# NOTE ON THE UNRAMIFIED COMPUTATION OF RANKIN-SELBERG INTEGRALS FOR QUASI-SPLIT CLASSICAL GROUPS OF BESSEL MODEL CASE

#### LEI ZHANG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE

#### 1. Introduction

This note summarizes the content of the author's talk at RIMS conference 2022 "Automorphic form, automorphic L-functions and related topics". The goal of this note is to illustrate the strategy to compute the local Rankin-Selberg integrals with unramified data over p-adic fields, arising from the Bessel models for quasi-split special orthogonal groups and unitary groups, which is a joint work with with Dihua Jiang (University of Minnesota) and David Soudry (Tel Aviv University). The main results in our preprint are a generalization of Soudry's works for split special orthogonal groups in [6,7]. The author is grateful to Kazuki Morimoto and Tadashi Miyazaki for inviting him to deliver a talk at this conference.

Let F be a number field and  $\varsigma$  be a non-square element in  $F^{\times}$ . Denote E to be either F or the quadratic field extension  $F[\sqrt{\varsigma}]$  of F, to be  $\iota_{E/F}$  the nontrivial Galois element in  $\operatorname{Gal}(F[\sqrt{\varsigma}]/F)$  if  $E = F[\sqrt{\varsigma}]$  and to be the identity if E = F. Write  $\mathbb{A}$  (resp.  $\mathbb{A}_E$ ) to be the ring of adeles of F (resp. E). Let (V, b) be a non-degenerate space of dimension E0 over E1, which is a quadratic space when E = F2 and a Hermitian space when  $E = F[\sqrt{\varsigma}]$ 2. Define E3 IsomE4, which is a special orthogonal group or unitary group. More precisely, under a suitable choice of a basis for E5, let us take the symmetric matrix E6, defining E7, be either the symmetric matrix E8, defining E9.

$$J_{\ell,S_0} = \begin{pmatrix} & w_{\ell} \\ & S_0 & \\ w_{\ell} & & \end{pmatrix}_{\substack{m \times m}} \text{ where } w_{\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & & & \end{pmatrix}_{\ell \times \ell}$$

and  $S_0 = \text{diag}\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{d_0-1}\}$ . Then we may identify

$$Isom(V, b) = \{ g \in End_F(V) : \bar{g}^t J_{\ell, S_0} g = J_{\ell, S_0} \},$$

where  $\bar{g}$  is the Galois conjugate of g.

Denote by  $N_k$  the unipotent subgroup of G consisting of elements of form

$$n_k(z, x, c) := \begin{pmatrix} z & x & c \\ I_{m-2k} & x' \\ z^* \end{pmatrix} \in G,$$

where  $z \in Z_k$ , the unipotent subgroup of all upper triangular unipotent matrices of size k and x' is the matrix determined by x. We may denote the k-dimensional totally isotropic and polarized subspaces  $X_k^{\pm}$  such that  $N_k$  stabilized  $X_k^{+}$ .

Fix a nontrivial character  $\psi$  of  $F \setminus \mathbb{A}$ , which can be extended to a character of  $E \setminus \mathbb{A}_E$  via composing with  $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}_{E/F}$  in case  $E \neq F$ . Denote by  $\psi_{Z_k}$  the standard Whittaker character of  $Z_k(\mathbb{A}_E)$ ,

$$\psi_{Z_k}(z) = \psi(z_{1,2} + z_{2,3} + \dots + z_{k-1,k}).$$

For any anisotropic vector  $y_0 \in (X_k^+ \oplus X_k^-)^{\perp}$ , define the character  $\psi_{k,y_0}$  of  $N_k$  by

$$\psi_{k,y_0}(n_k(z,x,c)) = \psi_{Z_k}(z)\psi(b({}^tx_k,J_{\ell-k,S_0}^{-1}y_0)) = \psi_{Z_k}(z)\psi(x_{k,\ell-k+1}),$$

where  $x_k$  is the bottom row of x and  $x_{k,\ell-k+1}$  is the entry of x in the k-th row and  $(\ell-k+1)$ -th column. We may consider  $y_0$  as a column vector of size m-2k. Denote by  $G_{k,y_0}$  the stablizer of Isom $((X_k^+ \oplus X_k^-)^{\perp}, b)^{\circ}$  acting on  $\psi_{k,y_0}$  via conjugation.

For an automorphic function  $\varphi$  on  $G(\mathbb{A})$ , define its **Bessel-Fourier** coefficient along  $N_k$  with respect to  $\psi_{k,y_0}$  by

$$\varphi^{\psi_{k,y_0}}(g) = \int_{N_k(F)\backslash N_k(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(ng)\psi_{k,y_0}^{-1}(n) \,\mathrm{d}n,\tag{1.1}$$

which is  $G_{k,y_0}(F)$ -left invariant.

Let  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  be irreducible automorphic representations of  $G(\mathbb{A})$  and  $G_{k,y_0}(\mathbb{A})$ , respectively. The global  $\psi_{k,y_0}$ -Bessel model of  $\pi$  with respect to  $\sigma$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}^{\psi_{k,y_0}}(\varphi_{\pi},\xi_{\sigma}) := \int_{G_{k,y_0}(F)\backslash G_{k,y_0}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_{\pi}^{\psi_{k,y_0}}(g)\xi_{\sigma}(g) \,\mathrm{d}g, \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\varphi_{\pi}$  and  $\xi_{\sigma}$  are in  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$ , respectively.

To guarantee the convergence of the above period integral, we assume that one of  $\varphi_{\pi}$  and  $\xi_{\sigma}$  is rapidly decay such as cuspidal automorphic forms and the other is of moderate growth such as Eisenstein series. Thus, we have the following two families of the the **global zeta integral** as defined in [3]:

$$\mathcal{Z}(s, \varphi_{\pi}, \phi_{\tau \otimes \sigma}, \psi_{\ell, y_0}) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}^{\psi_{\ell, y_0}}(E(\phi_{\tau \otimes \sigma}, s), \varphi_{\pi}) & \mathbf{Case 1} \\ \mathcal{P}^{\psi_{\ell, y_0}}(\varphi_{\pi}, E(\phi_{\tau \otimes \sigma}, s)) & \mathbf{Case 2}, \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

where  $\tau$  is an isobaric sum of cuspidal automorphic representations of  $GL(\mathbb{A}_E)$ ,  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are cuspidal. Then  $\mathcal{Z}(s, \phi_{\tau \otimes \sigma}, \varphi_{\pi}, \psi_{\ell, y_0})$  converges absolutely and hence is holomorphic at s where the Eisenstein series  $E(h, \phi, s)$  has no poles.

Next, we unfold the Eisenstein series  $E(\phi_{\tau \otimes \sigma}, s)$  in the global zeta integrals. Due to the cuspidality of  $\pi$ , by the uniqueness of Bessel models for  $(\pi, \sigma)$  and the uniqueness of Whittaker models of  $\tau$ , we obtain the Eulerian product

$$\mathcal{Z}(s, \phi_{\tau \otimes \sigma}, \varphi_{\pi}, \psi_{\ell, y_0}) = \prod_{v \in |F|} \mathcal{Z}_v(s, \phi_{\tau_v \otimes \sigma_v}, \pi_v, \psi_{\ell, y_0}). \tag{1.4}$$

The main goal of our work is to compute the above local zeta integral  $\mathcal{Z}_v(s,\cdot)$  with unramified data. Thus we make the following **assumptions** on the local places:

- $E_v$  is the unramified quadratic extension of  $F_v$  or  $E_v = F_v$ .
- $G(F_v)$  and  $G_{k,y_0}(F_v)$  are quasi-split over  $F_v$ ;
- $\pi_v$ ,  $\sigma_v$ , and  $\tau_v$  are spherical representations;
- the conductor of  $\psi_v$  is the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_v$ .

Then we obtain the following identity

**Theorem 1.1.** For the unramified data, one has

$$\mathcal{Z}_v(s, \phi_{\tau_v \otimes \sigma_v}, \pi_v, \psi_{\ell, y_0}) = \frac{L(\pi_v \times \tau_v, s)}{L(\sigma_v \times \tau_v, s + \frac{1}{2})L(\tau_v, \rho, 2s)},$$

where  $\rho$  is the representation of  ${}^{L}\mathrm{Res}_{E/F}\mathrm{GL}_{r}$  defined by

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \text{Asai} & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is on an even unitary,} \\ \text{Asai} \otimes \omega_{E/F} & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is on an odd unitary,} \\ \Lambda^2 & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is on an even orthogonal,} \\ \text{Sym}^2 & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is on an odd orthogonal.} \end{cases}$$

Following the unramified assumptions, we have following cases for  $G \times G_{k,y_0}$ :

- $G \times G_{k,y_0}$  is split:
  - $(1) G \times G_{k,y_0} = (SO_m, SO_{m-2r-1})$
  - (2)  $G \times G_{k,y_0} = (GL_m, GL_{m-2r-1});$
- $G \times G_{k,y_0}$  is quasi-split and non-split:
  - (3)  $G \times G_{k,y_0} = (SO_m, SO_{m-2r-1})$  and m is even;
  - (4)  $G \times G_{k,y_0} = (SO_m, SO_{m-2r-1})$  and m is odd;
  - (5)  $G \times G_{k,y_0} = (U_m, U_{m-2r-1}).$

Remark 1.2. In [6,7], Soudry computed the unramified local zeta integrals for Case (1) and obtain Theorem 1.1. In our joint preprint, we extend Soudry's results to all the remaining cases. In addition, although the tensor product *L*-factors of general linear groups are also given by Rankin-Selberg integrals, Case (2) provides its different integral representation, which still needs to be justified.

Remark 1.3. In Theorem 1.1,  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are arbitrary cuspidal automorphic representations, which are not necessary of global tempered Arthur parameters.

Remark 1.4. When  $\sigma$  defines on the trivial groups, the global zeta integral  $\mathcal{Z}(s,\cdot)$  is the Rankin-Selberg integrals extensively studied in the theory of automorphic descent. See [2] for instance. To emphasize the integral in this special case, we will denote it by  $\mathcal{Z}_{GRS}(s,\cdot)$ .

## 2. Analytic properties of local zeta integrals over finite places

For convenience, denote by H = G if  $\mathcal{Z}_v(s,\cdot)$  is arisen from **Case 1** in (1.3) and  $H = G_{k,y_0}$  if  $\mathcal{Z}_v(s,\cdot)$  is arisen from **Case 2** in (1.3). We free the notation G to denote the group on which  $\pi$  defines. In other words, the Eisenstein series  $E(s,\cdot)$  in (1.3) always defines on  $H(\mathbb{A}_E)$  and  $\pi$  is always a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $G(\mathbb{A}_E)$ . Write H' to be the group on which  $\sigma$  defines.

We sometime use  $\mathbb{U}_m$  to denote the special orthogonal group or unitary group, which preserves an m-dimensional Hermitian (or quadratic) space. To present the local integrals clearly, according to the matrices size of involved groups, we separate into three cases: Case 1a and Case 1b, arisen from Case 1 in (1.3), and Case 2 in (1.3). Let us tabulate the classical groups of Hermitian type on which groups  $\tau$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  define in each case.

	H	$H'$ for $\sigma$	$\mathrm{GL}_a$ for $\tau$	$G \text{ for } \pi$	$\ell$
Case 1a	$\mathbb{U}_{m+2r+1}$	$\mathbb{U}_{m-2k-1}$	$GL_{r+k+1}$	$\mathbb{U}_m$	r
Case 1b	$\mathbb{U}_{m+2r}$	$\mathbb{U}_m$	$\operatorname{GL}_r$	$\mathbb{U}_{m-2k-1}$	r+k
Case 2	$\mathbb{U}_{2r+m-2k-1}$	$\mathbb{U}_{m-2k-1}$	$\operatorname{GL}_r$	$\mathbb{U}_m$	k-r

By default,  $0 \le k \le \frac{m-1}{2}$  for all cases and  $r \le k$  for **Case 2**. Remark that there are a pair of Bessel modes in each case as below, corresponding to the characters  $\psi_{\ell,y_0}$  and  $\psi_{k,w'_0}$  for certain anisotropic vectors  $w_0$  and  $w'_0$ , respectively.

	$(E(s,\cdot),\pi)$	$(\pi,\sigma)$
Case 1a	$\mathbb{U}_{m+2r+1}\times\mathbb{U}_m$	$\mathbb{U}_m \times \mathbb{U}_{m-2k-1}$
Case 1b	$\mathbb{U}_{m+2r}\times\mathbb{U}_{m-2k-1}$	$\mathbb{U}_{m-2k-1} \times \mathbb{U}_m$
Case 2	$\mathbb{U}_{2r+m-2k-1}\times\mathbb{U}_m$	$\mathbb{U}_m \times \mathbb{U}_{m-2k-1}$

More precisely, the two corresponding Bessel linear functionals lie in the following isomorphic Hom-spaces, due to Mæglin and Waldspurger in [5] and Gan and Ichino [1] when  $\tau$  is generic,

$$\mathcal{Z}_{v}(s,\cdot) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{U}}((\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{H}\tau_{v}|\cdot|^{s} \otimes \sigma_{v}) \otimes \pi_{v}, \psi_{\ell,y_{0}}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{U}}(\pi_{v} \otimes \sigma'_{v}, \psi_{k,w'_{0}}) \ni c_{\psi_{k,w'_{0}}}$$
(2.1)

where  $\sigma'_v$  is a twist of  $\sigma_v$  by the outer automorphism of the even orthogonal group. Note that we omit the unipotent subgroups in the above Hom-spaces. The relation between this two linear functionals will be given in Part (2) of Proposition 2.1.

From now on, we drop the subscript v for simplicity. Let F be a non-archimedean field of characteristic 0. Denote  $\varpi$  to be a uniformizer,  $val(\cdot)$  to be the normalized valuation of F, and  $|\cdot| = q^{-val(\cdot)}$  to be the absolute value of F, where  $q = |\mathcal{O}/\varpi\mathcal{O}|$ .

Following the similar arguments in Sections 3 and 4 in [6], we may establish the analytic properties of local zeta integrals over finite places for all cases.

**Proposition 2.1.** Assume that  $\tau$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  are irreducible smooth representations and  $\tau$  is generic.

Then we have

- (1) The local integrals  $\mathcal{Z}_v(s, f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau), \sigma}, v_{\pi}, \psi_{\ell, y_0})$  converge absolutely in a right half plane, which depends only on the representations  $\pi$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ .
- (2) For each  $v_{\pi} \in \pi$ , there exists  $f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau),\sigma}$  such that

$$\mathcal{Z}_v(s, f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau), \sigma}, v_{\pi}, \psi_{\ell, y_0}) = c_{\psi_{k, w_0'}}(v_{\pi}, v_{\sigma}) W_{\tau}(e),$$

where  $c_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}$  is a local Bessel model associated to  $(\pi,\sigma)$  and  $W_{\tau}(e)$  is the evaluation of a Whittaker function of  $\tau$  at identity.

(3)  $\mathcal{Z}_v(s, f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau),\sigma}, v_{\pi}, \psi_{\ell,y_0})$  continue to meromorphic functions in the whole complex plan and are rational functions of  $q^{-s}$ .

Here  $W(\tau)$  is the Whittaker module of  $\tau$  and  $f_{W(\tau),\sigma}$  is a holomorphic section in the induced representation  $\operatorname{Ind}_{P(F)}^{H(F)}W(\tau)|\det|^s\otimes\sigma$ .

Remark that the above analytic properties guarantee our local integrals well-defined and not identically vanishing.

#### 3. Local zeta integrals with unramified data

From now on, we assume that all data are unramified in sense of Section 1. Then  $\mathbb{U}_m$  is a quasi-split group  $SO_m$  if E = F, a quasi-split unitary group  $U_m$  if E is the unramified quadratic extension of F, or a general linear group  $GL_m$  if  $E = F \times F$ . As in Remark 1.2, we only consider the quasi-split and non-split special orthogonal group  $\mathbb{U}_m = SO_m$  in our work when E = F and m is even. The split even orthogonal group case has been studied in **Case** (1). See Remark 1.2.

Denote  $\pi$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$  to be the unramified constituents of

$$\pi \prec \operatorname{Ind}_{B_G}^G \chi_{\pi}, \qquad \sigma \prec \operatorname{Ind}_{B_{H'}}^{H'} \chi_{\sigma}, \qquad \pi \prec \operatorname{Ind}_{B_{\operatorname{GL}}}^{\operatorname{GL}_a} \chi_{\tau},$$

where  $B_X$  is a Borel subgroup of the quasi-split reductive group X and  $\chi_{\theta}$  is the unramified characters of the torus, corresponding to the Satake parameter of unramified representation  $\theta$ . Note that if  $\theta$  is generic, then  $\theta = \operatorname{Ind}_{B_X}^X \chi_{\theta}$  is irreducible.

Furthermore, we can rewrite

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{H}\tau |\det|^{s} \otimes \sigma = \operatorname{Ind}_{B_{H}}^{H}\chi_{\tau} |\cdot|^{s} \otimes \chi_{\sigma} = \operatorname{Ind}_{\tilde{P}}^{H}\tilde{\tau},$$

where  $\tilde{\tau} = \operatorname{Ind}_{B_{\operatorname{GL}}}^{\operatorname{GL}_{\operatorname{rk}H}} \chi_{\tau} |\cdot|^s \otimes \chi_{\sigma}$ , and  $\operatorname{rk}H$  is the rank of H.

Over a general position, one has  $\pi$  and  $\operatorname{Ind}_P^H \tau | \det |^s \otimes \sigma$  are generic and the local zeta integrals are absolutely convergent for all vectors. Then we have two Bessel linear functionals arisen corresponding to Bessel models in the tables of Section 2 and the theory of automorphic descent. That is,

$$\mathcal{Z}(s,\cdot) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{U}}((\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{H}\tau|\cdot|^{s} \otimes \sigma) \otimes \pi, \psi_{\ell,y_{0}}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{U}}((\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{H}\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \pi, \psi_{\operatorname{rk}H,y_{0}}) \ni \mathcal{Z}_{GRS}(s,\cdot),$$

where  $\mathcal{Z}_{GRS}$  is discussed in Remark 1.4. Furthermore, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_{GRS}(s,\cdot) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{U}}((\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{H}\widetilde{\tau}) \otimes \pi, \psi_{\operatorname{rk}H,y_0}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{1}(\mathcal{W}_{\psi_n}(\pi), \mathbb{C}),$$

and  $\mathcal{Z}_{GRS}(s,\cdot)$  has been explicitly calculated in the theory of automorphic descent, due to a series of works by Ginzburg, Soudry, Kaplan, etc.

Finally, due to the Multiplicity One Theorem of Bessel models, one expects that the two linear functionals  $\mathcal{Z}(s,\cdot)$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{GRS}(s,\cdot)$  are proportional to each other over a general position, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{Z}(s, f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau), \sigma}^{\circ}, v_{\pi}^{\circ}, \psi_{\ell, y_0}) = c_{s, \pi, \tau, \sigma} \mathcal{Z}_{GRS}(s, f_{\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\tau})}^{\circ}, v_{\pi}^{\circ}), \tag{3.1}$$

where  $f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau),\sigma}^{\circ}$  and  $f_{\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\tau})}^{\circ}$  are a spherical sections in  $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{H}\tau|\cdot|^{s}\otimes\sigma$  and  $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{H}\widetilde{\tau}$ , respectively, and  $v_{\pi}^{\circ}$  is a spherical vector in  $\pi$ .

After we normalize  $f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau),\sigma}^{\circ}$ ,  $f_{\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\tau})}^{\circ}$  and  $v_{\pi}^{\circ}$ , then the factor  $c_{s,\pi,\tau,\sigma}$  is unique. Following Proposition 2.1,  $c_{s,\pi,\tau,\sigma}$  is defined for Re(s) sufficiently large and continues to meromorphic function in the whole complex plan, which is a rational function of  $q^{-s}$ . Our proof of Theorem 1.1 is reduced to compute  $c_{s,\pi,\tau,\sigma}$  over a general position.

#### 4. Reduction to the Whittaker models

In this section, we will explicate the local zeta integral  $\mathcal{Z}(s,\cdot)$  and establish the identity between  $\mathcal{Z}(s,\cdot)$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{GRS}(s,\cdot)$ .

First, let us introduce the local integral informally for each case.

$$\mathcal{Z}(s, f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau), \sigma}, v_{\pi}, \psi_{\ell, y_0})$$

$$= \begin{cases} \int_{H'N_k \backslash G} \int_{\hat{N}_{r,k}} c_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}(\pi(g)v_{\pi}, f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau),\sigma',s}(\varepsilon_0 u j(g))) \psi_{r,y_0}^{-1}(u) \, \mathrm{d}u \, \mathrm{d}g & \mathbf{Case1a} \\ \int_{\hat{U}_r} c_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}(f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau),\pi_r,s}(\varepsilon_0 u), \xi_\sigma) \psi_{r+k,y_0}^{-1}(u) \, \mathrm{d}u & \mathbf{Case1b} \\ \int_{H'\hat{N}_r \backslash G} \int_{\mathcal{L}_{r,k-r}} c_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}(\pi(\lambda \hat{w}_{r,k-r} h) v_{\pi}, f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau),\sigma,s}(h)) \, \mathrm{d}h & \mathbf{Case2}, \end{cases}$$
(4.1)

where  $c_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}$  belongs to the Hom-space in (2.1). One of the key normalization to fix  $\mathcal{Z}(s,\cdot)$  is to normalize

$$c_{\psi_{k,w_0'}}(v_{\pi}^{\circ}, v_{\sigma}^{\circ}) = 1.$$
 (4.2)

After normalizing the spherical vectors, one may obtain the unique local zeta integral  $\mathcal{Z}(s,\cdot)$ .

To reduce the length of this note, we will leave the notation without explanation, where all unexplained notations are certain unipotent subgroups occurring in the unfolding process. The main goal of (4.1) is to demonstrate how  $c_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}$  occurs the local zeta integral.

The key ingredient for the proof of Theorem is to introduce a specific Bessel linear functional

$$c'_{\psi_{k,w'_0}} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{U}}(\mathcal{W}(\pi) \otimes \mathcal{W}(\sigma'), \psi_{k,w'_0})$$

factoring through the Whittaker models  $\mathcal{W}(\pi)$  and  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma')$  of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$ , respectively. Similar to Proposition 2.1, one can prove that

## **Lemma 4.1.** Assume that $\pi$ and $\sigma$ are generic.

- (1) Then  $c'_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}$  is not identically zero, converges absolutely on a right half plane, and continues to meromorphic functions of  $\chi_{\pi}$  and  $\chi_{\sigma}$ ; (2) If  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are unramified, then  $c'_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}$  is a rational function in  $\chi_{\pi}$  and  $\chi_{\sigma}$ ;
- (3) If  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are unramified, then  $c'_{\psi_{k,w'_{n}}}$  is a Whittaker-Shintani function for the relevant pair (G, H') in sense of [4].

Due to the uniqueness of Whittaker-Shintani functions,  $c'_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}$  is proportional to  $WS_{\psi_{k,w'_0},\pi,\sigma'}$ defined in [4], that is,

$$\mathrm{WS}_{\psi_{k,w_0'},\pi,\sigma'}(g) = a(\chi_\pi,\chi_{\sigma'}) \times \begin{cases} c'_{\psi_{k,w_0'}}(\pi(g)v_\pi^\circ,v_\sigma^\circ) & \mathbf{Case\ 1a\ and\ Case\ 2} \\ c'_{\psi_{k,w_0'}}(v_\pi^\circ,\pi(g)v_\sigma^\circ) & \mathbf{Case\ 1b}, \end{cases}$$

where  $a(\chi_{\pi}, \chi_{\sigma'})$  is a rational function in  $\chi_{\pi}$  and  $\chi_{\sigma}$ , and  $v_{\pi}^{\circ}$  and  $v_{\sigma}^{\circ}$  are spherical vectors

In general, the functional  $c'_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}$  we introduced has poles of variables  $\chi_{\pi}$  and  $\chi_{\sigma}$ . However,  $WS_{\psi_{k,w'_0},\pi,\sigma'}$  is a polynomial of  $\chi_{\pi}$  and  $\chi_{\sigma}$ . Then we may use the rational function  $a(\chi_{\pi},\chi_{\sigma'})$  to normalize  $c'_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}$  and give an explicit construction of the abstract Bessel linear functional  $c_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}$ 

$$c_{\psi_{k,w'_0}} = a(\chi_{\pi}, \chi_{\sigma'})c'_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}.$$
 (4.3)

Finally, we obtain a holomorphic linear functional  $c_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** If  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are unramified, then the linear functional  $c_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}$  defined in (4.3) is a polynomial of  $\chi_{\pi}$  and  $\chi_{\sigma}$ .

### 5. The identity

In the last section, we will plug  $c_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}$  in (4.3) into  $\mathcal{Z}(s,\cdot)$  and establish the identity between  $\mathcal{Z}(s,\cdot)$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{GRS}(s,\cdot)$  to obtain the main identity in Theorem 1.1.

First, replacing  $c_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}$  by  $c'_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}$  in (4.1) when  $\chi_{\tau}$  and  $\chi_{\sigma}$  over a general position, we obtain a new local zeta integral  $\mathcal{Z}'(s,\cdot)$  lying in  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{U}}((\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{H}\tau|\cdot|^{s}\otimes\sigma)\otimes\pi,\psi_{\ell,y_{0}})$ , equal to  $\mathcal{Z}(s,\cdot)$  up to a rational function of  $\chi_{\pi}$ ,  $\chi_{\tau}$  and  $\chi_{\sigma}$ . Then by combing integrations and changing variables, one can obtain

$$\mathcal{Z}'(s, f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau), \sigma}, v_{\pi}, \psi_{\ell, y_0}) = \mathcal{Z}_{GRS}(s, f_{\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\tau})}, v_{\pi}).$$

For instance, in Case 1a, over a general position, we have

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{Z}'(s, f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau), \sigma}, v_{\pi}, \psi_{\ell, y_{0}}) \\ &= \int_{H'N_{k} \setminus G} \int_{\hat{N}_{r, k}} c'_{\psi_{k, w'_{0}}}(\pi(g)v_{\pi}, f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau), \sigma', s}(\varepsilon_{0}uj(g)))\psi_{r, y_{0}}^{-1}(u) \, \mathrm{d}u \, \mathrm{d}g \\ &= \int_{H'N_{k} \setminus G} \int_{\hat{N}_{r, k}} \int_{H'_{\gamma} \setminus H'} \int_{\mathcal{N}'} W_{v_{\pi}}(\gamma' n' hg) f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau) \otimes \mathcal{W}(\sigma')}(\gamma h \varepsilon_{0}ug) \psi_{r, y_{0}}^{-1}(u) \, \mathrm{d}n' \, \mathrm{d}h \, \mathrm{d}u \, \mathrm{d}g \\ &= \mathcal{Z}_{GRS}(s, v_{\pi}, f_{\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\tau})}). \end{split}$$

The key idea is to combine all the integrations since the integrals are absolutely convergent and transform the integral  $\mathcal{Z}'(s,\cdot)$  to the integral  $\mathcal{Z}_{GRS}(s,\cdot)$ , which is known due to the theory of automorphic descent.

Therefore, if we take the Bessel linear functional  $c_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}$  as in (4.3), for all cases we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_{ex}(s, f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau), v_{\pi}^{\circ}, \sigma}^{\circ}, \psi_{\ell, y_{0}})$$

$$= a(\chi_{\pi}, \chi_{\sigma'}) \mathcal{Z}'_{v}(s, f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau), \sigma}^{\circ}, v_{\pi}^{\circ}, \psi_{\ell, y_{0}})$$

$$= \frac{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, \tau \times \pi)}{L(s + 1, \tau \times \sigma) L(2s + 1, \tau, \rho)}.$$

Remark that  $\mathcal{Z}_{ex}(s,\cdot)$  is the zeta integral dependent of the choice of  $c_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}$ , which is defined *explicitly*. And we have not verify that the choice  $c_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}$  in (4.3) satisfying (4.2).

Finally,  $\mathcal{Z}_{ex}(s,\cdot)$  has the same analytic properties as Lemma 4.1. That is, if  $\pi$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are unramified and lying in a general position,

- (1)  $\mathcal{Z}_{ex}(s,\cdot)$  is not identically zero, converges absolutely on a right half plane, and continues to meromorphic functions;
- (2)  $\mathcal{Z}_{ex}(s,\cdot)$  is a rational function in s,  $\chi_{\tau}$ ,  $\chi_{\pi}$  and  $\chi_{\sigma}$ ;
- (3)  $\mathcal{Z}_{ex}(s,\cdot)$  is a Whittaker-Shintani function for the relevant pair (H,G).

Similarly,

$$\mathcal{Z}_{ex}(s,\rho(h)\cdot f^{\circ}_{\mathcal{W}(\tau),\sigma},v^{\circ}_{\pi},\psi_{\ell,y_0})=c(s,\chi_{\pi},\chi_{\tau}\otimes\chi_{\sigma'})\mathrm{WS}_{\psi_{\ell,y_0},\pi,\tau\times\sigma}(h).$$

By evaluating at the identity on the both sides separately, we obtain

$$\mathcal{Z}_{ex}(s, f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau), \sigma}^{\circ}, v_{\pi}^{\circ}, \psi_{\ell, y_0}) = \mathcal{Z}(s, f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau), \sigma}^{\circ}, v_{\pi}^{\circ}, \psi_{\ell, y_0}).$$

That is,  $c_{\psi_{k,w'_0}}$  in (4.3) also satisfies (4.2), exactly which we normalize. Hence we conclude

$$\mathcal{Z}(s, f_{\mathcal{W}(\tau), \sigma}^{\circ}, v_{\pi}^{\circ}, \psi_{\ell, y_0}) = \frac{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, \tau \times \pi)}{L(s + 1, \tau \times \sigma)L(2s + 1, \tau, \rho)}.$$

### References

- [1] W. T. Gan and A. Ichino. The Gross-Prasad conjecture and local theta correspondence. *Invent. Math.*, 206(3):705–799, 2016.
- [2] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, and D. Soudry. *The descent map from automorphic representations of* GL(n) *to classical groups.* World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2011.
- [3] D. Jiang and L. Zhang. Arthur parameters and cuspidal automorphic modules of classical groups. *Ann. of Math.* (2), 191(3):739–827, 2020.
- [4] S. Kato, A. Murase, and T. Sugano. Whittaker-Shintani functions for orthogonal groups. *Tohoku Math. J.* (2), 55(1):1–64, 2003.

- [5] C. Mæglin and J.-L. Waldspurger. La conjecture locale de Gross-Prasad pour les groupes spéciaux orthogonaux: le cas général. Number 347, pages 167–216. 2012. Sur les conjectures de Gross et Prasad. II.
- [6] D. Soudry. The unramified computation of Rankin-Selberg integrals expressed in terms of Bessel models for split orthogonal groups: Part I. Israel J. Math., 222(2):711–786, 2017.
- [7] D. Soudry. The unramified computation of Rankin-Selberg integrals expressed in terms of Bessel models for split orthogonal groups: Part II. J. Number Theory, 186:62–102, 2018.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, SINGAPORE 119076 Email address: matzhlei@nus.edu.sg