

Simplicity of the kernel of the flux homomorphisms of non-orientable open surfaces

Shuhei Maruyama

School of Mathematics and Physics, College of Science and Engineering
Kanazawa University

1 Introduction

In this paper, we discuss the latter half of the preprint [KM25] by Kim and the author.

A group G is called *simple* if every normal subgroup is trivial or G itself. In other words, the group G is simple if and only if any homomorphism from G to any group is either trivial or injective.

For a C^∞ -manifold M , let $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)_0$ denote the group of compactly-supported C^r -diffeomorphisms that are isotopic to the identity. Thurston [Thu74] proved that $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(M)_0$ is simple, and Mather [Mat74, Mat75] proved that $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)_0$ is simple unless $r = \dim(M) + 1$.

From here we concentrate on the case where M is an open surface. Let S be an orientable open surface and ω an area form. Let $\text{Diff}_c(S; \omega)_0$ be the group of compactly-supported ω -preserving C^∞ -diffeomorphisms of S that are isotopic to the identity. In contrast to the case of $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(M)_0$, the group $\text{Diff}_c(S; \omega)_0$ is not simple, and in fact there exists a surjective homomorphism

$$\text{Flux}_S: \text{Diff}_c(S; \omega)_0 \rightarrow H_c^1(S; \mathbb{R})$$

called the flux homomorphism (see Section 2 for the definition). The kernel of the flux homomorphism is known to coincide with the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. Moreover, the kernel $\ker \text{Flux}_S$ of the flux homomorphism is not simple, either. In fact, there is a surjective homomorphism

$$\text{Cal}_S: \ker \text{Flux}_S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

called the Calabi homomorphism (see Section 2 for the definition). Banyaga [Ban78] proved that the kernel $\ker \text{Cal}_S$ is simple.

In this paper, we will consider the case where M is a non-orientable open surface N . For a non-orientable surface, the notion of area density plays a similar role to area forms for orientable surfaces. Let ω be an area density of N , and $\text{Diff}_c(N; \omega)_0$ the group of compactly-supported ω -preserving C^∞ -diffeomorphisms of N that are isotopic to the identity. Similarly to the orientable surface cases, the group $\text{Diff}_c(N; \omega)_0$ admits a surjective homomorphism

$$\text{Flux}_N: \text{Diff}_c(N; \omega)_0 \rightarrow H_c^1(N; L_N)$$

called the flux homomorphism. Here $H_c^1(N; L_N)$ is the compactly-supported first cohomology of N with coefficients in the orientation line bundle $L_N \rightarrow N$ of N .

In contrast to the fact that $\ker \text{Flux}_S$ is not simple for an orientable open surface S , we show the following:

Theorem 1.1 ([KM25]). For a non-orientable open surface N , the kernel $\ker \text{Flux}_N$ is simple.

In this paper, we will explain the outline of the proof of the above theorem for the open Möbius band.

2 Flux homomorphism and Calabi homomorphism

2.1 Case of orientable open surfaces

In this section, we will explain the definitions of the flux homomorphism and the Calabi homomorphism (see [Ban97] and [MS98] for details).

Let S be an orientable open surface and $\omega \in \Omega^2(S)$ an area form. Since S is an open surface, the second cohomology $H^2(S; \mathbb{R})$ is trivial, and hence ω is exact. Let η be a 1-form on S satisfying $d\eta = \omega$. For an element g of $\text{Diff}_c(S; \omega)_0$, the difference $\eta - g^*\eta$ is closed since $d(\eta - g^*\eta) = \omega - g^*\omega = \omega - \omega = 0$. Moreover, $\eta - g^*\eta$ is compactly-supported since g is compactly-supported. Hence $\eta - g^*\eta$ represents a compactly-supported first cohomology class of S . It is verified that the compactly-supported cohomology class $[\eta - g^*\eta]$ does not depend on the choice of η . This gives rise to a well-defined map

$$\text{Flux}_S: \text{Diff}_c(S; \omega)_0 \rightarrow H_c^1(S; \mathbb{R}); \quad g \mapsto [\eta - g^*\eta],$$

and it turns out to be a surjective homomorphism, called the *flux homomorphism*.

For an element g of $\ker \text{Flux}_S$, we can take a compactly-supported 0-form φ_g on S satisfying $d\varphi_g = \eta - g^*\eta$. By the compactly-supportedness, such φ_g is unique. Hence we obtain a map

$$\text{Cal}_S: \ker \text{Flux}_S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \quad g \mapsto \int_S \varphi_g \cdot \omega,$$

and it turns out to be a surjective homomorphism, called the *Calabi homomorphism*.

2.2 Case of non-orientable open surfaces

Let N be a non-orientable open surface and $L_N \rightarrow N$ the orientation line bundle. Let $\Omega^p(N; L_N)$ (resp. $\Omega_c^p(N; L_N)$) be the set of all sections (resp. compactly-supported sections) of the bundle $\wedge^p T^*N \otimes L_N \rightarrow N$. An element of $\Omega^p(N; L_N)$ (resp. $\Omega_c^p(N; L_N)$) is called a *twisted p -form* of N (resp. *compactly-supported twisted p -form*) (see [BT82] and [dR84] for details on twisted differential forms). An *area density* on N is an element of $\Omega^2(N; L_N)$ which is nowhere zero.

We can define the exterior derivative $d: \Omega^p(N; L_N) \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1}(N; L_N)$, and hence $(\Omega^\bullet(N; L_N), d)$ forms a cochain complex. Let $H^*(N; L_N)$ denote the cohomology of $(\Omega^*(N; L_N), d)$. Moreover, the compactly-supported twisted differential forms $\Omega_c^\bullet(N; L_N)$ turns out to be a

subcomplex of $(\Omega^\bullet(N; L_N), d)$, and hence it gives rise to a cohomology group $H_c^*(N; L_N)$. It is easily verified that the second cohomology group $H^2(N; L_N)$ is trivial, and hence the area density is exact on a non-orientable open surface.

Let $\text{Diff}_c(N; \omega)_0$ be the group of compactly-supported ω -preserving diffeomorphisms of N that are isotopic to the identity. Take a twisted 1-form $\eta \in \Omega^1(N; L_N)$ such that $d\eta = \omega$. As in the case of an orientable surface, the difference $\eta - g^*\eta \in \Omega_c^1(N; L_N)$ gives rise to a surjective homomorphism

$$\text{Flux}_N: \text{Diff}_c(N; \omega)_0 \rightarrow H_c^1(N; L_N); \quad g \mapsto [\eta - g^*\eta],$$

which is called the *flux homomorphism*.

3 Simplicity trick

In this section, we will explain a well-known criterion for a given subgroup of the group of diffeomorphisms to be simple.

Let M be a C^∞ -manifold and G a subgroup of $\text{Diff}_c(M)$. The simplicity trick has two ingredients: the displacing property and the strong fragmentation property.

Definition 3.1 (Displacing property). G is said to have the *displacing property* if for any distinct points x and y in M , there exist $z \in M \setminus \{x, y\}$ and $g \in G$ such that $g(y) = z$ and $x \notin \text{supp}(g)$.

For an open set $U \subset M$, set

$$G_U = \{g \in G \mid \text{supp}(g) \subset U\}_0.$$

Definition 3.2 (Fragmentation property). G is said to have the *fragmentation property* if for every open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of M by open balls and for every $g \in G$, there exist $U_1, \dots, U_n \in \mathcal{U}$ and $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ such that

- (1) $g = g_1 \cdots g_n$,
- (2) $g_i \in G_{U_i}$ for every i .

Definition 3.3 (Strong fragmentation property). G is said to have the *strong fragmentation property* if for every open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of M by open balls and for every $g \in G$, there exist $U_1, \dots, U_n \in \mathcal{U}$ and $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ such that

- (1) $g = g_1 \cdots g_n$,
- (2) $g_i \in [G_{U_i}, G_{U_i}]$ for every i .

Here $[G_{U_i}, G_{U_i}]$ denotes the commutator subgroup of G_{U_i} .

Note that if G_U is perfect for every open ball $U \subset M$, then the strong fragmentation property is equivalent to the fragmentation property.

Theorem 3.4 (Simplicity trick; see [Ban97] for example). If G acts on M transitively and has the displacing property and the strong fragmentation property, then G is simple.

For the simplicity of $\text{Diff}_c(M)_0$ (Thurston) and of $\ker \text{Cal}_M$ (Banyaga), the simplicity trick was used in the following way. Let G be either $\text{Diff}_c(M)_0$ or $\ker \text{Cal}_M$. It is not hard to prove that the group G acts on the manifold transitively and has the displacing property and the fragmentation property. Thurston and Banyaga proved that for every open ball U , the group G_U is perfect by using Mather–Thurston theorem. Hence the fragmentation property is equivalent to the strong fragmentation property, and thus the simplicity trick works for G .

Our goal is to prove the simplicity of the group $\ker \text{Flux}_N$ for a non-orientable surface N . For this group $\ker \text{Flux}_N$, the transitivity and the displacing property can be easily verified. However, the above strategy does not work well. Indeed, the group $(\ker \text{Flux}_N)_U$ is not perfect for an open disk $U \subset N$, since $(\ker \text{Flux}_N)_U$ is isomorphic to $\text{Diff}_c(U; \omega)_0$ and this group admits the Calabi homomorphism $\text{Cal}_U: \text{Diff}_c(U; \omega)_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Hence we need to establish the strong fragmentation property without perfectness.

4 From fragmentation property to strong fragmentation property by using non-orientability

In this section, we will explain how we improve the fragmentation property to the strong fragmentation property from the non-orientability. This is called the *cell division trick* in [KM25]. Here we concentrate on the toy model on the open Möbius band, which is the most elementary example containing the essence of the trick.

Let $\widetilde{M}$ be the infinite strip $\mathbb{R} \times (-1, 1)$ and $\tau: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ the map defined by $\tau(x, y) = (x + 1, -y)$. Let M be the quotient $M = \widetilde{M}/\langle \tau \rangle$ and $p: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ the projection. We set $\epsilon = 1/100$, $U = p((-1/2 + \epsilon, 1/2 - \epsilon) \times (-1, 1))$ and $V = p((\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon) \times (-1, 1))$. Obviously $\{U, V\}$ is an open cover of M by open disks. Then $W = U \cap V$ has two connected components, say $W_1 = p((-1/2 + \epsilon, -\epsilon) \times (-1, 1))$ and $W_2 = p((\epsilon, 1/2 - \epsilon) \times (-1, 1))$.

Assume that we have already proved the fragmentation property for the open cover $\{U, V\}$. Then, for a given $g \in \ker \text{Flux}_M$, we have the decomposition

$$g = g_1 \cdots g_n$$

such that the support of each g_i is contained in U or V .

Assume that the support of g_i is contained in U , that is, $g_i \in (\ker \text{Flux}_M)_U$. Since $(\ker \text{Flux}_M)_U$ is isomorphic to $\text{Diff}_c(U; \omega)_0$, we can consider the value of g_i under the Calabi homomorphism Cal_U on $\text{Diff}_c(U; \omega)_0 \cong (\ker \text{Flux}_M)_U$. By the theorem of Banyaga, the kernel of the Calabi homomorphism is simple, and hence if $\text{Cal}_U(g_i) = 0$, then g_i is contained in $[G_U, G_U]$.

Even if $\text{Cal}_U(g_i) \neq 0$, we are still able to decompose g_i into commutators as follows.

Proposition 4.1. For an element g of G_U , there exist $g_1 \in (\ker \text{Flux}_M)_U$ and $g_2 \in (\ker \text{Flux}_M)_V$ such that $g = g_1 g_2$ and $\text{Cal}_U(g_1) = \text{Cal}_V(g_2) = 0$.

Proof. Set $a = \text{Cal}_U(g)$. Take elements $h_1 \in (\ker \text{Flux}_M)_{W_1}$ and $h_2 \in (\ker \text{Flux}_M)_{W_2}$ such that $\text{Cal}_U(h_1) = \text{Cal}_U(h_2) = \frac{a}{2}$. Such h_i 's exist since Cal_{W_i} 's are surjective. Then, by taking a natural coordinate system for V , we can see that $\text{Cal}_V(h_1) = -\frac{a}{2}$. Then $g_1 = g h_2^{-1} h_1^{-1}$ and $g_2 = h_1 h_2$ are the desired elements. $\square$

By applying Proposition 4.1 to each g_i , we can improve the fragmentation property for $\{U, V\}$ to the strong fragmentation property. A similar argument works for an arbitrary open cover by open disks of an arbitrary non-orientable surface, and hence we obtain the strong fragmentation property from the fragmentation property. See [KM25] for details.

References

- [Ban78] Augustin Banyaga, *Sur la structure du groupe des difféomorphismes qui préservent une forme symplectique*, Comment. Math. Helv. **53** (1978), no. 2, 174–227.
- [Ban97] ———, *The structure of classical diffeomorphism groups*, Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 400, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [BT82] Raoul Bott and Loring W. Tu, *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 82, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [dR84] Georges de Rham, *Differentiable manifolds*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 266, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, Forms, currents, harmonic forms, Translated from the French by F. R. Smith, With an introduction by S. S. Chern.
- [KM25] KyeongRo Kim and Shuhei Maruyama, *The Euler class and flux homomorphisms under non-orientability*, arXiv:2508.12874 (2025).
- [Mat74] John N. Mather, *Commutators of diffeomorphisms*, Comment. Math. Helv. **49** (1974), 512–528.
- [Mat75] ———, *Commutators of diffeomorphisms. II*, Comment. Math. Helv. **50** (1975), 33–40.
- [MS98] Dusa McDuff and Dietmar Salamon, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, second ed., Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
- [Thu74] William P. Thurston, *Foliations and groups of diffeomorphisms*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1974), 304–307.

School of Mathematics and Physics, College of Science and Engineering
 Kanazawa University
 Kakuma-machi, Kanazawa, Ishikawa, 920-1192
 JAPAN
 E-mail address: smaruyama@se.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

金沢大学理工研究域 丸山 修平