}_f$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** Suppose  $1/3 > \alpha = c/d \ge 1/4$  where c/d is a reduced fraction. Let  $k \ge 0$  be an integer satisfying  $(k+2)/(3k+7) > \alpha \ge (k+1)/(3k+4)$ .

(1) The first several terms of the sequences defining  $f_{\alpha}$  are given by the following chart:

$\overline{x_i}$	2	3	4	5		k+4	k+5
$e_i$	1	2	4	7	• • •	3k + 4	3k + 7
$k_i$	1	1	1	1	• • •	1	k+2
$d_i$	1	2	3	3	• • •	3	3k + 7

- (2) Suppose C is an extension of B by x points and z edges,  $|B| \ge 4$ ,  $(3/4)|B| \ge z$ ,  $x/z > \alpha$ , and B is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$ . Then C is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$ .
- (3) Let  $\beta = a/b$  be a reduce fraction such that  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  are a Farey pair with 0 < b < a. Let  $\beta_k = (a+kc)/(b+kd)$  with integers  $k \ge 1$ . Then there are  $i_0 < i_1$  with  $k_{i_0}/d_{i_0} = \beta$  and  $k_{i_1}/d_{i_1} = \beta_1$ . If  $i \ge i_1$  then  $x_{i+2}-x_{i+1}-a \ge 2(x_{i+1}-x_i-a)$  and  $f_{\alpha}(x_{i+1})-f_{\alpha}(x_i)=1/d$ .

*Proof.* (1) Starting from  $x_1 = 2$ ,  $e_1 = 1$ , the value is obvious up to  $x_3 = 4$  and  $e_3 = 4$ . Since  $1/3 > \alpha \ge 1/4$ ,  $d_3$  cannot be 4. Hence,  $k_3/d_3 = 1/3$ . 1/3 and (k+1)/(3k+4) are a Farey pairs. So, for any u/v with  $u/v > \alpha \ge (k+1)/(3k+4)$  and v < 3k+7 we have  $u/v \ge 1/3$ . Hence, we have  $x_i = k+5$  and  $e_i = 3k+7$  for some i. Since (k+2)/(3k+7) and (k+1)/(3k+4) are Farey pairs, (k+2)/(3k+7) is the best approximation of  $\alpha$  strictly from above with a denominator at most 3k+7.

- (2) Choose i satisfying  $x_i \le |B| < x_{i+1}$ . Since  $x_3 = 4 \le |B|$ ,  $4 \le x_i$ . Then  $x_i \le e_i$  and  $k_i/d_i \le 1/3$ . Also, we have  $d_i \le e_i$ . So,  $|B| < x_{i+1} = x_i + k_i \le e_i + (1/3)e_i = (4/3)e_i$ . Hence,  $z \le (3/4)|B| < e_i$ . By the choice of  $k_i/d_i$ , we have  $x/z \ge k_i/d_i$ . Since  $x_i \le |B|$ , C is normal to  $f_\alpha$  by Fact 3.2.
  - (3) Consider Farey pairs among  $\beta_k$ 's and  $\alpha$ . Proof is left to the readers.

#### 4. SPECIAL STRUCTURES

We associate sequences of vectors to rational numbers. Let S and S' be a sequence of vectors of a same size. SS' denotes the concatenation of S and S'.  $S^k$  denotes the concatenation of S copies of S. S denotes the sum of all terms in S. S denotes a sequence with explicit terms.

**Definition 4.1.** We define a special sequence for  $\alpha$  with  $1/3 \ge \alpha \ge 1/4$  inductively as follows:

 $\langle (1,3) \rangle$  is a unique special sequence for 1/3.  $\langle (1,4) \rangle$  is a unique special sequence for 1/4.

Let  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  be a Farey pair, and  $\alpha$  the mediant of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ . Suppose  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are special sequences for  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  respectively. Then the concatenation of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  is a special sequence for  $\alpha$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  be a Farey pair with  $1/3 \ge \beta > \gamma \ge 1/4$  and suppose special sequences  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  exists for  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  respectively. Let  $\alpha$  be a rational number with  $\beta > \alpha > \gamma$ . Then there exists uniquely a special sequence for  $\alpha$ . Moreover, the special sequence for  $\alpha$  is a concatenation of copies of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ .

*Proof.* Represent these rational numbers in reduced fraction forms, say  $\alpha = u/v$ ,  $\beta = x/y$ ,  $\gamma = z/w$ . We prove the statement by induction on v - (y+w). Let  $\theta = (x+z)/(y+w)$ .  $\theta$  is the mediant of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ . Then  $\alpha > \theta$ ,  $\alpha = \theta$ , or  $\theta > \alpha$ . Let  $S_3$  be the concatenation of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ .

Case 1:  $\alpha = \theta$ . In this case,  $S_3$  is a special sequence for  $\alpha$ . Uniqueness follows from Lemma 3.6 (4).

Case 2:  $\alpha > \theta$ . Then  $\beta > \alpha > \theta$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\theta$  are a Farey pair. We have v > y + (y + w) > y + w. By induction hypothesis, there is a unique special sequence for  $\alpha$  which can be obtained by concatenating  $S_1$  and  $S_3$ . Since  $S_3$  is a concatenation of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , the statement holds.

Case 3: 
$$\theta > \alpha$$
. Similar to Case 2.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $\alpha = c/d$  be a reduced fraction with  $1/3 \ge \alpha \ge 1/4$ . Let S be the special sequence for  $\alpha$ .

- (1)  $\sum S = (c, d)$ .
- (2) Let S' be a non-empty proper prefix of S. Let  $(u,v) = \sum S$ . Then  $u/v > \alpha$ .
- (3) Let S' be a non-empty consecutive subsequence of S. Let  $(u, v) = \sum S$ . Then  $u/(v-1) > \alpha$ .

*Proof.* The statements are true for  $\alpha = 1/3$ , 1/4. Let  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  be a Farey pair such that  $\alpha$  is their mediant. Let  $h/k = \beta$  and  $h'/k' = \gamma$  be reduced fractions. Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be special sequences for  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  respectively. By induction hypothesis,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  satisfies (1) - (3).  $S = S_1S_2$  is the special sequence for  $\alpha$ .

(1) is clear. We show (2). Let S' be a non-empty prefix of S, and put  $(u,v) = \sum S'$ . If S' is a proper prefix of  $S_1$ , then  $u/v > \beta > \alpha$ . Otherwise, we can write  $S' = S_1S_2'$  where  $S_2'$  is a non-empty proper prefix of  $S_2$ . Put  $(u',v') = \sum S_2'$ . Then  $u'/v' > \gamma$ . Together with  $h/k = \beta > \gamma$ , we have  $u/v = (h+u')/(k+v') > \gamma$ . Also, we have v < k+k' = d.  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are a Farey pair. Hence  $u/v > \alpha$  by Lemma 3.6 (1).

We can show (3) similarly.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $\alpha = c/d$  be a reduced fraction with  $1/3 \ge \alpha \ge 1/4$ , and S the special sequence for  $\alpha$ . Let S' be a consecutive subsequence of  $S^n$  with  $n \ge 1$ , and put  $(u, v) = \sum S'$ . Then  $u/(v-1) > \alpha$ .

*Proof.* We prove by induction on n. The statement holds if n = 1 by Lemma 4.3 (3).

Suppose n > 1. Then  $S^n = S^{n-1}S$ . If S' is a subsequence of  $S^{n-1}$ , then  $u/(v-1) > \alpha$  by the induction hypothesis.

Suppose  $S' = S_1'S_2'$  with  $S_1'$  is a subsequence of  $S_1'$  and  $S_2'$  is a non-empty prefix of S. Put  $(u_1, v_1) = \sum S_1'$  and  $(u_2, v_2) = \sum S_2'$ . We have  $u_1/(v_1 - 1) > \alpha$  by induction hypothesis, and  $u_2/v_2 > \alpha$  by Lemma 4.3 (2). Since  $u = u_1 + u_2$  and  $v - 1 = (v_1 - 1) + v_2$ , we have  $u/(v - 1) > \alpha$ .

**Definition 4.5.** Let S be a special sequence of  $\alpha$  with  $1/3 \ge \alpha \ge 1/4$ . We can assume that  $S = \langle (1, f_0), (1, f_1), \dots, (1, f_{n-1}) \rangle$ .

A graph W is called a *twig* for  $\alpha$  if W = LF, L is a path  $p_0p_1 \dots p_{n-1}$  where n is a length of S, F is the set of all leaves of W where each leaf in F is adjacent to some  $p_i$ , the number of leaves in F adjacent to  $p_0$  is  $f_0$ , and the number of leaves in F adjacent to  $p_i$  is  $f_i - 1$  for each i with  $1 \le i \le n - 1$ . L is called the *main path* of W. Note that L consists of the nodes of W.  $p_0$  is called the *left most node* of W.

A graph W is called a *wreath* for  $\alpha$  if W = CF, C is a cycle  $p_0p_1 \dots p_{m-1}p_0$  where m is a multiple of n, F is the set of all leaves of W where each leaf in F is adjacent to some  $p_i$ , and the number of leaves in F adjacent to  $p_i$  is  $f_{i \mod n} - 1$  for each i with  $0 \le i < m$ .

A substructure B of W is *full* if a node p of W belongs to B then any leaves q of W adjacent to p belongs to B.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let W be a twig or a wreath for  $\alpha$ , F the set of leaves of W.

- (1)  $\delta_{\alpha}(W/F) = 0$ .
- (2) Let B be a proper substructure of W. If B F is non-empty then  $\delta_{\alpha}(B/B \cap F) > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let W be a twig for  $\alpha$ . Let S be the special sequence for  $\alpha$ , n the length of S, L the main path of W, and F the set of leaves of W. Let B be a substructure of W. Let  $B_1, \ldots, B_\ell$  be the list of connected components of B. Then  $\delta(B/F) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \delta(B_i/F)$ .

So, we can assume that B is connected. Hence,  $B \cap L$  is a path in L. Let  $B \cap L = p_1 \cdots p_m$  respecting the order of S. Let B' be the full substructure of W with  $B' \cap L = B \cap L$ . B' = B or B' can be obtained by adding some edges to B. Hence,  $\delta(B) \geq \delta(B')$ .

Let S' be the subsequence of S corresponding to  $p_1 \cdots p_m$ . Let  $(u, v) = \sum S'$ . Then  $\delta(B'/F) = u - v\alpha$  if  $p_1$  is the left most node of W, and  $\delta(B'/F) = u - (v - 1)\alpha$  otherwise. By Lemma 4.3, we have (1) and (2).

If W is a wreath for  $\alpha$ , we can argue similarly using  $S^k$  for some k instead of S.

**Lemma 4.7.** Let  $\beta = a/b$  and  $\alpha = c/d$  be two reduced fractions which are a Farey pair. Let W be a twig for  $\beta$ , and F the set of leaves of W.

- (1)  $\delta_{\alpha}(W/F) = 1/d$ .
- (2) Let B be a proper substructure of W. If B F is non-empty then  $\delta_{\alpha}(B/B \cap F) > 1/d$ .
- (3) Suppose W is a closed substructure of a generic structure. Then a node of W is basic over F.

*Proof.* (1) W has a nodes and b edges. Since ad - bc = 1, we have a - b(c/d) = 1/d.

(2) Let x be the number of nodes of W in B and z be the number of edges in B. By Lemma 4.6 (2), we have  $x/z > \beta > \alpha$ . Since b < d, x/z and  $\alpha$  cannot be a Farey pair by Fact 3.6 (2). We have xd - zc > 0 and  $xd - zc \neq 1$ . Hence, x - zc/d > 1/d.

(3) Clear from the definition of basic elements.

#### 5. Monodimensionality

**Proposition 5.1.** Suppose  $1/3 > \alpha = c/d \ge 1/4$  and c, d are positive integers. Let  $\beta = a/b$  where b < d, ad - bc = 1, and a, b are positive integers. Let G be a graph such that  $G = B \otimes_F W$  where W is a wreath for  $\alpha$ , F is the set of leaves of W,  $B = \bigotimes_A \{B_q \mid q \in F\}$ , each  $B_q$  is a twig for  $\beta$ , A the set of common leaves of  $B_q$ , q is the left most node of  $B_q$ , and  $F \cap B_q = \{q\}$ . Let C be the cycle in W.

- (1) Let G' be a substructure of G. If  $G' \cap C$  is a path in C then G' is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$ .
- (2) If C is sufficiently large then G belongs to  $\mathbf{K}_{f_{\alpha}}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since 1/3 and 1/4 are a Farey pair and  $1/3 > c/d \ge 1/4$ , d = 4 or  $3+7 \le d$ . Hence, 3 < d. Since a/b and c/d are a Farey pair and b < d, We have  $1/3 \ge a/b$  by Lemma 3.6 (1). Let  $k \ge 0$  be an integer with  $(k+2)/(3k+7) > \alpha > (k+1)/(3k+4)$ .

Case A:  $\alpha = c/d = (k+1)/(3k+4)$ .

In this case, a/b = 1/3.  $\langle (1,3) \rangle^k \langle (1,4) \rangle$  is a special sequence for  $\alpha$ .

If  $p_0p_1 \cdots p_kp_{k+1}$  is a path in C with  $e(p_0, F) = 3$ , then  $e(p_i, F) = 2$  for i = 1, ..., k, and  $e(p_{k+1}, F) = 3$ .

Since a/b = 1/3, |A| = 3 and each  $B_q$  for  $q \in F$  is isomorphic to  $K_{1,3}$  (a star with 3 leaves in A and a single node in F). For each  $p \in C$ , e(p,F) is 2 or 3.

Let  $C' = G' \cap C$ ,  $F' = G' \cap F$ ,  $B' = G' \cap B$ , and  $A' = G' \cap A$ . Note that B' = A'F'. We can assume  $C' = p_1 \cdots p_\ell$  respecting the order of the special sequence for  $\alpha$ .

Subcase A1:  $e(p_i, F') = 2$  for any  $i < \ell$ , and  $e(p_\ell, F') \ge 2$ .

Assume  $1 < \ell$ . We have  $|G'| - |B'| = \ell$ . There are 2 edges from  $p_1$  to F'. For i with  $1 < i \le \ell - 1$ , there is 1 edge from  $p_i$  to  $p_{i-1}$ , and 2 edges from  $p_i$  to F'. There is 1 edge from  $p_\ell$  to  $p_{\ell-1}$ , and at most 3 edges from  $p_\ell$  to F'. Hence, we have  $e(G') - e(B') \le 2 + 3(\ell - 2) + 4 = 3\ell$ . We have  $|B'| \ge 4$  because  $p_1 \ne p_\ell$  and  $e(p_1, F') = 2$  and  $e(p_\ell, F') \ge 2$ , and by the structure of G. So, G' is normal to  $f_\alpha$  by Fact 3.2 and Lemma 3.8.

Assume  $\ell = 1$ . If  $e(p_{\ell}, F') = 3$ , then  $|B'| \ge 3$ . G' is an extension of B' by 1 point and 3 edges. If |B'| = 3 then G' must be a tree. Hence, G' is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$ . If  $|B'| \ge 4$ , then G' is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$  by Fact 3.2 and Lemma 3.8.

Subcase A2:  $e(p_i, F') \ge 2$  for any  $i \le \ell$ .

Let  $F_i'$  be the set of points in F' adjacent to  $p_i$ . Let  $G_i' = A'F_1' \cdots F_i' p_1 \cdots p_i$ . We have  $G_\ell' = G'$ .

If there are no i with  $1 \le i \le \ell$  and  $e(p_i, F') = 3$  then G' is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$  by Subcase A1.

Otherwise, let  $i_1$  be a smallest i satisfying  $e(p_i, F') = 3$  and  $1 \le i \le \ell$ . Then  $G'_{i_1}$  is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$  by Subcase A1.

**Claim 1.** Suppose  $G'_j$  is normal to  $f_\alpha$  with  $e(p_j, F') = 3$ . Then G' is normal to  $f_\alpha$ .

We prove the claim by induction on the number of  $p_i$  satisfying  $e(p_i, F') = 3$  and  $j < i \le \ell$ .

Suppose there are no i with  $e(p_i, F') = 3$  and  $j < i \le \ell$ . Then  $e(p_i, F') = 2$  and there is 1 edge from  $p_i$  to  $p_{i-1}$  for any i with  $j < i \le \ell$ . We have  $G' = G'_j F' p_{j+1} \cdots p_\ell$ . So,  $|G'| - |G'_j F'| = \ell - j$ , and  $e(G') - e(G'_j F') = 3(\ell - j)$ . We can assume that  $j < \ell$ . Hence,  $|B'| \ge 4$ . Therefore, G' is normal to  $f_\alpha$  by Fact 3.2 and Lemma 3.8.

Suppose there is i with  $e(p_i, F') = 3$  and  $j < i \le \ell$ . Let j' be a smallest i with  $e(p_i, F') = 3$  and  $j < i \le \ell$ . Since  $e(p_j, F') = 3$  and  $e(p_{j'}, F') = 3$ , we have  $j' \ge j + k + 1$ .

Suppose j' = j + k + 1. Let  $q_1, q_2, q_3$  be points in F' adjacent to  $p_j$ . Put  $G''_{j'} = G'_{j}F_{j+1} \cdots F_{j+k}q_1q_2$ . We have  $G'_{j'} = G''_{j'}p_{j+1} \cdots p_{j+k}p_{j+k+1}q_3$ . Note that  $e(p_i, G''_{j'}) = 3$  for  $j+1 \le i \le j+k$ ,  $e(p_{j+k+1}, G''_{j'}) = 4$ , and  $e(q_3, G''_{j'}) = |A'|$ . So,  $|G'_{j'}| - |G''_{j'}| = k+2$ , and  $e(G'_{j'}) - e(G''_{j'}) \le 3k+4+|A'|$ . If  $|A'| \le 2$  then  $e(G'_{j'}) - e(G''_{j'}) \le 3(k+2)$ . Since  $e(p_j, F'_j) = 3$ , we have  $|G'_{j}| \ge 4$ . Hence,  $|G''_{j'}| \ge |G'_{j}| \ge 4$ . Therefore,  $G'_{j'}$  is is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$  by Fact 3.2 and Lemma 3.8. If |A'| = 3 then  $e(G'_{j'}) - e(G''_{j'}) = 3k+7$ . In this case,  $|G''_{j'}| = 2k+2+|G'_{j}| \ge 2k+6 > 2k+5$ . Therefore,  $G'_{j'}$  is is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$  by Fact 3.2 and Lemma 3.8.

Suppose j' > j + k + 1. Let j' = j + (k + 2 + k') with  $k' \ge 0$ . Put  $G'''_{j'} = G'_j F_{j+1} \cdots F_{j'}$ . Put  $z = e(G') - e(G'''_{j'})$  and  $x = |G'| - |G'''_{j'}|$ . Then x = k + k' + 2, and z = 3(k+1+k') + 4 = 3(k+k') + 7. We have  $x/z \ge (k+2)/(3k+7)$ . On the other hand,  $|G'''_{j'}| \ge |G'_j| + 2k + 2k' + 2 + 3 \ge k + 5$ . Therefore, G' is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$  by Fact 3.2 and Lemma 3.8.

Subcase A3:  $C' = p_1 \cdots p_\ell$  is a path in C.

By induction on the number of i with  $e(p_i, F') \le 1$ , we can reduce this subcase to Subcase A2.

If there is no *i* with  $e(p_i, F') = 1$ , and  $1 \le i \le \ell$ , then the case is Subcase A2.

Suppose  $e(p_i, F') = 1$ , and  $1 < i < \ell$ . Then G' is an extension of  $G' - p_i$  with 1 point and 3 edges. If A' is empty then G' is a tree. Hence, G' belongs to  $\mathbf{K}_{f\alpha}$ . We can assume A' is non-empty. So, we have  $|G' - p_i| \ge 4$ . Hence, it is enough to show that  $G' - p_i$  is normal to  $f_\alpha$ .  $G' - p_i$  is a free amalgam over B' of two substructures satisfying the condition of Subcase A3.

There are several other cases to consider, but they can be handled similarly.

We have proved (1) for Case A.

Case B:  $(k+2)/(3k+7) > \alpha = c/d > (k+1)/(3k+4)$ .

(k+2)/(3k+7) and (k+1)/(3k+4) are a Farey pair. So, we have 3k+7 < d by Lemma 3.6 (2).  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  are also a Farey pair. Hence  $(k+2)/(3k+7) \ge \beta$  by Lemma 3.6 (1).

Note that  $\langle (1,3) \rangle^{k+1} \langle (1,4) \rangle$  and  $\langle (1,3) \rangle^k \langle (1,4) \rangle$  are special sequences for (k+2)/(3k+7) and (k+1)/(3k+4) respectively. By Proposition 4.2, special sequences for  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  are concatenations of copies of these sequences.

Put  $C' = G \cap C$ ,  $F' = G' \cap F$ ,  $A' = G' \cap A$ ,  $B' = G' \cap B$ ,  $B'_q = G' \cap B_q$  for each  $q \in F$ . Let  $F'_p$  be the set of points in F' adjacent to  $p \in C'$ .

Note that there are three points in A which are adjacent to any points in F. Let  $A_1 = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$  be the set of such three points.

Subcase B1:  $C' = p_1 \cdots p_\ell$ ,  $e(p_i, F') \ge 2$  for each  $p_i = 1, \ldots, \ell$ , and  $B'_q$  has at least 2 nodes (i.e.  $|B'_q - A| \ge 2$ ) for any q in F' adjacent to some point in C'.

We have

$$e(C'B') - e(B') = e(C') + e(C', B')$$

$$= (\ell - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} e(p_i, F')$$

$$= e(p_1, F') + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} (1 + e(p_i, F')).$$

Suppose  $e(p_i, F') = 2$ . Let q and q' be 2 points adjacent to  $p_i$ . Then  $|B'_q B'_{q'} - A'| \ge 4$ . Hence,  $(1 + e(p_i, F'))/|B'_q B'_{q'} - A'| \le 3/4$ .

Suppose  $e(p_i, F') = 3$ . Let q, q' and q'' be 3 points adjacent to  $p_i$ . Then  $|B'_q B'_{q'} B'_{q''} - A'| \ge 6$ . Hence,  $(1 + e(p_i, F')) / |B'_q B'_{q'} - A'| \le 4/6 = 2/3 < 3/4$ .

Therefore,  $(e(C'B') - e(B'))/|B'| \le 3/4$ . So,  $(e(C'B') - e(B')) \le (3/4)|B'|$ . We also have B' < B'C' by Lemma 4.6. Thus,  $\ell/(e(C'B') - e(B')) > \alpha$ . Hence, G' = B'C' is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$  by Lemma 3.8 (2).

Subcase B2:  $C' = p_1 \cdots p_\ell$  and  $e(p_i, F') \ge 2$  for each  $p_i = 1, ..., \ell$ .

Note that normality of G' to  $f_{\alpha}$  depends only on |G'| and e(G'). Suppose  $p_iq$  and  $p_jq'$  are edges between G' and G'. Removing these edges and put  $p_iq'$  and  $p_jq$  as new edges will not change |G'|, e(G'),  $e(p_i,F')$ ,

and  $e(p_j, F')$ . So, we can assume that if  $p_i$  is adjacent to  $q \in F'$  with  $|B'_q - A'| \ge 2$  and  $p_j$  is adjacent to  $q' \in F'$  with  $|B'_{q'} - A'| = 1$  then  $i \le j$ .

Put  $G'_i = B'_1 \cdots B'_i p_1 \cdots p_i$ .

Let  $i_0$  be a largest  $i \le \ell$  satisfying  $e(p_i, F') = 3$  and  $|B_q - A'| \ge 2$  for any three q in F' adjacent to  $p_i$ .

Suppose such  $i_0$  exists. Then  $G'_{i_0}$  is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$  by Subcase B1.

If there is no such  $i_0$ , let  $i_1$  be a smallest i satisfying  $e(p_i, F') = 3$ . Suppose such  $i_1$  exists. Then  $G'_{i_1}$  is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$  by the argument in Subcase A2 of Case A. If there are no such  $i_0$  and  $i_1$  then G' is normal to to  $f_{\alpha}$  by the argument in Subcase A1 of Case A.

Now, we can show the following claim as in Subcase A2 of Case A. Note that  $q \in F'$  and  $|B'_q - A'| = 1$  then  $B'_q$  is a star with at most 3 edges.

**Claim 2.** Suppose  $G'_j$  is normal to  $f_\alpha$  with  $e(p_j, F') = 3$ . Then G' is normal to  $f_\alpha$ .

Therefore, G' is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$  in Subcase B2 also.

Subcase B3:  $C' = p_1 \cdots p_\ell$  is a path in C. Same way as in Subcase A3 in Case A.

(2) Let G' be any substructure of G.

Case 1:  $G' \cap C \neq C$ .

Let  $C_1, ..., C_m$  be the connected components of  $G' \cap C$ . For each  $i, B'C_i$  is normal to  $f_\alpha$  by (1), and  $B' < B'C_i$  also. Hence, G is normal to  $f_\alpha$ .

Case 2:  $G' \cap C = C$ .

Suppose that  $G' \cap F$  is a proper substructure of F. By exchanging two edges between C and F if necessary, we can assume that e(p,F')=1 for some  $p \in C$  with e(p,F)=2. G'-p is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$  by Case 1. Then G' is an extension of G'-p by 1 point and 3 edges. Since C is sufficiently large, we can assume that  $|G'-p| \geq 4$ . Hence, G' is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$ .

Now, we can assume that  $W \subset G'$ .

 $B = \bigotimes_A \{B_q \mid q \in F\}$  is a member of  $\mathbf{K}_{f_\alpha}$ . We have |B| = A + a|F|, and  $\delta(B) = |A| + (1/d)|F|$  because  $\delta_\alpha(B_q/A) = 1/d$  for each  $q \in F$ .

Let B' be a substructure of B'. Then  $|B'| \le |B|$ . By Lemma 4.6,  $\delta(B') \ge |A| + (1/d)|F|$  if  $A' = B' \cap A = A$ . Suppose |A'| < |A|. Then any substructures  $B'_q$  of  $B_q$  with  $B'_q \cap A = A'$  is a proper substructure of  $B_q$ . So, we have  $\delta_{\alpha}(B'_q/A') \ge 2/d$  by Lemma 4.7. Hence,  $\delta(B') \ge |A'| + (2/d)|F|$ . Comparing |A'| + (2/d)|F| and |A| + (1/d)|F|, for sufficiently large F, we

have |A'| + (2/d)|F| > |A| + (1/d)|F|. Therefore, for sufficiently large F, we have  $\delta(B') \ge |A| + (1/d)|F|$  for any substructures B' of B with  $F \subseteq B'$ . On the other hand, |A| + (1/d)|F| is a linear function of |B| = |A| + a|F|. Therefore, there is a linear function  $f_1(x)$  with positive coefficient such that  $f_1(|B|) = \delta(B)$ , and  $\delta(B') \ge \delta(B)$  for any substructures B' of B with  $F \subseteq B'$  if |B| is sufficiently large.

Since, B is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$ , we have  $f_1(x) \geq f_{\alpha}(x)$ . By Lemma 3.8 (3),  $f_{\alpha}^{-1}(y)$  behave like exponential function. So, for sufficiently large x, we have  $f_1(x) > f_{\alpha}(2x)$ .

Let F be sufficiently large. Suppose  $F \subseteq B' \subseteq B$ . Then  $\delta(B') \ge \delta(B) = f_1(|B|) \ge f_{\alpha}(2|B|) \ge f_{\alpha}(2|B'|)$ . Since  $|C| \le |F| \le |B'|$ ,  $\delta(B'C) = \delta(B') \ge f_{\alpha}(2|B'|) \ge f_{\alpha}(|B'C|)$ . Therefore, G' = B'C is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$ .

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  be a Farey pair with  $1/3 \ge \beta > \alpha \ge 1/4$ . Let B be a twig for  $\beta$ , b a node of B and A the leaves of B.

(G,c) is called a *basic tower* for  $\alpha$  over A if A < G,  $c \in G$  and c has distance at least 2 from A,  $F \subseteq G$ , and  $B_q$  for each  $q \in F$  such that  $d_G(c/F) = 0$ ,  $A < B_q < G$ ,  $q \in B_q$  and  $(B_q,q)$  is isomorphic to (B,b) over A.

Note that if G is a closed substructure of a generic structure, then the elements in F are basic over A and are pairwise conjugate over A.

**Proposition 5.3.** (1) Let G be the structure in Proposition 5.1. Let c be a point in the cycle of W. Then (G,c) is a basic tower for  $\alpha$  over A.

(2) Let (G,c) be a basic tower for  $\alpha$  over A. Let  $H = D \otimes_F W$  where W is a wreath for  $\alpha$ , F is the set of leaves of W,  $D = \otimes_A \{G_q \mid q \in F\}$ , each  $G_q$  is isomorphic to G over A, and  $F \cap G_q = \{q\}$ .

If W is sufficiently large then choosing c' from the main cycle of W, (H,c') is a basic tower for  $\alpha$  over A. Moreover,  $d_H(c'/A) > d_G(c/A)$ .

The proof is easier than that for Proposition 5.1. We can use Lemma 3.8 (2).

Using this proposition many times, we can show that there is a basic tower (G'',c'') over A such that  $d_{G''}(c''/A) > 1$ . This means that Ac'' < G''. Embed G'' in the generic structure as a closed substructure. Then c'' is in the closure of a basic orbit over A, and  $c'' \perp A$ . As in [14], we can prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.4.** Let  $\alpha$  be a rational number with  $1/3 > \alpha \ge 1/4$ . Then the generic structure M of  $\mathbf{K}_{f_{\alpha}}$  is monodimensional. Therefore, the automorphism group of M is a simple group.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 17K05345, and by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J.T. Baldwin and K. Holland, Constructing  $\omega$ -stable structures: model completeness, Ann. Pure Appl. Log. **125**, 159–172 (2004).
- [2] J.T. Baldwin and S. Shelah, Randomness and semigenericity, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **349**, 1359–1376 (1997).
- [3] J.T. Baldwin and N. Shi, Stable generic structures, Ann. Pure Appl. Log. **79**, 1–35 (1996).
- [4] R. Diestel, Graph Theory, Fourth Edition, Springer, New York (2010).
- [5] D. Evans, Z. Ghadernezhad, and K. Tent, Simplicity of the automorphism groups of some Hrushovski constructions, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **167**, 22–48 (2016).
- [6] G.H. Hardy, and E.M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Fifth Edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford (1979).
- [7] E. Hrushovski, A stable \( \mathbb{K}\_0\)-categorical pseudoplane, preprint (1988).
- [8] E. Hrushovski, A new strongly minimal set, Ann. Pure Appl. Log. **62**, 147–166 (1993).
- [9] K. Ikeda, H. Kikyo, Model complete generic structures, in the Proceedings of the 13th Asian Logic Conference, World Scientific, 114–123 (2015).
- [10] H. Kikyo, Model complete generic graphs I, RIMS Kokyuroku 1938, 15–25 (2015).
- [11] H. Kikyo, Balanced Zero-Sum Sequences and Minimal Intrinsic Extensions, RIMS Kokyuroku **2079**, Balanced zero-sum sequences and minimal intrinsic extensions (2018).
- [12] H. Kikyo, Model Completeness of Generic Graphs in Rational Cases, Archive for Mathematical Logic **57** (7-8), 769–794 (2018).
- [13] H. Kikyo, Model completeness of the theory of Hrushovski's pseudoplane associated to 5/8, RIMS Kokyuroku **2084**, 39–47 (2018).
- [14] H. Kikyo, On the automorphism group of a Hrushovski's pseudoplane associated to 5/8, RIMS Kokyuroku **2119**, 75–86 (2019).
- [15] H. Kikyo, S. Okabe, On automorphism groups of Hrushovski's pseudoplanes in rational cases, in preparation.
- [16] F.O. Wagner, Relational structures and dimensions, in *Automorphisms of first-order structures*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 153–181 (1994).
- [17] F.O. Wagner, Simple Theories, Kluwer, Dordrecht (2000).

Graduate School of System Informatics Kobe University 1-1 Rokkodai, Nada, Kobe 657-8501 JAPAN kikyo@kobe-u.ac.jp

神戸大学大学院システム情報学研究科 桔梗 宏孝