

# Chromatic properties of U-rank one graphs

Akito Tsuboi  
University of Tsukuba (Professor Emeritus)

December 8–10, 2025  
RIMS Workshop on Model Theory

## 1 Motivation

I had long focused on edge-coloring problems in graphs, but only recently did I fully appreciate the significance of vertex-coloring problems. This shift was motivated by the work of Halevi, Kaplan, and Shelah, who investigated vertex colorings of uncountable graphs. Their analysis of stable or simple uncountable graphs uses a deep model-theoretic analysis.

In this note, we investigate a stable graph whose theory has U-rank one. Very roughly, for such a graph, we show the following:

- If the chromatic number of  $G$  is infinite, then  $G$  has an infinite complete subgraph.

## 2 Definitions

We first recall fundamental definitions in graph theory.

**Definition 1** (Graph). *A structure  $G = (G, R)$  is called a graph if  $R$  is a binary relation on  $G$  that is irreflexive and symmetric. If  $R(a, b)$  holds, the nodes  $a$  and  $b$  are said to be adjacent.*

**Definition 2** (Coloring and Chromatic Number). *Let  $(G, R)$  be a graph. A function  $f : G \rightarrow \kappa$  is a valid coloring if  $R(a, b) \Rightarrow f(a) \neq f(b)$ . The minimum cardinality  $\kappa$  for which such a coloring exists is the chromatic number, denoted  $\chi(G)$ .*

**Definition 3** (Degree). *For  $a \in G$ , the degree of  $a$  in  $G$  is defined as  $\deg_G(a) := |\{g \in G : R(a, g)\}|$ .*

## 3 Examples and Observations

**Example 4.** 1. *If a graph  $G$  contains a clique (complete subgraph) of size  $\kappa$ , then  $\chi(G) \geq \kappa$ .*

2. *A triangle-free random graph does not have a clique larger than size 3, yet its chromatic number  $\chi(G) \geq \omega$ .*

3. *Let  $K_n$  be a complete graph of size  $n$ . The graph  $G = \bigoplus_{n \in \omega} K_n$  is a stable graph of U-rank 2. In this case,  $\chi(G) = \omega$ , yet  $G$  contains no infinite clique (though one exists in the monster model).*

## 4 Facts and Lemmas

The following facts regarding partitions and bounded degrees are essential for the main proof:

**Fact 5.** *Suppose  $\chi(G)$  is infinite.*

1. If  $G = G_0 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup G_{n-1}$  is a finite partition of the vertices, there exists some  $i < n$  such that  $\chi(G_i)$  is infinite.
2. If  $R = C_0 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup C_{n-1}$  is a finite partition of the edges, there exists some  $i < n$  such that  $(G, C_i)$  has an infinite chromatic number.

**Fact 6.** *If the degrees in  $G$  are uniformly bounded by a finite number  $d$ , then  $\chi(G) \leq d + 1$ , meaning the chromatic number is finite.*

The following lemma, implicit in Halevi et al., links strong types and independence to the existence of cliques:

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite graph whose theory  $T$  is stable, and let  $A \subset G$ . If there exist  $a, b$  in the monster model  $\mathcal{M} \succ G$  such that  $R(a, b)$  holds,  $\text{stp}(a/A) = \text{stp}(b/A)$ , and  $a \perp_A b$ , then  $G$  contains an infinite clique.*

## 5 Main Result

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $G$  be a graph whose theory has  $U$ -rank one (every element in the monster model has  $U$ -rank  $\leq 1$ ). If  $\chi(G) \geq \omega$ , then  $G$  must contain an infinite clique.*

*Proof.* Assume for contradiction that  $G$  does not contain an infinite clique. Let  $\Gamma(x, y)$  be the set of formulas:

$$\{(\exists^{<n}u)\phi(u, y) \rightarrow \neg\phi(x, y) : n \in \omega, \phi(x, y) \text{ a formula}\}.$$

The following claim can be proved using Lemma 7 above.

**Claim 1.** *The set  $\Delta(x, y) := \text{'stp}(x) = \text{stp}(y)' \cup \{R(x, y)\} \cup \Gamma(x, y)$  is inconsistent.*

Since  $\Delta$  is inconsistent, by compactness there exist a finite equivalence relation  $E(x, y)$ , an integer  $n \in \omega$ , and finitely many formulas  $\phi_i(x, y)$  for  $i < k$  such that  $(\forall x \forall y)[E(x, y) \wedge R(x, y) \rightarrow \bigvee_{i < k} (\exists^{<n}u)\phi_i(u, y) \wedge \phi_i(x, y)]$  holds. Because there are only finitely many  $E$ -classes, there must be an  $E$ -class  $C$  such that  $\chi(C)$  is infinite. This formula implies that for every  $a \in C$ , its degree is bounded:  $\deg(a) \leq kn$ . Uniformly finite degrees imply  $\chi(C)$  is finite, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Yatir Halevi, Itay Kaplan, and Saharon Shelah, Infinite Cliques in Simple and Stable Graphs, *Model Theory (Volume 4, Issue 2, 2024)*, pp. 231-245).
- [2] Saharon Shelah, *Classification Theory and the Number of Non-Isomorphic Models*, 2nd edition, North-Holland, 1990.
- [3] Anand Pillay, *An Introduction to Stability Theory*, Oxford University Press, 1983.