

# Convergence of approximating solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations

Yuta Koizumi

Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Waseda University

This is the joint work with Mr. Toya Taniguchi[Waseda University, toya@moegi.waseda.jp]. This work was supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

## 1 Introduction

Let us consider the Cauchy problem of the Navier-Stokes equations in  $\mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2)$ ;

$$(N-S) \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla \pi = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T), \\ u|_{t=0} = a & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}$$

where  $u = u(x, t) = (u_1(x, t), \dots, u_n(x, t))$  and  $\pi = \pi(x, t)$  denote the unknown velocity vector and the unknown pressure at the point  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and the time  $t \in (0, T)$ , respectively, while  $a = a(x) = (a_1(x), \dots, a_n(x))$  is the given initial data of velocity. In the famous paper of Kato [1], he proved that for every  $a \in L_\sigma^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv PL^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , there exist  $0 < T < \infty$  and a unique solution  $u \in BC([0, T]; L_\sigma^n(\mathbb{R}^n))$  of integral equation

$$(IE) \quad u(t) = u_0(t) - \int_0^t P \nabla \cdot e^{-(t-s)A} (u \otimes u)(s) ds, \quad 0 < t < T, \\ \text{with } u_0(t) = e^{-tA} a$$

satisfying properties

$$t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{p})} u(t) \in BC([0, T]; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \text{ for all } n \leq p \leq \infty, \quad (1.1)$$

$$t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{p})+\frac{1}{2}} \nabla u(t) \in BC([0, T]; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \text{ for all } n \leq p < \infty \quad (1.2)$$

where  $P$  is the Helmholtz projection onto the solenoidal vector fields and  $A = -P\Delta$  denotes the Stokes operator. We call such a solution  $u$  the *mild solution* of (N-S) on

$(0, T)$ . It is known that the mild solution  $u$  necessarily satisfies  $u \in C((0, T); W^{2,n}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1((0, T); L_\sigma^n(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and fulfills the abstract evolution equation

$$(E) \begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt} + Au + P(u \cdot \nabla)u = 0 \text{ in } L_\sigma^n(\mathbb{R}^n), & 0 < t < T, \\ u(0) = a \end{cases}$$

due to the theory of the holomorphic semigroup. We call such a solution  $u$  the *strong solution* of (N-S) on  $(0, T)$ . Kozono-Okada-Shimizu [3] constructed the strong solution of (N-S) for more general initial data in homogeneous Besov space as well as its analyticity. Let us define the approximating solutions  $\{u_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$  of (IE).

$$\begin{cases} u_0(t) = e^{-tA}a, \\ u_{j+1}(t) = u_0(t) - \int_0^t P \nabla \cdot e^{-(t-s)A} (u_j \otimes u_j)(s) ds, & j = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

In this work, we define a *very mild solution*  $u$  as a solution of (IE) only satisfying (1.1) and show that it necessarily becomes the strong solution of (N-S) on  $(0, T)$ . We also show that the convergence of the approximating solutions  $\{u_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$  corresponding to the norm in (1.1)

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{p})} \|u_j(t) - u(t)\|_p \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.3)$$

for some  $n \leq p < \infty$ , necessarily yields that  $\{u_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$  converge to  $u$  in the topology of  $C((0, T); W^{2,n}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and  $C^1((0, T); L_\sigma^n(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . It should be noted that (1.3) is the most fundamental scaling invariant norm of  $u$  in  $L^p$  with the time weight. Throughout this paper, we denote by  $\|\cdot\|_p$  the usual  $L^p$ -norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

## 2 Main Results

Before stating our main theorems, let us define a function space  $X_p$  by

$$X_p = \{u \in C((0, T); L_\sigma^p(\mathbb{R}^n)); t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{p})}u \in BC([0, T]; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))\}$$

for  $n \leq p < \infty$ .  $X_p$  is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|u\|_{X_p} = \sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{p})} \|u(t)\|_p. \quad (2.1)$$

Our main results now read:

**Theorem 2.1.** (Koizumi-Taniguchi [2]) *Let  $a \in L_\sigma^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Suppose that  $u$  is a very mild solution of (N-S) on  $(0, T)$ . Then, it necessarily holds that*

- (i)  $t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{q})+\frac{1}{2}}\nabla u \in BC([0, T]; L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))$  for all  $n \leq q < \infty$ ;  
(ii)  $u \in C^1((0, T); L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C((0, T); W^{2,n}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  with

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} t \|Au(t)\|_n + \sup_{0 < t < T} t \|\partial_t u(t)\|_n < \infty;$$

- (iii)  $u$  satisfies the differential equation (E) with  $\|u(t) - a\|_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow +0$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** (Koizumi-Taniguchi [2]) *Let  $a \in L^n_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Suppose that  $u$  is a very mild solution of (N-S) on  $(0, T)$ . Let  $\{u_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$  be approximating solutions of (IE). Then, it holds that  $u_j \in X_p$  for all  $n \leq p < \infty$  and all  $j = 0, 1, \dots$ . If*

$$\|u_j - u\|_{X_p} \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.2)$$

for some  $n \leq p < \infty$ , then we have the following properties (i) and (ii).

- (i)

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{q})+\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u_j(t) - \nabla u(t)\|_q \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $n \leq q < \infty$ ;

- (ii)

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} t \|Au_j(t) - Au(t)\|_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} t \|\partial_t u_j(t) - \partial_t u(t)\|_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

**Remark.** (i) It should be noted that convergences (2.4) and (2.5) are obtained only in terms of (2.2). Furthermore, we will clarify that even (2.6) is a consequence of (2.2). We emphasize that (2.2) is closely related to a scaling invariant norm. Indeed, for the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{X_p}$  defined in (2.1) with  $T = \infty$ , it holds that

$$\|u\|_{X_p} = \|u_\lambda\|_{X_p} \text{ for all } \lambda > 0,$$

where  $u_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$ . Hence, our theorem exhibits that once approximating solutions  $\{u_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$  converge to the very mild solution  $u$  like (2.2) in such a scaling invariant norm in  $X_p$  as (2.1), the convergence in higher ordered Sobolev spaces like (2.4) and (2.5) necessarily holds.

- (ii) As a further result of Theorem 2, Koizumi [3] proved that (1.3) necessarily implies that

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} t^{m+\frac{|\alpha|}{2}+\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{q})} \|\partial_t^m D^\alpha u_j(t) - \partial_t^m D^\alpha u(t)\|_q \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.6)$$

for all  $n \leq q < \infty$ , all  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  with  $D^\alpha = \partial_x^\alpha$ . Moreover, if we define the approximating solutions of the pressure  $\{p_j(t)\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of (N-S) by  $p_j(t) = (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(u_{j-1} \otimes u_{j-1})(t)$ , as a consequence of (2.6),  $\{p_j(t)\}_{j=1}^\infty$  satisfy

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} t^{m+\frac{|\alpha|}{2}+\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{q})+\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_t^m D^\alpha p_j(t) - \partial_t^m D^\alpha p(t)\|_q \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.7)$$

for all  $n \leq q < \infty$ , all  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ , where  $p$  is the pressure determined by (E) i.e.,  $p(t) = (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(u \otimes u)(t)$ .

### 3 Outline of the Proof

In what follows we use the symbols  $\varepsilon_j(t) = u_j(t) - u(t)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_j(t) = (u_j \otimes u_j)(t) - (u \otimes u)(t)$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots$ ). The following lemma is essential for the proof of our main theorems.

**Lemma.** *Let  $a \in L_\sigma^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Suppose that  $\{u_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$  and  $u$  are approximating solutions of (IE) and a very mild solution of (N-S) on  $(0, T)$ , respectively. Then we have the following estimates (i), (ii) and (iii).*

(i)

$$\|u\|_{Y_q} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{X_q} + \|u\|_{X_{2q}}^2 + \|u\|_{X_{2r}}^2 + \|u\|_{X_{2q}}(\|u_0\|_{X_{2q}} + \|u\|_{X_{2q}}^2)) \quad (3.1)$$

with  $n \leq q < \infty$  and  $n/2 < r < n$ .

(ii)

$$\|\varepsilon_j\|_{Y_q} \leq C\|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{X_{q_2}}(\|u_0\|_{X_{q_1}} + \|u\|_{X_{q_1}}^2 + \|u_{j-2}\|_{X_q}^2 + (\|u\|_{X_{q_1}} + \|u_{j-1}\|_{X_{q_1}})(\|u\|_{X_{q_2}} + \|u_{j-2}\|_{X_{q_2}})) \quad (3.2)$$

with  $n \leq q, q_1, q_2 < \infty$  and  $1/q = 1/q_1 + 1/q_2$ .

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 < t < T} t \|A\varepsilon_j(t)\|_n + \sup_{0 < t < T} t \|\partial_t \varepsilon_j(t)\|_n \\ & \leq C \left( \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{Y_q} (\|u_0\|_{X_p} + \|u\|_{X_p} + \|u\|_{X_p}^2) + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}} \|u\|_{X_{r_1}} + \|\varepsilon_{j-2}\|_{Y_{r_2}} \|u\|_{X_p} \|u\|_{X_{r_1}} \right. \\ & \quad + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{X_p} (\|u_0\|_{X_q} + \|u_{j-1}\|_{Y_q} + \|u_{j-2}\|_{X_{r_1}} \|u_{j-2}\|_{Y_{r_2}}) + \|\varepsilon_{j-2}\|_{X_p} \|u_{j-1}\|_{Y_q} (\|u\|_{X_p} + \|u_{j-2}\|_{X_p}) \\ & \quad \left. + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{X_{r_1}} \|u_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}} + \|\varepsilon_{j-2}\|_{X_{r_1}} \|u\|_{X_p} \|u_{j-2}\|_{Y_{r_2}} \right) \\ & \text{with } n < p, q < \infty, 1/n = 1/p + 1/q, n \leq r_1, r_2 < \infty \text{ and } 1/n < 1/r = 1/r_1 + 1/r_2 < 1/q + 1/n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

The proof of the lemma is based on Hölder continuity in time of  $u(t)$ ,  $\varepsilon_j(t)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_j(t)$  as  $L^p$ -valued functions. We only prove (3.3) using the following proposition which shows Hölder continuity in time of  $\nabla \mathcal{E}_j(t)$  as an  $L^n$ -valued function.

**Proposition.** *Let  $1/n = 1/p + 1/q$  with  $n < p, q < \infty$ . Assume that  $1/n < 1/r = 1/r_1 + 1/r_2 < 1/q + 1/n$  with  $n \leq r_1, r_2 < \infty$ . Suppose that  $\{u_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$  and  $u$  are approximating solutions of (IE) and a very mild solution of (N-S) on  $(0, T)$ , respectively. Assume that  $u_j \in X_{\tilde{p}}$  for all  $j = 0, 1, \dots$  and all  $n \leq \tilde{p} < \infty$ . Then it holds that*

$$\|\nabla \mathcal{E}_j(t+h) - \nabla \mathcal{E}_j(t)\|_n$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \left( (\|\varepsilon_j\|_{Y_q} \|u_0\|_{X_p} + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}} \|u\|_{X_p} \|u\|_{X_{r_1}} \right. \\
&\quad + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{X_p} \|u_j\|_{Y_q} (\|u\|_{X_p} + \|u_{j-1}\|_{X_p}) + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{X_{r_1}} \|u\|_{X_p} \|u_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}} \\
&\quad + \|\varepsilon_j\|_{X_p} (\|u_0\|_{X_q} + \|u_{j-1}\|_{X_{r_1}} \|u_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}})) h^\alpha t^{-\alpha-1} \\
&\quad + (\|\varepsilon_j\|_{Y_q} \|u\|_{X_p}^2 + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{X_p} \|u_j\|_{Y_q} (\|u\|_{X_p} + \|u_{j-1}\|_{X_p})) h^{\beta_{n,p}} t^{-1-\beta_{n,p}} \\
&\quad + (\|\varepsilon_j\|_{X_p} \|u_{j-1}\|_{X_{r_1}} \|u_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}} + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{X_{r_1}} \|u\|_{X_p} \|u_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}} \\
&\quad + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}} \|u\|_{X_p} \|u\|_{X_{r_1}}) h^{\beta_{n,q,r}} t^{-1-\beta_{n,q,r}} \Big), \\
&\quad h > 0, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad \beta_{n,p} \equiv \frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2p}, \quad \beta_{n,q,r} \equiv \frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2} \left( \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{q} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $0 < \alpha < \beta_{n,q,r}$ , where  $C = C(n, p, q, r, \alpha)$  is a constant independent of  $h$  and  $t$ .

*Proof of (3.3).* By (IE) and the definition of  $u_j$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A\varepsilon_j(t) &= P(e^{-\frac{t}{2}A} - 1)((u_{j-1} \cdot \nabla)u_{j-1})(t) - ((u \cdot \nabla)u)(t) \\
&\quad - \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} PAe^{-(t-s)A}((u_{j-1} \cdot \nabla)u_{j-1})(s) - ((u \cdot \nabla)u)(s)ds \\
&\quad + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t PAe^{-(t-s)A}(\nabla \mathcal{E}_{j-1}(t) - \nabla \mathcal{E}_{j-1}(s))ds \\
&\equiv J_1(t) + J_2(t) + J_3(t), \quad 0 < t < T.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

It holds by the Hölder inequality and the bounds of  $\{e^{-tA}\}_{t>0}$  and  $P$  in  $L^p$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|J_1(t)\|_n &\leq C \|((u_{j-1} \cdot \nabla)u_{j-1})(t) - ((u \cdot \nabla)u)(t)\|_n \\
&\leq C(\|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_p \|\nabla u_{j-1}(t)\|_q + \|u(t)\|_p \|\nabla \varepsilon_{j-1}(t)\|_q) \\
&\leq Ct^{-1}(\|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{X_p} \|u_{j-1}\|_{Y_q} + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{Y_q} \|u\|_{X_p})
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

for all  $0 < t < T$  with  $C = C(n)$ . It holds by the Hölder inequality,  $L^p$ - $L^q$  estimate of Stokes semigroup and the bounds of  $P$  in  $L^p$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|J_2(t)\|_n &\leq \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|Ae^{-(t-s)A}((u_{j-1} \cdot \nabla)u_{j-1})(s) - ((u \cdot \nabla)u)(s)\|_n ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (t-s)^{-1-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{n})} \|((u_{j-1} \cdot \nabla)u_{j-1})(s) - ((u \cdot \nabla)u)(s)\|_r ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (t-s)^{-1-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{n})} (\|\varepsilon_{j-1}(s)\|_{r_1} \|\nabla u_{j-1}(s)\|_{r_2} + \|u(s)\|_{r_1} \|\nabla \varepsilon_{j-1}(s)\|_{r_2}) ds \\
&\leq C(\|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{X_{r_1}} \|u_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}} + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}} \|u\|_{X_{r_1}}) \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (t-s)^{-1-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{n})} s^{-1-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{r})} ds \\
&\leq C(\|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{X_{r_1}} \|u_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}} + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}} \|u\|_{X_{r_1}}) t^{-1-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{n})} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} s^{-1-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{r})} ds
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

$$= Ct^{-1}(\|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{X_{r_1}}\|u_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}} + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}}\|u\|_{X_{r_1}})$$

for all  $0 < t < T$  with  $C = C(n, r)$ . By the analiticity of  $\{e^{-tA}\}_{t>0}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|J_3(t)\|_n &\leq \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \|Ae^{-(t-s)A}(\nabla\mathcal{E}_{j-1}(t) - \nabla\mathcal{E}_{j-1}(s))\|_n ds \\ &\leq C \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-s)^{-1} \|\nabla\mathcal{E}_{j-1}(t) - \nabla\mathcal{E}_{j-1}(s)\|_n ds\end{aligned}$$

for all  $0 < t < T$  with  $C = C(n)$ . Set  $\alpha = \beta_{n,q,r}/2$ . Changing variable  $s \rightarrow \tau = t - s$  of integration and using the Proposition, from the above estimate we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|J_3(t)\|_n &\leq C \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \tau^{-1} \|\nabla\mathcal{E}_{j-1}(t) - \nabla\mathcal{E}_{j-1}(t-\tau)\|_n d\tau \\ &\leq C \left( \|\varepsilon_j\|_{Y_q} \|u_0\|_{X_p} + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}} \|u\|_{X_p} \|u\|_{X_{r_1}} + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{X_p} \|u_j\|_{Y_q} (\|u\|_{X_p} + \|u_{j-1}\|_{X_p}) \right. \\ &\quad + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{X_{r_1}} \|u\|_{X_p} \|u_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}} + \|\varepsilon_j\|_{X_p} (\|u_0\|_{X_q} + \|u_{j-1}\|_{X_{r_1}} \|u_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}}) t^{-1-\alpha} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \tau^{-1+\alpha} d\tau \\ &\quad + (\|\varepsilon_j\|_{Y_q} \|u\|_{X_p}^2 + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{X_p} \|u_j\|_{Y_q} (\|u\|_{X_p} + \|u_{j-1}\|_{X_p})) t^{-1-\beta_{n,p}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \tau^{-1+\beta_{n,p}} d\tau \\ &\quad + (\|\varepsilon_j\|_{X_p} \|u_{j-1}\|_{X_{r_1}} \|u_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}} + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{X_{r_1}} \|u\|_{X_p} \|u_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}} \\ &\quad + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{Y_{r_2}} \|u\|_{X_p} \|u\|_{X_{r_1}}) t^{-1-\beta_{n,q,r}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \tau^{-1+\beta_{n,q,r}} d\tau \Big) \\ &\leq Ct^{-1} \left( \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{Y_q} (\|u_0\|_{X_p} + \|u\|_{X_p}^2) + \|\varepsilon_{j-2}\|_{Y_{r_2}} \|u\|_{X_p} \|u\|_{X_{r_1}} \right. \\ &\quad + \|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{X_p} (\|u_0\|_{X_q} + \|u_{j-2}\|_{X_{r_1}} \|u_{j-2}\|_{Y_{r_2}}) \\ &\quad \left. + \|\varepsilon_{j-2}\|_{X_p} \|u_{j-1}\|_{Y_q} (\|u\|_{X_p} + \|u_{j-2}\|_{X_p}) + \|\varepsilon_{j-2}\|_{X_{r_1}} \|u\|_{X_p} \|u_{j-2}\|_{Y_{r_2}} \right)\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

for all  $0 < t < T$  with  $C = C(n, p, q, r)$ . Now the desired estimate for  $A\varepsilon_j(t)$  follows from (3.4)-(3.7). The estimate for  $\partial_t \varepsilon_j(t)$  is easily deduced from the one for  $A\varepsilon_j(t)$ . In fact, due to the semigroup argument we have

$$\partial_t \varepsilon_j(t) = A\varepsilon_j(t) + (P(u_{j-1} \cdot \nabla)u_{j-1})(t) - P((u \cdot \nabla)u)(t).$$

The second term of the above equality is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}\|P(u_{j-1} \cdot \nabla)u_{j-1}(t) - P((u \cdot \nabla)u)(t)\|_n &\leq C(\|\varepsilon_{j-1}(t)\|_p \|\nabla u_{j-1}(t)\|_q + \|u(t)\|_p \|\nabla \varepsilon_{j-1}(t)\|_q) \\ &\leq Ct^{-1}(\|\varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{X_p} \|u_{j-1}\|_{Y_q} + \varepsilon_{j-1}\|_{Y_q} \|u\|_{X_p}).\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

Combining (3.5)-(3.7) and (3.8), we have (3.3).  $\square$

## References

- [1] Kato, T., *Strong  $L^p$ -solution of the Navier-Stokes equation in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , with applications to weak solutions*. Math. Z. **187**, 471–480(1984).
- [2] Koizumi, Y., Taniguchi, T., *Convergence of approximating solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* . J. Math. Anal. Appl. **525**, no. 2, 127170, 25 pp (2023).
- [3] Koizumi, Y., *Convergence of approximating solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in higher ordered Sobolev norms.*, submitted.
- [4] Kozono, H., Okada, A., Shimizu, S., *Characterization of initial data in the homogeneous Besov space for solutions in the Serrin class of the Navier-Stokes equations*. J. Funct. Anal. **278**, no. 5, 108390, 47 pp (2020).

Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics

Waseda University

Tokyo 169-8555

JAPAN

E-mail address: ytkzm376@akane.waseda.jp