

Rings of totally real integers with \mathbb{N}

Kenji Fukuzaki *

Abstract Let A be a ring of totally real integers (not necessarily of finite degree over the rationals). Let \mathfrak{L} be the ring language, and let P be a new 1-placed relation symbol not in the language \mathfrak{L} . We consider (A, \mathbb{N}) , the expansion of A to the language $\mathfrak{L} \cup \{P\}$ with $P^A = \mathbb{N}$. We show that for $x \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, x^n is $\mathfrak{L} \cup \{P\}$ -definable.

1 Exponentiation by exponents in \mathbb{N}

We shall show that for $x \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, x^n is $\mathfrak{L} \cup \{P\}$ -definable in (A, \mathbb{N}) , that is, there is a $\mathfrak{L} \cup \{P\}$ -formula $\varphi(x, n, y)$ such that, given x , $\Sigma(P)$ implies

- for all $n \in P$, there exists a unique y ,
- $\varphi(x, 0, y)$ implies $y = 1$, and
- for all $n \in P$ and for all y, z , $\varphi(x, n, y)$ and $\varphi(x, n + 1, z)$ implies $z = yx$.

Then, $\varphi(x, n, y)$ means $y = x^n$ in (A, \mathbb{N}) . (We write $x \in P$ instead of $P(x)$.)

We begin by introducing the partial order on A which is used in [Ro]. An algebraic number x is totally non-negative ($x \geq 0$) if x and all its real conjugates are non-negative. We write $x \leq y$ to indicate that $y - x$ is totally non-negative. (J. Robinson used the symbol \ll in [Ro], but we use \leq .) We write $x \ll y$ if $x \leq y$ and $x \neq y$.

Siegel [Sie] proved that an algebraic number x is the sum of four squares of numbers in the field $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ iff it is totally non-negative. Hence in any ring of totally real integers,

$$x \leq y \Leftrightarrow \exists t, u, v, w, z [t^2(y - x) = u^2 + v^2 + w^2 + z^2 \wedge t \neq 0].$$

We see that \leq is a partial order on A and that $x \leq y$ implies $x + z \leq y + z$, $0 \leq x$ and $0 \leq y$ implies $0 \leq xy$.

We note that, for $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, usual order relation $s \leq t$ coincides with $s \leq t$. We also note that \mathbb{Z} is $\mathfrak{L} \cup \{P\}$ -definable in (A, \mathbb{N}) and for $\alpha \in A$ there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $-n \leq \alpha \leq n$.

Lemma 1. *Given two totally real integers t and m with $m \gg 0$, there is at most one totally real integer a such that $t \equiv a \pmod{m}$ and $-m \leq 2a \leq m$, that is, such an integer a is unique if it exists.*

Proof. Suppose that there are two such totally real integers a, b . Then there is $v \in \mathbb{Z}^{tr}$ with $a - b = mv$. Let K be the Galois closure of $\mathbb{Q}(a, b, m)$. For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$, we have

$$|m^\sigma v^\sigma| = |a^\sigma - b^\sigma|, \quad |2a^\sigma - 2b^\sigma| < 2m^\sigma.$$

Thus $|v^\sigma| < 1$ for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$, so that $v = 0$ and $a = b$. □

*K. Fukuzaki

The International University of Kagoshima, 8-34-1, Sakanoue,
Kagoshima-shi, 891-0197, Japan
e-mail: fukuzaki@eco.iuk.ac.jp

This is a simple improvement of Lemma 3 of [Ro].

Using the above lemma, we have the following :

Proposition 2 (Gödel's β -function for rings of totally real integers). *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in A$. Then, there are $a \in A$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} -(i+1)k - 1 &\leq 2x_i \leq ((i+1)k + 1) \text{ and} \\ a &\equiv x_i \pmod{((i+1)k + 1)} \end{aligned}$$

hold for all $i < n$. If $a \equiv y_i \pmod{((i+1)k + 1)}$ then $y_i = x_i$, for all i .

Proof. Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $-k \leq 2x_i \leq k$ for all $i < n$. Put $m_i = (i+1)k + 1$. Then m_0, m_1, \dots, m_{n-1} are pairwise coprime. Let

$$M = m_0 m_1 \cdots m_{n-1} = m_0 M_0 = m_1 M_1 = \cdots = m_{n-1} M_{n-1}$$

and let $t_i \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $M_i t_i \equiv 1 \pmod{m_i}$ for each i . We let $a = x_0 M_0 t_0 + x_1 M_1 t_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1} M_{n-1} t_{n-1}$. Then, $a \equiv x_i \pmod{m_i}$ for each i . For each m_i , $a \pmod{m_i}$ is unique by the above lemma, hence $a \pmod{m_i}$ must be x_i . \square

We define $\beta(a, k, i)$ to be $a \pmod{(i+1)k + 1}$.

Gödel's β -function for \mathbb{N} (or models of PA), $\beta(a, k, i)$, is defined to be the remainder of Euclidean division $a \div ((i+1)k + 1)$, which equals x_i . It exists for every a, k, i . For rings of totally real integers, it is not the case. Nevertheless, for a given sequence of totally real integers x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} , if we take $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $-k \leq 2x_i \leq k$ for all $i < n$ and construct the above a , then $a \pmod{m_i}$ exists uniquely and equals x_i for each i . Note that such $k \in \mathbb{N}$ always exists.

Thus, for any sequence $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in A$ there are $a \in A$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta(a, k, i) = x_i$ for $i < n$. Any such sequence can be extended.

Lemma 3. *Given a sequence $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$, let $a \in A$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\beta(a, k, i) = x_i$ for $i < n$. Then, there are $a' \in A$ and $k' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta(a, k, i) = \beta(a', k', i)$ for $i < n$ and $\beta(a', k', n) = x_n$.*

For a proof, see [K, p.60].

Now, we let $\varphi(x, n, y)$ be the following formula:

" $n \in P$ and there are a, k with $k \in P$ such that

- $a \equiv 1 \pmod{m_0}$,
- for every $i < n$,
there is b such that $a \equiv b \pmod{m_i}$ and $-m_i \leq 2b \leq m_i$, and
there is c such that $a \equiv c \pmod{m_{i+1}}$ and $-m_{i+1} \leq 2c \leq m_{i+1}$, and $c = bx$,
- $a \equiv y \pmod{m_n}$ and $-m_n \leq 2y \leq m_n$ "

where $m_i = (i+1)k + 1$.

Proposition 4. *For $x \in A$, the formula $\varphi(x, n, y)$ defines function of \mathbb{N} to A and its function satisfies the recursive definition of x^n in (A, \mathbb{N}) .*

Proof. We need to show both existence and uniqueness of y such that $\varphi(x, n, y)$ holds and the defined function y satisfies the recursive definition of x^n . This follows by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$, using the above proposition and lemma. \square

Thus, x^n is definable in (A, \mathbb{N}) . The properties of exponentiation are easily proved by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x \in \mathbb{N}$, $x^n \in \mathbb{N}$ follows by induction. It is known that even in models of PA (not $\text{Th}(\mathbb{N})$), exponentiation is definable. See [K, p. 68].

References

- [K] R. Kaye. Models of Peano Arithmetic, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [Ro] J. Robinson. On the decision problem for algebraic rings. In *Studies in mathematical analysis and related topics*, pp. 297–304, Stanford Univ. Press, Stanford, Calif., 1962.
- [Sie] C. Siegel. Darstellung total positive Zahlen durch Quadrate, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, Vol. 10, pp. 246–275, 1921.