

# Set-Valued Fan-Takahashi Inequalities Via Scalarization

(スカラー化関数による集合値関数のミニマックス定理の一般化とその応用)

Ryota Iwamoto<sup>a</sup> and Tamaki Tanaka<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Graduate school of Science and Technology, Niigata University,

<sup>b</sup>Faculty of Science, Niigata University

## 1 Introduction

Real-valued minimax inequality was found by W. Takahashi and Ky. Fan;

**Theorem 1.1.** ([4]) Let  $X$  be a nonempty compact convex subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space and  $f: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If  $f$  satisfies the following conditions:

1. for each fixed  $y \in X$ ,  $f(\cdot, y)$  is lower semicontinuous,
2. for each fixed  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x, \cdot)$  is quasi concave,
3.  $f(x, x) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ ,

then there exists  $\bar{x} \in X$  such that  $f(\bar{x}, y) \leq 0$  for all  $y \in X$ .

In a history of set-valued minimax inequalities, Georgiev and Tanaka [2] extended the minimax inequality to set-valued maps. Kuwano, Tanaka, and Yamada [5] constructed the result of four types of set-valued minimax inequalities with set-relations. Our goal is to generalize the result of four types of set-valued minimax inequalities which is not related to the specific set-relations and scalarization functions.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $Y$  a real topological vector space, and  $\theta_Y$  be a zero vector in  $Y$ . Define that  $\mathcal{P}_0(Y)$  is the set of all nonempty subsets of  $Y$ . The sets of neighborhoods of  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{N}_X(x)$  and  $\mathcal{N}_Y(y)$ , respectively.

For  $A \in \mathcal{P}_0(Y)$ , the interior, the closure, the boundary, and the complement of  $A$  are denoted by  $\text{int } A$ ,  $\text{cl } A$ ,  $\text{bd } A$ , and  $A^c$ , respectively. For given  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}_0(Y)$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , the algebraic sum  $A+B$  and the scalar multiplication  $tA$  are denoted as follows:  $A+B := \{a+b \mid a \in A, b \in$

$B\}$ ,  $tA := \{ta \mid a \in A\}$ . In particular, we denote  $A + \{y\}$  by  $A + y$  and  $(-1)A$  by  $-A$  for  $A \in \mathcal{P}_0(Y)$  and  $y \in Y$ . Let us recall that  $A$  is said to be  $C$ -bounded if for each neighborhood  $U$  of  $\theta_Y$  there exists  $t > 0$  such that  $A \subset tU + C$ .

We define binary relations on  $\mathcal{P}_0(Y)$  as follows:

**Definition 2.1.** For  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}_0(Y)$ , we define two binary relations on  $\mathcal{P}_0(Y)$ :

$$A \preceq_1 B \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} A \cap B \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad A \preceq_2 B \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} B \subset A.$$

**Definition 2.2.** For  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}_0(Y)$  and a convex cone  $C$ , we define;

$$A \preceq_C^{(3L)} B \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} B \subset A + C \quad \text{and} \quad A \preceq_C^{(3U)} B \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} A \subset B - C.$$

We note that recent studies contain six or eight types of binary relations with a convex cone, that is, set-relations. In this paper, we focus on the above two types of set-relations:

For set-valued maps and scalarization functions, we define the following concepts of continuity and semicontinuity, which are works of P. Dechboon and T. Tanaka [1].

**Definition 2.3.** ([1]) Let  $F: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(Y)$ ,  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $\preceq$  a binary relation on  $\mathcal{P}_0(Y)$  and  $C \subset Y$  a convex cone. We say that  $F$  is  $(\preceq, C)$ -continuous at  $x_0$  if

$$\forall W \subset Y, W \text{ open}, W \preceq F(x_0), \exists V \in \mathcal{N}_X(x_0) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad W + C \preceq F(x), \forall x \in V.$$

Especially,  $(\preceq_1, C)$ -continuity and  $(\preceq_2, C)$ -continuity coincide with classical “C-lower continuity” and “C-upper continuity” for set-valued maps, respectively.

**Definition 2.4.** ([1]) Let  $\varphi: \mathcal{P}_0(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ ,  $A_0 \in \mathcal{P}_0(Y)$ ,  $\preceq$  a binary relation on  $\mathcal{P}_0(Y)$ , and  $C$  a convex cone in  $Y$  with  $C \neq Y$ . Then, we say that  $\varphi$  is  $(\preceq, C)$ -lower semicontinuous at  $A_0$  if

$$\forall r < \varphi(A_0), \exists W \in \mathcal{P}_0(Y), W \text{ open}, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad W \preceq A_0 \text{ and } r > \varphi(A), \forall A \in U(W + C, \preceq);$$

where  $U(V, \preceq) := \{A \in \mathcal{P}_0(Y) \mid V \preceq A\}$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** ([1]) Let  $F: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(Y)$ ,  $\varphi: \mathcal{P}_0(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ ,  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $\preceq$  a binary relation on  $\mathcal{P}_0(Y)$ , and  $C$  a convex cone. If  $F$  is  $(\preceq, C)$ -continuous at  $x_0$  and  $\varphi$  is  $(\preceq, C)$ -lower semicontinuous at  $F(x_0)$ , then  $(\varphi \circ F)$  is lower semicontinuous at  $x_0$ .

We define concepts of convexity and concavity for set-valued maps. These notions are utilized to extend minimax inequality for real-valued maps to that for set-valued maps.

**Definition 2.6.** ([3]) Let  $X$  be a nonempty set,  $Y$  a real topological vector space,  $C$  a convex cone in  $Y$ , and  $F: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(Y)$  a set-valued map where  $j = 3U, 3L$ .

1.  $F$  is called type  $(j)$  properly quasi  $C$ -concave if for each  $x, y \in X$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$F(x) \preceq_C^{(j)} F(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \quad \text{or} \quad F(y) \preceq_C^{(j)} F(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)$$

2.  $F$  is called type  $(j)$  naturally quasi  $C$ -concave if for each  $x, y \in X$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , there exists  $\mu \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$\mu F(x) + (1 - \mu)F(y) \preceq_C^{(j)} F(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y).$$

If  $F$  is type  $(j)$  properly quasi  $C$ -concave, clearly  $F$  is type  $(j)$  naturally quasi  $C$ -concave.

**Definition 2.7.** ([2]) Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}_0(Y)$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be convex if for each  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\lambda A_1 + (1 - \lambda)A_2 \in \mathcal{A}.$$

**Definition 2.8.** ([2]) Let  $\varphi: \mathcal{P}_0(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ . Then,

1.  $\varphi$  is quasi convex if for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\text{lev}(\varphi, \leq, \alpha) := \{A \in \mathcal{P}_0(Y) \mid \varphi(A) \leq \alpha\}$  is convex.
2.  $\varphi$  is quasi concave if for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\text{lev}(\varphi, \geq, \alpha) := \{A \in \mathcal{P}_0(Y) \mid \varphi(A) \geq \alpha\}$  is convex.

**Definition 2.9.** ([2]) Let  $C$  be a convex cone in  $Y$  and  $j = 3U, 3L$ . For a given binary relation  $\preceq$ , a scalarization function  $\varphi$  is  $(\preceq_C^{(j)})$ -monotone if for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}_0(Y)$  with  $A \preceq_C^{(j)} B$ ,  $\varphi(A) \leq \varphi(B)$ .

**Proposition 2.10.** ([2]) Let  $\varphi$  be  $(\preceq_C^{(j)})$ -monotone and quasi convex where  $j = 3U, 3L$ . If  $F$  is type  $(j)$  naturally quasi  $C$ -convex, then  $(\varphi \circ F)$  is quasi convex.

**Proposition 2.11.** ([2]) Let  $\varphi$  be  $(\preceq_C^{(j)})$ -monotone and quasi concave where  $j = 3U, 3L$ . If  $F$  is type  $(j)$  naturally quasi  $C$ -concave, then  $(\varphi \circ F)$  is quasi concave.

### 3 Main Results

Let  $\varphi: \mathcal{P}_0(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ ,  $\preceq$  a binary relation on  $\mathcal{P}_0(Y)$ , and  $C' \subset Y$  a convex cone. To generalize four types of set-valued minimax inequalities [3], we provide a new class of scalarization functions that satisfy;

1.  $\varphi$  is  $(\preceq, C')$ -lower semicontinuous,
2.  $\varphi$  is quasi concave,
3.  $\varphi(\{\theta_Y\}) = 0$ .

In addition, we give necessary conditions between inequalities and set-relations as follows;

(B1)  $\varphi$  is  $(\preceq_{\text{int } C}^{(j)})$ -monotone,

(B2)  $\varphi(A) > 0 \Rightarrow \{\theta_Y\} \preccurlyeq_{\text{int } C}^{(j)} A$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{P}_0(Y)$ ,

where  $j = 3U, 3L$ . If  $\varphi$  satisfies conditions (i)–(iii), (B1), and (B2), we write the notation as  $\varphi \in \Phi(\preccurlyeq_{\text{int } C}^{(j)}, \preccurlyeq, C')$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty compact convex subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space,  $Y$  a real topological vector space,  $\preccurlyeq$  a binary relation on  $\mathcal{P}_0(Y)$ ,  $C$  a convex cone in  $Y$ ,  $C'$  a convex cone in  $Y$ ,  $\varphi: \mathcal{P}_0(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ , and  $F: X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(Y)$ . For the scalarization function  $\varphi \in \Phi(\preccurlyeq_{\text{int } C}^{(j)}, \preccurlyeq, C')$  satisfying Assumption (A2) where  $j = 3U, 3L$ , if  $F$  satisfies the following conditions:

1.  $(\varphi \circ F)(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ,
2. for each fixed  $y \in X$ ,  $F(\cdot, y)$  is  $(\preccurlyeq, C')$ -continuous,
3. for each fixed  $x \in X$ ,  $F(x, \cdot)$  is type  $(j)$  naturally quasi  $C$ -concave,
4. for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\{\theta_Y\} \not\preccurlyeq_{\text{int } C}^{(j)} F(x, x)$ ,

then there exists  $\bar{x} \in X$  such that  $\{\theta_Y\} \not\preccurlyeq_{\text{int } C}^{(j)} F(\bar{x}, y)$  for all  $y \in X$ .

In the first part of this section, we provide conditions under which semicontinuity and convexity can be preserved when considering composite functions of a set-valued map and a scalarization function.

Let  $\hat{Y}$  be a real normed vector space equipped with  $\|y\|$  the norm of  $y \in \hat{Y}$  and  $\theta_{\hat{Y}}$  the zero vector of  $\hat{Y}$ . As a scalarization function, we introduce the Hiriart-Urruty oriented distance function.

**Definition 3.2.** ([5]) For the set  $A \in Y$ , let generalized oriented distance functions  $\mathcal{D}_A^{(1)}: \mathcal{P}_0(\hat{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_A^{(2)}: \mathcal{P}_0(\hat{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$  be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_A^{(1)}(B) &:= \sup\{\Delta_A(b) \mid b \in B\}, \text{ for all } B \in \mathcal{P}_0(\hat{Y}), \\ \mathcal{D}_A^{(2)}(B) &:= \inf\{-\Delta_A(b) \mid b \in B\} = -\mathcal{D}_A^{(1)}(B), \text{ for all } B \in \mathcal{P}_0(\hat{Y}). \end{aligned}$$

As  $\mathcal{D}_A^{(2)}(B)$  satisfies the conditions (i)–(iii), (B1), and (B2), the following result is obtained by Theorem 3.1.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty compact convex subset of a topological vector space,  $\hat{Y}$  a real normed vector space,  $C$  a closed convex cone in  $\hat{Y}$  with  $\text{int } C \neq \emptyset$ , and,  $F: X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(\hat{Y})$ . If  $F$  satisfies the following conditions:

1.  $F$  is  $C$ -bounded on  $X \times X$ ,
2. for each fixed  $y \in X$ ,  $F(\cdot, y)$  is  $(\preccurlyeq_2, C)$ -continuous (that is,  $C$ -upper continuous),
3. for each fixed  $x \in X$ ,  $F(x, \cdot)$  is type  $(j)$  naturally quasi  $C$ -concave,
4. for all  $x \in X$ ,  $F(x, x) \preccurlyeq_C^{(3L)} \{\theta_{\hat{Y}}\}$ ,

then there exists  $\bar{x} \in X$  such that  $\{\theta_{\hat{Y}}\} \not\stackrel{(3L)}{\underset{\text{int } C}{\preceq}} F(\bar{x}, y)$  for all  $y \in X$ .

We remark that the above consequence was found by using another scalarization function. However, Our main result avoids to depend on the specific scalarization function. If we find a scalarization function that satisfies the conditions (i)–(iii), (B1), and (B2), Theorem 3.1 can be applied to obtain minimax inequalities for set-valued maps.

## References

- [1] P. Dechboon and T. Tanaka, Inheritance properties on cone continuity for set-valued maps via scalarization, *Minimax Theory Appl.* **9** (2024), no. 2, 201–224.
- [2] S. Kobayashi, Y. Saito and T. Tanaka, Convexity for compositions of set-valued map and monotone scalarizing function, *Pac. J. Optim.* **12** (2016), no. 1, 43–54.
- [3] I. Kuwano, T. Tanaka and S. Yamada, Unified scalarization for sets and set-valued Ky Fan minimax inequality, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **11** (2010), no. 3, 513–525.
- [4] W. Takahashi, Nonlinear variational inequalities and fixed point theorems, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **28** (1976), no. 1, 168–181.
- [5] Y. D. Xu and S. J. Li, A new nonlinear scalarization function and applications, *Optimization* **65** (2016), no. 1, 207–231.