

The decay property of the multidimensional compressible flow in the exterior domain

Xin Zhang

Abstract

This is a report of the recent work [13], which is a joint work with Yoshihiro Shibata from Waseda University. In [13], we established the L_p - L_q decay estimate of some model problem of the compressible flow with the free boundary condition in the exterior domain in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 3$). Furthermore, our proof in [13] followed the local energy approach.

1 Introduction

In this note, we consider the following model problem * in some smooth exterior domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 3$):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \gamma_1 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ \gamma_1 \partial_t \mathbf{v} - \operatorname{Div} (\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{v}) - \gamma_2 \rho \mathbf{I}) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ (\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{v}) - \gamma_2 \rho \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{n}_\Gamma = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ (\rho, \mathbf{v})|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

In (1.1), the constants $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \mu, \nu > 0$, $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{v}) = \mu(\nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^\top) + (\nu - \mu) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{I}$, the (i, j) th entry of the matrix $\nabla \mathbf{v}$ is $\partial_i v_j$, and \mathbf{I} is the $N \times N$ identity matrix. In addition, \mathbf{M}^\top is the transposed matrix of $\mathbf{M} = [M_{ij}]$, $\operatorname{Div} \mathbf{M}$ denotes an N -vector of functions whose i -th component is $\sum_{j=1}^N \partial_j M_{ij}$, $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = \sum_{j=1}^N \partial_j v_j$, and $\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla = \sum_{j=1}^N v_j \partial_j$ with $\partial_j = \partial / \partial x_j$. Moreover, \mathbf{n}_Γ stands for the unit normal vector to the boundary Γ of Ω .

The system (1.1) comes from the study of the motion the barotropic viscous gases in some moving exterior domain $\Omega_t \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 3$), described by the following compressible Navier-Stokes equations with the free boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div} ((\rho_e + \rho) \mathbf{v}) = 0 & \text{in } \bigcup_{0 < t < T} \Omega_t \times \{t\}, \\ (\rho_e + \rho)(\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) - \operatorname{Div} (\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{v}) - P(\rho_e + \rho) \mathbf{I}) = 0 & \text{in } \bigcup_{0 < t < T} \Omega_t \times \{t\}, \\ (\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{v}) - P(\rho_e + \rho) \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{n}_{\Gamma_t} = -P(\rho_e) \mathbf{n}_{\Gamma_t}, \quad V_{\Gamma_t} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Gamma_t} & \text{on } \bigcup_{0 < t < T} \Gamma_t \times \{t\}, \\ (\rho, \mathbf{v}, \Omega_t)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0, \Omega). & \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

*In [13], we treated some model problem like (1.1) with variable coefficients.

In (1.2), the reference mass density $\rho_e > 0$, the unknown mass density is $\rho + \rho_e$, and the unknown velocity field is $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_N)^\top$. Moreover, \mathbf{n}_{Γ_t} is the outer unit normal vector to the boundary Γ_t of Ω_t , and V_{Γ_t} stands for the normal velocity of the moving surface Γ_t . In the next section, we shall see that (1.1) can be regarded as the linearized model of (1.2) via the *partial* Lagrangian coordinates. Here, let us emphasize that the linear theory on (1.1) is fundamental to the (local or global) solvability of (1.2).

In [13], we established the L_p - L_q decay property of (1.1), which originates from the theory of the parabolic equations. For simplicity, let us review the heat equation in the whole space \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 3$):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v - \Delta v = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ v|_{t=0} = v_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

In view of the explicit solution formula of (1.3), namely,

$$v(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_t(x - y) v_0(y) dy, \quad G_t(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}\right),$$

it is not hard to verify that v admits the L_p - L_q decay estimate

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha v(\cdot, t)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \lesssim t^{-(N/q - N/p)/2 - |\alpha|/2} \|v_0\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \quad (1.4)$$

for any $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$, and $t > 0$. Here \mathbb{N}_0 denotes the set of all nonnegative integers, and $A \lesssim B$ stands for $A \leq CB$ for some harmless constant C .

The L_p - L_q decay theory plays a vital role in the solvability of the model in fluid dynamics. For example, the extension of (1.4) for the incompressible flow in the exterior domain was done in [7, 8]. Let us write A_S for the Stokes operator associated to the Dirichlet boundary condition in the smooth exterior domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 3$). Then the results in [7, 8] yield that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{tA_S} \mathbf{v}_0\|_{L_p(\Omega)} &\lesssim t^{-N(1/q - 1/p)/2} \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{L_q(\Omega)}, \\ \|\nabla e^{tA_S} \mathbf{v}_0\|_{L_p(\Omega)} &\lesssim t^{-\sigma_1(p, q, N)} \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{L_q(\Omega)}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

for $t > 1$, $1 < q \leq p < \infty$ and

$$\sigma_1(p, q, N) = \begin{cases} (N/q - N/p)/2 + 1/2 & \text{for } 1 < p \leq N, \\ N/(2q) & \text{for } N < p < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the gradient estimate of e^{tA_S} in (1.5) is also sharp for $p > N$ (see [8]).

On the other hand, for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations, Matsumura and Nishida in [10] proved the global wellposedness whenever the initial data were give small

in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, the authors in [9] obtained the L_2 - L_1 type decay property of the solutions near the equilibrium $(\rho_e, 0)$,

$$\|(\rho - \rho_e, \mathbf{v})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_0 t^{-3/4} \quad (t > 1), \quad (1.6)$$

for some constant C_0 depending on the small quantity $\|(\rho_0 - \rho_e, \mathbf{v}_0)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}$. For the further discussion in Besov regularity framework, one may refer to [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 11].

To state our main result on the L_p - L_q decay estimate of (1.1), we introduce some notion. Let $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the C_0 -semigroup generated by the operator

$$\mathcal{A}_\Omega(\rho, \mathbf{v}) = \left(\gamma_1 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}, -\gamma_1^{-1} \operatorname{Div} (\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{v}) - \gamma_2 \rho \mathbf{I}) \right)$$

in the space $H_p^{1,0}(\Omega) = H_p^1(\Omega) \times L_p(\Omega)^N$ for $1 < p < \infty$ (see Theorem 4.2). Denote the solution of (1.1) by $(\rho, \mathbf{v}) = T(t)(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0)$ and $\mathbf{v} = \mathcal{P}_v T(t)(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0)$. Then our main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1. (*L_p - L_q decay estimate*) Let Ω be a C^3 exterior domain in \mathbb{R}^N with $N \geq 3$. Assume that $(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0) \in L_q(\Omega)^{1+N} \cap H_p^{1,0}(\Omega)$ with $H_p^{1,0}(\Omega) = H_p^1(\Omega) \times L_p(\Omega)^N$ for $1 \leq q \leq 2 \leq p < \infty$, and $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the semigroup associated to (1.1) in $H_p^{1,0}(\Omega)$. For convenience, we set

$$\|(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0)\|_{p,q} = \|(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0)\|_{L_q(\Omega)} + \|(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0)\|_{H_p^{1,0}(\Omega)}.$$

Then for $t \geq 1$, there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t)(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} &\leq C t^{-(N/q - N/p)/2} \|(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0)\|_{p,q}, \\ \|\nabla T(t)(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} &\leq C t^{-\sigma_1(p,q,N)} \|(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0)\|_{p,q}, \\ \|\nabla^2 \mathcal{P}_v T(t)(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} &\leq C t^{-\sigma_2(p,q,N)} \|(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0)\|_{p,q}, \end{aligned}$$

where the indices $\sigma_1(p, q, N)$ and $\sigma_2(p, q, N)$ are given by

$$\sigma_1(p, q, N) = \begin{cases} (N/q - N/p)/2 + 1/2 & \text{for } 2 \leq p \leq N, \\ N/(2q) & \text{for } N < p < \infty, \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_2(p, q, N) = \begin{cases} 3/(2q) & \text{for } N = 3, \\ (N/q - N/p)/2 + 1 & \text{for } N \geq 4 \text{ and } 2 \leq p \leq N/2, \\ N/(2q) & \text{for } N \geq 4 \text{ and } N/2 < p < \infty. \end{cases}$$

To establish the L_p - L_q estimates in Theorem 1.1, we use the so-called *local energy approach*. Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an exterior domain such that $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \subset B_R$, and B_R denotes the ball centred at origin with radius $R > 1$. Then we can prove

Theorem 1.2. (local energy estimate) Let Ω be a C^3 exterior domain in \mathbb{R}^N for $N \geq 3$. Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $L > 2R$. Denote that [†]

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_L &= \Omega \cap B_L, & H_p^{1,2}(\Omega_L) &= H_p^1(\Omega_L) \times H_p^2(\Omega_L)^N, \\ X_{p,L}(\Omega) &= \{(d, \mathbf{f}) \in H_p^{1,0}(\Omega) : \text{supp } d, \text{supp } \mathbf{f} \subset \overline{\Omega}_L\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then for any $(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0) \in X_{p,L}(\Omega)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, there exists a positive constant $C_{p,k,L}$ such that

$$\|\partial_t^k T(t)(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0)\|_{H_p^{1,2}(\Omega_L)} \leq C_{p,k,L} t^{-N/2-k} \|(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0)\|_{H_p^{1,0}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall t \geq 1.$$

We will have Theorem 1.1 so long as Theorem 1.2 is established. To prove Theorem 1.2, we consider the resolvent problem of (1.1):

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \eta + \gamma_1 \text{div } \mathbf{u} = d & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \gamma_1 \lambda \mathbf{u} - \text{Div}(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}) - \gamma_2 \eta \mathbf{I}) = \mathbf{f} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ (\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}) - \gamma_2 \eta \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{n}_\Gamma = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

The analysis of (1.7) is the main concern of this note. One difficulty is to describe the behaviour of the solution of (1.7) if λ locates near the origin. This is contained in the result of section 3. On the other hand, it is easy to study (1.7) whenever λ is sufficient large (see Theorem 4.1 in section 4). The case λ is uniformly bounded from above is more involved (see Theorem 4.3).

Notation

For convenience, we introduce some useful notation. For any domain G in \mathbb{R}^N , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $L_p(G)$ ($L_{p,\text{loc}}(G)$) stands for the (local) Lebesgue space, and $H_p^k(G)$ ($H_{p,\text{loc}}^k(G)$) for the (local) Sobolev space. Moreover, we write

$$H_p^{k,\ell}(G) = H_p^k(G) \times H_p^\ell(G)^N, \quad H_{p,\text{loc}}^{k,\ell}(G) = H_{p,\text{loc}}^k(G) \times H_{p,\text{loc}}^\ell(G)^N.$$

For any Banach spaces X, Y , the total of the bounded linear transformations from X to Y is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(X; Y)$. We also write $\mathcal{L}(X)$ for short if $X = Y$. In addition, $\text{Hol}(\Lambda; X)$ denotes the set of X -valued analytic mappings defined on the domain $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$. To study the resolvent problem (1.7), we introduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_\varepsilon &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : |\arg \lambda| \leq \pi - \varepsilon\}, & \Sigma_{\varepsilon,b} &= \{\lambda \in \Sigma_\varepsilon : |\lambda| \geq b\}, \\ K &= \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left(\Re \lambda + \frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}{\mu + \nu} \right)^2 + \Im \lambda^2 > \left(\frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}{\mu + \nu} \right)^2 \right\}, & (1.8) \\ V_{\varepsilon,b} &= \Sigma_{\varepsilon,b} \cap K, & \dot{U}_b &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] : |\lambda| < b\}\end{aligned}$$

for any $0 < \varepsilon < \pi/2$ and $b > 0$.

[†] \overline{E} stands for the closure of E for any subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$.

2 Formulation via partial Lagrangian coordinates

In this section, we will introduce the partial Lagrangian coordinates, and we will also see that the linearized form of (1.2) is (1.1). Let $\kappa = \kappa(x)$ be a smooth functions which equals to 1 for $x \in B_R$ and vanishes outside of B_{2R} . Define the partial Lagrangian transformation as follows:

$$x = X_{\mathbf{u}}(y, t) = y + \int_0^t \kappa(y) \mathbf{u}(y, s) ds \in \Omega_t \cup \Gamma_t, \quad \forall y \in \Omega \cup \Gamma, \quad (2.1)$$

for some smooth vector $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\cdot, s)$ and $0 \leq t \leq T$. By assuming the condition

$$\int_0^T \|\kappa(\cdot) \mathbf{u}(\cdot, s)\|_{H^\infty(\Omega)} ds \leq \delta < 1/2 \quad (2.2)$$

for small constant $\delta > 0$, we denote $X_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(\cdot, t)$ for the inverse of $X_{\mathbf{u}}(\cdot, t)$ in (2.1). Suppose that

$$\rho(x, t) = \eta(X_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(x, t), t), \quad \mathbf{v}(x, t) = \mathbf{u}(X_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(x, t), t), \quad \Omega_t = \{x = X_{\mathbf{u}}(y, t) \mid y \in \Omega\},$$

solve (1.2) for some function η defined in Ω . We will derive the equations formally satisfied by (ρ, \mathbf{u}) in Ω in what follows.

Assume that Γ is a compact hypersurface of C^2 class. The kinematic (non-slip) condition $V_{\Gamma_t} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_t$ is automatically satisfied under the transformation $X_{\mathbf{u}}$, because $\kappa = 1$ near the boundary Γ . Denote that

$$\nabla_y X_{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{I} + \int_0^t \nabla_y (\kappa(y) \mathbf{u}(y, s)) ds,$$

and $J_{\mathbf{u}} = \det(\nabla_y X_{\mathbf{u}})$. Then by the assumption (2.2), there exists the inverse of $\nabla_y X_{\mathbf{u}}$, that is,

$$(\nabla_y X_{\mathbf{u}})^{-1} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{V}_0(\mathbf{k}), \quad \mathbf{k} = \int_0^t \nabla_y (\kappa(y) \mathbf{u}(y, s)) ds,$$

where $\mathbf{V}_0(\mathbf{k}) = [V_{0ij}(\mathbf{k})]_{N \times N}$ is a matrix-valued function given by

$$\mathbf{V}_0(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-\mathbf{k})^j.$$

In particular, $\mathbf{V}_0(0) = 0$. By the chain rule, we introduce the gradient, divergence and stress tensor operators with respect to the transformation (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} &= (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{V}_0(\mathbf{k})) \nabla_y, \quad \operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{V}_0(\mathbf{k})) : \nabla_y \mathbf{u} = J^{-1} \operatorname{div}_y \left(J (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{V}_0(\mathbf{k}))^\top \mathbf{u} \right), \\ \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{u}) &= (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{V}_0(\mathbf{k})) \nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^\top (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{V}_0(\mathbf{k}))^\top = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{V}_0(\mathbf{k}) \nabla \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{V}_0(\mathbf{k}) \nabla \mathbf{u})^\top, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{u}) = \mu \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{u}) + (\nu - \mu) (\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{I}, \quad \operatorname{Div}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{A} = J_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1} \operatorname{Div}_y \left(J_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{V}_0(\mathbf{k})) \right).$$

In addition, the i th component of $\text{Div}_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{A}$ can be also written via

$$(\text{Div}_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{A})_i = \sum_{j,k=1}^N [\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{V}_0(\mathbf{k})]_{jk} \partial_k A_{ij}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (2.4)$$

In particular, $\text{Div}_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{A} = 0$ if \mathbf{A} is a constant matrix. Then according to (2.3), (ρ, \mathbf{u}) fulfils

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \eta + (1 - \kappa) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} \eta + (\rho_e + \eta) \text{div}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ (\rho_e + \eta) (\partial_t \mathbf{u} + (1 - \kappa) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{u}) - \text{Div}_{\mathbf{u}} (\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{u}) - P(\rho_e + \eta) \mathbf{I}) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ (\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{u}) - P(\rho_e + \eta) \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{u}} = -P(\rho_e) \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{u}} & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, T), \\ (\eta, \mathbf{u})|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

where \mathbf{n}_{Γ} denotes for the unit normal vector to Γ , and $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{u}}$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{u}} = \frac{(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{V}_0(\mathbf{k})) \mathbf{n}_{\Gamma}}{|(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{V}_0(\mathbf{k})) \mathbf{n}_{\Gamma}|}.$$

It is clear that the boundary condition in (2.5) is equivalent to

$$(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{u}) - (P(\rho_e + \eta) - P(\rho_e)) \mathbf{I}) (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{V}_0(\mathbf{k})) \mathbf{n}_{\Gamma} = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

On the other hand, as $P(\cdot)$ is smooth, we infer from Taylor's theorem that

$$P(\rho_e + \eta) - P(\rho_e) = P'(\rho_e) \eta + \frac{\eta^2}{2} \int_0^1 P''(\rho_e + \theta \eta) (1 - \theta) d\theta. \quad (2.7)$$

Thus (2.6) and (2.7) yield that the principal terms of (2.5) are given as in the left-hand side of (1.1) by setting $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = (\rho_e, P'(\rho_e))$.

3 Resolvent problem for λ near zero

In this section, we will give the behaviour of the solution of the system (1.7) whenever λ lies near the origin. This situation is the most significant part of this work.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(d, \mathbf{f}) \in X_{p,L}(\Omega)$ for $1 < p \leq r$ and $L > 2R > 0$. Then there exist a constant $\lambda_1 > 0$ and two families of the operators $(\mathbb{M}_{\lambda}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda})$ for any $\lambda \in \dot{U}_{\lambda_1} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] : |\lambda| < \lambda_1\}$ with*

$$\mathbb{M}_{\lambda} \in \text{Hol} \left(\dot{U}_{\lambda_1}; \mathcal{L}(X_{p,L}(\Omega); H_{p,\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)) \right), \quad \mathbb{V}_{\lambda} \in \text{Hol} \left(\dot{U}_{\lambda_1}; \mathcal{L}(X_{p,L}(\Omega); H_{p,\text{loc}}^2(\Omega)^N) \right),$$

so that $(\eta, \mathbf{u}) = (\mathbb{M}_{\lambda}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda})(d, \mathbf{f})$ solves (1.7). Moreover, there exist families of the operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}_{\lambda}^i &\in \text{Hol} \left(\dot{U}_{\lambda_1}; \mathcal{L}(X_{p,L}(\Omega); H_{p,\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)) \right) \quad (i = 1, 2), \\ \mathbb{V}_{\lambda}^j &\in \text{Hol} \left(\dot{U}_{\lambda_1}; \mathcal{L}(X_{p,L}(\Omega); H_{p,\text{loc}}^2(\Omega)^N) \right) \quad (j = 0, 1, 2), \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{M}_\lambda &= (\lambda^{N-2} \log \lambda) \mathbb{M}_\lambda^1 + \mathbb{M}_\lambda^2, \\ \mathbb{V}_\lambda &= (\lambda^{N/2-1} (\log \lambda)^{\sigma(N)}) \mathbb{V}_\lambda^0 + (\lambda^{N-2} \log \lambda) \mathbb{V}_\lambda^1 + \mathbb{V}_\lambda^2,\end{aligned}$$

for any $\lambda \in \dot{U}_{\lambda_1}$ and $\sigma(N) = ((-1)^N + 1)/2$.

In the following, we outline the main strategy of the proof of Theorem 3.1. Without loss of generality, we shall prove Theorem 3.1 for $L = 5R$. To construct the solution mapping of (1.7), we consider the auxiliary problem:

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = d & \text{in } \Omega_{5R}, \\ -\operatorname{Div} (\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}) - \gamma_2 \eta \mathbf{I}) = \mathbf{f} & \text{in } \Omega_{5R}, \\ (\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}) - \gamma_2 \eta \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{n}_\Gamma = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ (\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}) - \gamma_2 \eta \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{n}_{S_{5R}} = 0 & \text{on } S_{5R}, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Here, $\mathbf{n}_{S_{5R}}$ denotes the unit outer normal to $S_{5R} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid |x| = 5R\}$.

The homogeneous system (3.1) lacks of the uniqueness in general. So we need some trick to fix it. Let $3R < b_0 < b_1 < b_2 < b_3 < 4R$ and set

$$D_{b_1, b_2} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid b_1 < |x| < b_2\}, \quad D_{b_1, b_2}^+ = \{x \in D_{b_1, b_2} \mid x_j > 0 \ (j = 1, \dots, N)\}.$$

Now, we introduce the vectors of the rigid motion. Set

$$\mathbf{r}_j(x) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}_j = (0, \dots, \underbrace{1}_{j\text{th component}}, \dots, 0) & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N, \\ x_k \mathbf{e}_\ell - x_\ell \mathbf{e}_k \ (k, \ell = 1, \dots, N) & \text{for } j = N + 1, \dots, M. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Above, M is a constant only depending of the dimension N . For any vector \mathbf{u} satisfying $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}) = 0$, \mathbf{u} is represented by a linear combination of $\{\mathbf{r}_j\}_{j=1}^M$, namely $\mathbf{u} = \sum_{j=1}^M a_j \mathbf{r}_j$ with some $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \psi \subset D_{b_1, b_2}$, and $\psi = 1$ on some ball $B \subset D_{b_1, b_2}^+$. We introduce a family of vectors $\mathfrak{Q}_\psi = \{\mathbf{q}_j\}_{j=1}^M$, the normalization of $\{\mathbf{r}_j\}_{j=1}^M$ in such a way that

$$(\mathbf{q}_j, \mathbf{q}_k)_\psi = (\psi \mathbf{q}_j, \mathbf{q}_k)_{\mathbb{R}^N} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi(x) \mathbf{q}_j(x) \cdot \mathbf{q}_k(x) dx = \delta_{jk}. \quad (3.3)$$

Moreover, for simplicity we write

- $\mathbf{f} \perp \mathfrak{Q}_R$ if $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{q}_j)_{\Omega_{5R}} = 0$ for any $\mathbf{q}_j \in \mathfrak{Q}_\psi$;
- $\mathbf{f} \perp \mathfrak{Q}_\psi$ if $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{q}_j)_\psi = 0$ for any $\mathbf{q}_j \in \mathfrak{Q}_\psi$.

With the notations above, we can prove the following elliptic estimates for (3.1).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $1 < p \leq r$. Let $(d, \mathbf{f}) \in H_p^{1,0}(\Omega_{5R})$ with $\mathbf{f} \perp \mathfrak{Q}_R$. Then there exist operators*

$$(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{W}) \in \mathcal{L}(H_p^{1,0}(\Omega_{5R}), H_p^{1,2}(\Omega_{5R}))$$

such that $(\eta, \mathbf{u}) = (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{W})(d, \mathbf{f})$ is a unique solution of (3.1) with $\mathbf{u} \perp \mathfrak{Q}_R$. Moreover, the following estimate holds,

$$\|\eta\|_{H_p^1(\Omega_{5R})} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H_p^2(\Omega_{5R})} \leq C(\|d\|_{H_p^1(\Omega_{5R})} + \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_p(\Omega_{5R})}),$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is one core but technical result in our work [13]. Here, we admit such result and proceed with the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let φ , ψ_0 , and ψ_∞ be the cut-off functions such that $0 \leq \varphi, \psi_0, \psi_\infty \leq 1$, $\varphi, \psi_0, \psi_\infty \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |x| \leq b_1, \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| \geq b_2, \end{cases} \quad \psi_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |x| \leq b_2, \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| \geq b_3, \end{cases} \quad \psi_\infty(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |x| \geq b_1, \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| \leq b_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

For any $(d, \mathbf{f}) \in H_p^{1,0}(\Omega_{5R})$, we have

$$\|\psi_\infty d\|_{H_p^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|\psi_\infty \mathbf{f}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(\|d\|_{H_p^1(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_p(\Omega)}). \quad (3.5)$$

Then, by the theory in [12, Subsection 3.1] and (3.5), there exists a $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $(\eta_\lambda, \mathbf{u}_\lambda) = (\mathcal{M}_\lambda, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)(\psi_\infty d, \psi_\infty \mathbf{f})$ solves the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \eta_\lambda + \gamma_1 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_\lambda = \psi_\infty d & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \gamma_1 \lambda \mathbf{u}_\lambda - \operatorname{Div}(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}_\lambda) - \gamma_2 \eta_\lambda \mathbf{I}) = \psi_\infty \mathbf{f} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

and satisfies the estimate:

$$\|\eta_\lambda\|_{H_p^1(B_{6R})} + \|\mathbf{u}_\lambda\|_{H_p^2(B_{6R})} \leq C(\|d\|_{H_p^1(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_p(\Omega)}). \quad (3.7)$$

Moreover, we set $(\eta_0, \mathbf{u}_0) = (\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{V}_0)(\psi_\infty d, \psi_\infty \mathbf{f}) \in H_{p,\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ fulfilling that

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_0 = \psi_\infty d & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\operatorname{Div}(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}_0) - \gamma_2 \eta_0 \mathbf{I}) = \psi_\infty \mathbf{f} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\lim_{\substack{\lambda \in \dot{U}_{\lambda_0} \\ |\lambda| \rightarrow 0}} (\|\eta_\lambda - \eta_0\|_{H_p^1(B_{6R})} + \|\mathbf{u}_\lambda - \mathbf{u}_0\|_{H_p^2(B_{6R})}) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

On the other hand, let us set

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{R}_d} = \sum_{j=1}^M (\psi_0 \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{q}_j)_{\Omega_{5R}} \psi \mathbf{q}_j, \quad \mathbf{f}_\perp = \psi_0 \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{R}_d} \in L_p(\Omega_{5R})^N.$$

Obviously, $\mathbf{f}_\perp \perp \mathfrak{Q}_R$. Then, Theorem 3.2 yields that there exists a (unique) solution $(\eta_\sharp, \mathbf{u}_\sharp) \in H_p^{1,2}(\Omega_{5R})$ with $\mathbf{u}_\sharp \perp \mathfrak{Q}_R$ of the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_\sharp = \psi_0 d & \text{in } \Omega_{5R}, \\ -\operatorname{Div} (\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}_\sharp) - \gamma_2 \eta_\sharp \mathbf{I}) = \mathbf{f}_\perp & \text{in } \Omega_{5R}, \\ (\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}_\sharp) - \gamma_2 \eta_\sharp \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{n}_\Gamma = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ (\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}_\sharp) - \gamma_2 \eta_\sharp \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{n}_{S_{5R}} = 0 & \text{on } S_{5R}, \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

possessing the estimate

$$\|\eta_\sharp\|_{H_p^1(\Omega_{5R})} + \|\mathbf{u}_\sharp\|_{H_p^2(\Omega_{5R})} \leq C(\|d\|_{H_p^1(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_p(\Omega)}). \quad (3.11)$$

We now introduce parametrices:

$$\tilde{\eta}_\lambda = \Phi_\lambda(d, \mathbf{f}) = (1 - \varphi)\eta_\lambda + \varphi\eta_\sharp, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\lambda = \Psi_\lambda(d, \mathbf{f}) = (1 - \varphi)\mathbf{u}_\lambda + \varphi\mathbf{u}_\sharp$$

for $\lambda \in \dot{U}_{\lambda_0} \cup \{0\}$. Then the couple $(\tilde{\eta}_\lambda, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\lambda)$ plays a vital role in constructing the solution mapping of (1.7) whenever λ is near the zero. For more details, see [13].

4 Resolvent problem for λ away from zero

According to Theorem 3.1, it suffices to study (1.7) whenever λ is uniformly bounded from below. In this section, we first give the result when λ is far away from the origin. Then we consider (1.7) whenever λ lies in some ring-shaped region.

4.1 Resolvent problem for large λ

Recall the notion in (1.8). The following result can be regarded as the simplified version of [5, Theorem 2.4]:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $1 < p \leq r < \infty$, and $0 < \varepsilon < \pi/2$. Assume that Ω is a C^2 exterior domain in \mathbb{R}^N for $N \geq 3$. Then there exist $\lambda_2 > 0$ and two families of operators*

$$(\mathcal{P}_\infty(\lambda), \mathcal{V}_\infty(\lambda)) \in \operatorname{Hol}\left(V_{\varepsilon, \lambda_2}; \mathcal{L}(H_p^{1,0}(\Omega); H_p^{1,2}(\Omega))\right),$$

such that $(\eta, \mathbf{u}) = (\mathcal{P}_\infty(\lambda), \mathcal{V}_\infty(\lambda))(d, \mathbf{f}) \in H_p^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is a unique solution of (1.7) for any $\lambda \in V_{\varepsilon, \lambda_2}$ and any $(d, \mathbf{f}) \in H_p^{1,0}(\Omega)$. Moreover, we have

$$\|\eta\|_{H_p^1(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H_p^2(\Omega)} \leq C(\|d\|_{H_p^1(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_p(\Omega)}) \quad (4.1)$$

for some constant C depending solely on $\lambda_2, \varepsilon, p, \mu, \nu, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, N$.

The existence of the semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ associated to (1.1) is immediate from Theorem 4.1. For $1 < p, q < \infty$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{A}_\Omega) &= \{(\eta, \mathbf{u}) \in H_p^{1,0}(\Omega) \mid \mathbf{u} \in H_p^2(\Omega)^N, (\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}) - \gamma_2 \eta \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{n}_\Gamma = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{D}_{p,q}(\Omega) &= (H_p^{1,0}(\Omega), \mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{A}_\Omega))_{1-1/q, q}.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.2. *The operator \mathcal{A}_Ω generates a C_0 -semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ in $H_p^{1,0}(\Omega)$ for any $1 < p \leq r < \infty$, which is analytic as well. Denote the solution of (1.1) by $(\rho, \mathbf{v})(t) = T(t)(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0)$. Then there exists positive constants γ_0 and C such that the following assertions hold.*

1. For $(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0) \in H_p^{1,0}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\|(\rho, \mathbf{v})(t)\|_{H_p^{1,0}(\Omega)} + t(\|\partial_t(\rho, \mathbf{v})(t)\|_{H_p^{1,0}(\Omega)} + \|(\rho, \mathbf{v})(t)\|_{\mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{A}_\Omega)}) \leq C e^{\gamma_0 t} \|(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0)\|_{H_p^{1,0}(\Omega)}.$$

2. For $(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0) \in \mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{A}_\Omega)$, we have

$$\|\partial_t(\rho, \mathbf{v})(t)\|_{H_p^{1,0}(\Omega)} + \|(\rho, \mathbf{v})(t)\|_{\mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{A}_\Omega)} \leq C e^{\gamma_0 t} \|(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0)\|_{\mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{A}_\Omega)}.$$

3. For $(\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0) \in \mathcal{D}_{p,q}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|e^{-\gamma_0 t}(\partial_t \rho, \rho)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; H_p^1(\Omega))} + \|e^{-\gamma_0 t} \partial_t \mathbf{v}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|e^{-\gamma_0 t} \mathbf{v}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; H_p^2(\Omega))} \\ \leq C(\|\rho_0\|_{H_p^1(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{B_{p,q}^{2(1-1/q)}(\Omega)}).\end{aligned}$$

4.2 Resolvent problem for λ in some compact subset

Thanks to Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 3.1, it remains to study (1.7) whenever λ is uniformly bounded from above and also from below. To this end, let us take some suitable positive constants λ'_1 and λ'_2 such that

$$0 < \lambda_1 - \lambda'_1 \ll 1, \quad 0 < \lambda'_2 - \lambda_2 \ll 1,$$

with λ_1 and λ_2 given by Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 respectively. For fixed constants $\mu, \nu, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}K_\varepsilon &= \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : \left(\Re \lambda + \frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}{\mu + \nu} + \varepsilon \right)^2 + \Im \lambda^2 \geq \left(\frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}{\mu + \nu} + \varepsilon \right)^2 \right\}, \\ D'_\varepsilon &= \{ \lambda \in \Sigma_\varepsilon \cap K_\varepsilon : \lambda'_1 \leq |\lambda| \leq \lambda'_2 \}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

Now, we address the resolvent problem (1.7) whenever λ lies in D'_ε above.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that Ω is a C^2 exterior domain in \mathbb{R}^N for $N \geq 3$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < \pi/2$, $N < r < \infty$, $1 < p \leq r$, and $\lambda \in D'_\varepsilon$. Then there exist two families of operators*

$$(\mathcal{P}_{mid}(\lambda), \mathcal{V}_{mid}(\lambda)) \in \text{Hol}\left(D'_\varepsilon; \mathcal{L}(H_p^{1,0}(\Omega); H_p^{1,2}(\Omega))\right),$$

such that $(\eta, \mathbf{u}) = (\mathcal{P}_{mid}(\lambda), \mathcal{V}_{mid}(\lambda))(d, \mathbf{f}) \in H_p^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is a unique solution of (1.7) for any $\lambda \in D'_\varepsilon$ and for any $(d, \mathbf{f}) \in H_p^{1,0}(\Omega)$. Moreover, we have

$$\|\eta\|_{H_p^1(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H_p^2(\Omega)} \leq C(\|d\|_{H_p^1(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_p(\Omega)})$$

for some constant C depending solely on $\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \varepsilon, p, r, \mu, \nu, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, N$.

The proof of Theorem 4.3 relies on the compactness of the set D'_ε . In [13], we first study (1.7) for any fixed $\lambda \in D'_\varepsilon$, where the elliptic estimates depend on λ . Then, using the finite covering property of D'_ε , we can remove such dependence of λ and obtain the uniform estimates as in Theorem 4.3.

References

- [1] F. Charve and R. Danchin. A global existence result for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in the critical L^p framework. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 198(1):233–271, 2010.
- [2] Q. Chen, C. Miao, and Z. Zhang. Global well-posedness for compressible Navier-Stokes equations with highly oscillating initial velocity. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 63(9):1173–1224, 2010.
- [3] R. Danchin. Global existence in critical spaces for compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Invent. Math.*, 141(3):579–614, 2000.
- [4] R. Danchin and J. Xu. Optimal time-decay estimates for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in the critical L^p framework. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 224(1):53–90, 2017.
- [5] Y. Enomoto, L. von Below, and Y. Shibata. On some free boundary problem for a compressible barotropic viscous fluid flow. *Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat.*, 60(1):55–89, 2014.
- [6] B. Haspot. Existence of global strong solutions in critical spaces for barotropic viscous fluids. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 202(2):427–460, 2011.
- [7] H. Iwashita. L_q - L_r estimates for solutions of the nonstationary Stokes equations in an exterior domain and the Navier-Stokes initial value problems in L_q spaces. *Math. Ann.*, 285(2):265–288, 1989.

- [8] P. Maremonti and V. A. Solonnikov. On nonstationary Stokes problem in exterior domains. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 24(3):395–449, 1997.
- [9] A. Matsumura and T. Nishida. The initial value problem for the equations of motion of compressible viscous and heat-conductive fluids. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 55(9):337–342, 1979.
- [10] A. Matsumura and T. Nishida. The initial value problem for the equations of motion of viscous and heat-conductive gases. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 20(1):67–104, 1980.
- [11] M. Okita. Optimal decay rate for strong solutions in critical spaces to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 257(10):3850–3867, 2014.
- [12] Y. Shibata and Y. Enomoto. Global existence of classical solutions and optimal decay rate for compressible flows via the theory of semigroups. In *Handbook of mathematical analysis in mechanics of viscous fluids*, pages 2085–2181. Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [13] X. Zhang and Y. Shibata. The L_p - L_q decay estimate for the multidimensional compressible flow with free surface in the exterior domain. *ArXiv preprint 2101.09669*, 2021.

Xin Zhang
 School of Mathematical Sciences, Tongji University
 No.1239, Siping Road, Shanghai (200092), China
 E-mail address: xinzhang2020@tongji.edu.cn