

# Reconstruction of the defect by the enclosure method for inverse problems of the magnetic Schrödinger operator

Ryusei Yamashita

Tokyo Metropolitan University

This study is based on the paper [5]. We show a reconstruction formula of the convex hull of the defect  $D$  from the Dirichlet to Neumann map associated with the magnetic Schrödinger operator by using the enclosure method proposed by Ikehata [2], assuming certain higher regularity for the potentials of the magnetic Schrödinger operator, under the Dirichlet condition or the Robin condition on the boundary  $\partial D$  in the two and three dimensional case.

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n = 2, 3$ ) be a bounded domain where the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is  $C^2$  and let  $D$  be an open set satisfying  $\overline{D} \subset \Omega$  and  $\Omega \setminus \overline{D}$  is connected. The defect  $D$  consists of the union of disjoint bounded domains  $\{D_j\}_{j=1}^n$ , where the boundary of  $D$  is Lipschitz continuous. First, we define the DN map for the magnetic Schrödinger equation with no defect  $D$  in  $\Omega$ . Here, let  $D_A^2 u := \sum_{j=1}^n D_{A,j}(D_{A,j}u)$ , where  $D_{A,j} := \frac{1}{i}\partial_j + A_j$  and  $A = (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ .

**Definition 1.** Suppose  $q \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $q \geq 0$ ,  $A \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . For a given  $f \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ , we say  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  is a weak solution to the following boundary value problem for the magnetic Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} D_A^2 u + qu = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = f & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

if  $u = f$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and  $u$  satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} (D_A u) \cdot \overline{D_A \varphi} + qu\overline{\varphi} \, dx = 0$$

for any  $\varphi \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\varphi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ . Here,  $\overline{\varphi}$  is the complex conjugate of  $\varphi$ .

The DN map  $\Lambda_{q,A}$  is defined as follows.

**Definition 2.** (Weak formulation of DN map)

The DN map  $\Lambda_{q,A} : H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$  is defined as follows by the duality:

$$\langle \Lambda_{q,A} f, \overline{g} \rangle = \int_{\Omega} (D_A u) \cdot \overline{D_A v} + qu\overline{v} \, dx, \quad f, g \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega),$$

where  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  is the weak solution of (1.1) and  $v \in H^1(\Omega)$  is any function satisfying  $v|_{\partial\Omega} = g$ .

We define the weak solution of the magnetic Schrödinger equation with a defect  $D$  in  $\Omega$  under the Robin boundary condition on  $\partial D$ .

**Definition 3.** (Robin case)

Suppose  $q \in L^\infty(\Omega \setminus \overline{D})$ ,  $q \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda \in C^1(\partial D)$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $A \in C^1(\overline{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $\nu$  is the outward unit normal vector to  $\Omega \setminus \overline{D}$ . For a given  $f \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ , we say  $u \in H^1(\Omega \setminus \overline{D})$  is a weak solution to the following value problem for the magnetic Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} D_A^2 u + qu = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{D}, \\ \nu \cdot (\nabla + iA)u + \lambda u = 0 & \text{on } \partial D, \\ u = f & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

if  $u = f$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and  $u$  satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} (D_A u) \cdot \overline{D_A \varphi} + qu\overline{\varphi} \, dx + \int_{\partial D} \lambda u\overline{\varphi} \, dS = 0$$

for any  $\varphi \in H^1(\Omega \setminus \overline{D})$  such that  $\varphi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ .

The DN map  $\Lambda_{q,A,D}^{(R)}$  is defined as follows.

**Definition 4.** (DN map of the Robin case)

The DN map  $\Lambda_{q,A,D}^{(R)} : H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$  is defined as follows by the duality:

$$\langle \Lambda_{q,A,D}^{(R)} f, \bar{g} \rangle = \int_{\partial D} \lambda u \bar{v} dS + \int_{\Omega \setminus \bar{D}} (D_A u) \cdot \overline{D_A v} + q u \bar{v} dx, \quad f, g \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega),$$

where  $u \in H^1(\Omega \setminus \bar{D})$  is the weak solution of (1.3) and  $\varphi \in H^1(\Omega \setminus \bar{D})$  is any function  $\varphi|_{\partial\Omega} = g$ .

In the special case  $\lambda = 0$ , we denote  $\Lambda_{q,A,D}^{(N)}$  instead of  $\Lambda_{q,A,D}^{(R)}$ .

**Remark 1.** The weak solution of the magnetic Schrödinger equation with a defect  $D$  in  $\Omega$  under the Dirichlet boundary condition on  $\partial D$  and the DN map  $\Lambda_{q,A,D}^{(D)}$  can be defined in a similar way.

Next, we introduce an indicator function that plays an important role in the enclosure method. We denote by  $S^{n-1}$  the set of  $n$ -dimensional unit vectors ( $n = 2, 3$ ). For a given  $\omega \in S^{n-1}$ , we can take an orthogonal unit vector  $\omega^\perp \in S^{n-1}$ , namely  $\omega \cdot \omega^\perp = 0$ . Then we can construct a solution  $v_\tau(x; \omega) := e^{\tau x \cdot (\omega + i\omega^\perp)}(1 + r_\tau(x; \omega))$  of  $D_A^2 v + qv = 0$ , where  $r_\tau(x; \omega)$  is chosen suitably associated with the parameter  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ . This solution is called the complex geometrical optics solutions.

**Definition 5.** (Indicator function)

Let  $t, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, the indicator function  $I_\omega(\tau; t)$  is defined as follows.

$$I_\omega^{(R)}(\tau; t) := \langle (\Lambda_{q,A} - \Lambda_{q,A,D}^{(R)})(e^{-\tau t} v_\tau(x; \omega)), \overline{e^{-\tau t} v_\tau(x; \omega)} \rangle$$

Here,  $\bar{v}_\tau$  is the complex conjugate of  $v_\tau$ . In the special case  $\lambda = 0$ , we denote  $\Lambda_{q,A,D}^{(N)}$  instead of  $\Lambda_{q,A,D}^{(R)}$ . Also,  $I_\omega^{(D)}(\tau; t)$  can be defined by  $\Lambda_{q,A,D}^{(D)}$ . We define the support function  $h_D(\omega)$  as follows :

$$h_D(\omega) = \sup_{x \in D} x \cdot \omega, \quad \omega \in S^{n-1}.$$

Then it is well-known that the convex hull  $\text{conv}(D)$  of  $D$  is obtained as follows.

$$\text{conv}(D) := \bigcap_{\omega \in S^{n-1}} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \cdot \omega < h_D(\omega)\}.$$

Since the indicator function  $I_\omega(\tau; t)$  is determined from the DN map, if the support function  $h_D(\omega)$  is obtained from the indicator function  $I_\omega(\tau; t)$ , the convex hull  $\text{conv}(D)$  of inclusion  $D$  can be reconstructed from the observation data on boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Now, we give the formula of the reconstruction of the support function from the indicator function under a certain smallness condition for the vector potential  $A$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $\partial D$  is Lipschitz continuous. Let  $n = 2, 3, q \in H^2(\Omega), q \geq 0, A \in H^3(\Omega)$  and  $C(\Omega)\|A\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Then, we have*

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |I_\omega^{(D)}(\tau; 0)|}{2\tau} = h_D(\omega), \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |I_\omega^{(N)}(\tau; 0)|}{2\tau} = h_D(\omega),$$

for any  $\omega \in S^{n-1}$ . Here, the constant  $C(\Omega)$  depends only on  $\Omega$ .

For a given  $\omega \in S^{n-1}$ , we furthermore assume the following condition  $(D)_\omega$  for the Robin case.

$(D)_\omega$ : Suppose  $\partial D$  is  $C^2$  and the set  $T(\omega) := \{x \in \bar{D} \mid h_D(\omega) - x \cdot \omega = 0\}$  consists of only one point  $x_0 \in \partial D$ . Furthermore, we assume that in the neighborhood of  $x_0$  the boundary  $\partial D$  can be expressed as  $y = f(s), |s| < \epsilon, s \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , and there exists  $K_0, K_1 > 0, m_\omega \geq 2$  such that

$$K_0 |s|^{m_\omega} \leq f(s) \leq K_1 |s|^{m_\omega} \quad (|s| < \epsilon).$$

**Theorem 2.** (Robin case) *Suppose  $\lambda \neq 0, \lambda \geq 0$  and  $\lambda \in C^1(\partial D)$ . Let  $n = 2, 3, q \in H^2(\Omega), q \geq 0, A \in H^3(\Omega)$  and  $C(\Omega)\|A\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . We assume that the condition  $(D)_\omega$  holds as  $2 \leq m_\omega < 3$  for some  $\omega \in S^{n-1}$ . Then, we have*

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |I_\omega^{(R)}(\tau; 0)|}{2\tau} = h_D(\omega).$$

See [5] for the proof of Theorem 1. We present the basic estimates for the DN maps in the Robin case.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\lambda \neq 0, \lambda \geq 0$  and  $\lambda \in C^1(\partial D)$ . Let  $L$  be a constant satisfying  $\|\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\partial D)} \leq L$ . Assume  $\partial D$  is  $C^2$ . Take any  $y_0 \in \partial D$ , for a given  $f \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial \Omega)$ ,  $v \in H^1(\Omega)$  is a weak solution of (1.1). Let  $q = \frac{1}{2}$  when  $n = 3$  and  $q = 1 - \epsilon$  for any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  when  $n = 2$ . Then, there exist positive constants  $C_1 = C_1(\Omega, D, \epsilon), C_2 = C_2(\Omega, L, \epsilon)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_D |D_A v|^2 dx - C_2 \left\{ \int_D |v|^2 dx + \left( \int_{\partial D} |y - y_0|^q \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \right| dS \right)^2 + \int_{\partial D} |v|^2 dS \right\} \\ & \leq \langle (\Lambda_{q,A} - \Lambda_{q,A,D}^{(R)})f, \bar{f} \rangle \\ & \leq C_1 (\|D_A v\|_{L^2(D)}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2(D)}^2) + C_2 \left\{ \left( \int_{\partial D} (|y - y_0|^q \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \right| dS)^2 + \int_{\partial D} |v|^2 dS \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove Proposition 1, we prepare the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $v \in H^1(\Omega)$  and  $u \in H^1(\Omega \setminus \bar{D})$  are weak solutions of (1.1) and (1.3), respectively. We have for  $w := u - v$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\Lambda_{q,A} - \Lambda_{q,A,D}^{(R)})f, \bar{f} \rangle \\ & = \int_{\Omega \setminus \bar{D}} |D_A w|^2 + q|w|^2 dx + \int_D |D_A v|^2 + q|v|^2 dx - \left( \int_{\partial D} \lambda u \bar{v} - \lambda |u|^2 + \lambda \bar{u} v dS \right). \end{aligned}$$

We need the following estimate for the Robin case. We follow the argument in [3], where the proof is given for the three-dimensional case.

**Lemma 2.** Assume  $\partial D$  is  $C^2$ . Let  $L \geq 0$  be a constant satisfying  $\|\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\partial D)} \leq L$ . Take any  $y_0 \in \partial D$ . For a given  $f \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial \Omega)$ ,  $v \in H^1(\Omega)$  and  $u \in H^1(\Omega \setminus \bar{D})$  are weak solutions of (1.1) and (1.3), respectively. Let  $q = \frac{1}{2}$  when  $n = 3$  and  $q = 1 - \epsilon$  for any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  when  $n = 2$ . Then, there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial D} |u - v|^2 dS \leq \\ & C \|D_A w\|_{L^2(\Omega \setminus \bar{D})} \left( \int_{\partial D} |y - y_0|^q \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \right| dS + \|A\|^2 + q \|L\|_{L^\infty(D)} \int_D |v| dx + L \int_{\partial D} |v| dS \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.** To show Lemma 2, we need to assume that  $\lambda$  is a real-valued function for the case  $A \neq 0$ . If  $A = 0$ , we can allow  $\lambda$  to be a complex-valued function (see Ikehata [3]).

By Lemma 1 and 2, we obtain Proposition 1. To prove the asymptotic formula for the indicator function under the Robin condition on  $\partial D$ , we need the following basic lemmas.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $v_\tau = v_\tau(x; \omega) = e^{\tau x \cdot (\omega + i\omega^\perp)} (1 + r_\tau(x; \omega))$  be the complex geometrical optics solution as  $\zeta = \tau(\omega - i\omega^\perp)$ , where  $\tau > 0$  and  $\omega, \omega^\perp \in S^{n-1}$  satisfying  $\omega \cdot \omega^\perp = 0$ . Assume  $\|A\|_{H^2(\Omega)}$  is sufficiently small. Then, there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\frac{1}{4} \tau^2 \int_D e^{2\tau x \cdot \omega} dx \leq \int_D |D_A v_\tau|^2 dx \leq C \tau^2 \int_D e^{2\tau x \cdot \omega} dx$$

for sufficient large  $\tau$  and

$$\int_D |v_\tau|^2 dx \leq C \int_D e^{2\tau x \cdot \omega} dx.$$

**Lemma 4.** (cf. Ikehata [2, Proposition 2.3])

Let  $\partial D$  is Lipschitz continuous. There exists  $C_\omega > 0, \tau_\omega > 0$  such that

$$\tau^2 \int_D e^{-2\tau(h_D(\omega) - x \cdot \omega)} dx \geq C_\omega \tau^{1-p_\omega} \quad (\tau \geq \tau_\omega)$$

with

$$p_\omega = \begin{cases} 2 & (n = 3) \\ 1 & (n = 2), \end{cases}$$

for  $\omega \in S^{n-1}$ . Especially, when we assume furthermore the condition  $(D)_\omega$  and the graph  $y = f(s)$  representing  $\partial D$ , satisfies  $f(s) \leq g(s) = L|s|^{m_\omega}$  near  $x_0 \in T(\omega)$ . We have following estimate:

$$\tau^2 \int_D e^{-2\tau(h_D(\omega) - x \cdot \omega)} dx \geq \begin{cases} C_\omega \tau^{1 - \frac{2}{m_\omega}} & (n = 3), \\ C_\omega \tau^{1 - \frac{1}{m_\omega}} & (n = 2) \end{cases}$$

for any  $\tau \geq \tau_\omega$ .

**Lemma 5.** (cf. Ikehata [1, Lemma 4.2])

Assume  $(D)_\omega$  for  $\omega \in S^{n-1}$  and  $x_0 \in T(\omega)$  which appeared in the assumption  $(D)_\omega$ .

(1) Let  $n = 3$ . Then, there exist constants  $\tau_\omega$  and  $K$  such that

$$\left( \tau \int_{\partial D} |x - x_0|^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\tau(x \cdot \omega - h_D(\omega))} dS \right)^2 \leq K \tau^{2 - \frac{5}{m_\omega}} \quad (\tau \geq \tau_\omega),$$

and

$$\int_{\partial D} e^{\tau(x \cdot \omega - h_D(\omega))} dS \leq K \tau^{-\frac{2}{m_\omega}}.$$

(2) Let  $n = 2$ . Then, for any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , there exist  $\tau_\omega$  and  $K$  such that

$$\left( \tau \int_{\partial D} |x - x_0|^{1-\epsilon} e^{\tau(x \cdot \omega - h_D(\omega))} dS \right)^2 \leq K \tau^{2 - \frac{4-2\epsilon}{m_\omega}} \quad (\tau \geq \tau_\omega).$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* By the definition of  $I_\omega^{(R)}(\tau; t)$  and Proposition 1, we have

$$I_3(\tau) \leq I_\omega^{(R)}(\tau, 0) e^{-2\tau h_D(\omega)} = I_\omega^{(R)}(\tau; h_D(\omega)) \leq I_4(\tau),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(\tau) &= \int_D |D_A e^{-\tau(h_D(\omega))} v_\tau|^2 dx - C_2(L) \left\{ \int_D |e^{-\tau(h_D(\omega))} v_\tau|^2 dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \int_{\partial D} |x - x_0|^q |D_A e^{-\tau(h_D(\omega))} v_\tau| dS \right)^2 + \int_{\partial D} |e^{-\tau(h_D(\omega))} v_\tau|^2 dS \right\}, \\ I_4(\tau) &= C_1(D) \left( \int_D |D_A e^{-\tau(h_D(\omega))} v_\tau|^2 dx + \int_D |e^{-\tau(h_D(\omega))} v_\tau|^2 dx \right) \\ &\quad + C_2(L) \left\{ \left( \int_{\partial D} |x - x_0|^q |D_A e^{-\tau(h_D(\omega))} v_\tau| dS \right)^2 + \int_{\partial D} |e^{-\tau(h_D(\omega))} v_\tau|^2 dS \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \cdot \omega - h_D(\omega) \leq 0$  ( $x \in D$ ), it follows

$$I_4(\tau) \leq C \tau^2.$$

Lemma 4 implies for large  $\tau \geq \tau_\omega$

$$\begin{aligned} & C \tau^2 \int_D e^{2\tau(x \cdot \omega - h_D(\omega))} dx - C' \int_D e^{2\tau(x \cdot \omega - h_D(\omega))} dx \\ & \geq \frac{C}{2} \tau^2 \int_D e^{2\tau(x \cdot \omega - h_D(\omega))} dx \geq C \begin{cases} \tau^{1 - \frac{2}{m_\omega}} & (n = 3) \\ \tau^{1 - \frac{1}{m_\omega}} & (n = 2). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Lemma 5 implies for large  $\tau \geq \tau_\omega$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial D} \left| e^{\tau(x \cdot (\omega + i\omega^\perp) - h_D(\omega))} (1 + r) \right|^2 dS &\leq C \int_{\partial D} e^{2\tau(x \cdot \omega - h_D(\omega))} dS \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C \tau^{-\frac{2}{m_\omega}} & (n = 3) \\ C & (n = 2). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since there exists a constant  $C$  such that  $|r(x)| \leq C$ ,  $|\nabla r(x)| \leq C\tau$  ( $x \in D$ ), we can estimate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{\partial D} |x - x_0|^q \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left( e^{\tau(x \cdot (\omega + i\omega^\perp) - h_D(\omega))} (1 + r(x)) \right) \right| dS \right)^2 \\ & \leq \left( \int_{\partial D} |x - x_0|^q \left( |\tau(\omega + i\omega^\perp) \cdot \nu (1 + r(x))| + |\nabla r(x)| \right) e^{\tau(x \cdot \omega - h_D(\omega))} dS \right)^2 \\ & \leq C \left( \tau \int_{\partial D} |x - x_0|^q e^{\tau(x \cdot \omega - h_D(\omega))} dS \right)^2 \leq \begin{cases} CK \tau^{2 - \frac{5}{m_\omega}} & (n = 3) \\ CK \tau^{2 - \frac{4-2\epsilon}{m_\omega}} & (n = 2). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Combining these estimates, we have for  $\tau \geq \tau_\omega$

$$I_3(\tau) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{C}{2} \tau^{1-\frac{2}{m_\omega}} - C\tau^{-\frac{2}{m_\omega}} - CK\tau^{2-\frac{5}{m_\omega}} & (n=3) \\ \frac{C}{2} \tau^{1-\frac{1}{m_\omega}} - C - CK\tau^{2-\frac{4-2\epsilon}{m_\omega}} & (n=2). \end{cases}$$

Note that  $1 - \frac{2}{m_\omega} > 2 - \frac{5}{m_\omega}$  for  $n=3$  and  $1 - \frac{1}{m_\omega} > 2 - \frac{4-2\epsilon}{m_\omega}$  for  $n=2$ , since  $2 \leq m_\omega < 3$ . Here, we take  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  sufficiently small such that  $3 - 2\epsilon > m_\omega$ . So, for  $\tau_\omega$  large enough, there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$I_3(\tau) \geq \begin{cases} C\tau^{1-\frac{2}{m_\omega}} & (n=3) \\ C\tau^{1-\frac{1}{m_\omega}} & (n=2) \end{cases}$$

for  $\tau \geq \tau_\omega$ . Thus, it follows

$$C\tau^\alpha \leq e^{-2\tau h_D(\omega)} I_\omega^{(R)}(\tau, 0) \leq C\tau^2 \quad (\tau \geq \tau_\omega),$$

where

$$\alpha := \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{2}{m_\omega} & (n=3) \\ 1 - \frac{1}{m_\omega} & (n=2). \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

$$\log C + \alpha \log \tau \leq -2\tau h_D(\omega) + \log |I_\omega^{(R)}(\tau, 0)| \leq \log C + 2 \log \tau \quad (\tau \geq \tau_\omega).$$

Now, we can conclude

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |I_\omega^{(R)}(\tau, 0)|}{2\tau} = h_D(\omega).$$

□

## References

- [1] M. Ikehata, *How to draw a picture of an unknown inclusion from boundary measurements*, J. Inv. Ill-Posed Problems, **7** (1999), pp. 255-271.
- [2] M. Ikehata, *Reconstruction of the support function for inclusion from boundary measurements*, J. Inv. Ill-Posed Problems, **8** (2000), pp. 367-378.
- [3] M. Ikehata, *Two sides of probe method and obstacle with impedance boundary condition*, Hokkaido Math. J., **35** (2006), pp. 659-681.
- [4] M. Ikehata, *Direct reconstruction of solutions to inverse problems*, (in Japanese), Hokkaido University technical report series in mathematics, (2005).
- [5] K. Kurata and R. Yamashita, *Reconstruction of the defect by the enclosure method for inverse problems of the magnetic Schrödinger operator*, (preprint).

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Tokyo Metropolitan University,  
Minami-Ohsawa 1-1, Hachioji, Tokyo, 192-0397 Japan.  
yamashita@uitech.ac.jp