

The Grothendieck-Teichmüller group as an open subgroup of the outer automorphism group of the étale fundamental group of a configuration space (joint work with Yuichiro Hoshi and Shinichi Mochizuki)

Arata Minamide

RIMS, Kyoto University

July 7, 2021

§1 Introduction

k : an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero

$$X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$$

$$X_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n \mid x_i \neq x_j \ (i \neq j)\} \quad (\text{the } n\text{-th conf. sp})$$

§1 Introduction

k : an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero

$$X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$$

$$X_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n \mid x_i \neq x_j \ (i \neq j)\} \quad (\text{the } n\text{-th conf. sp})$$

$\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$: the moduli space _{k} of smooth proper curves of genus zero with $n + 3$ ordered marked points

§1 Introduction

k : an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero

$$X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$$

$$X_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n \mid x_i \neq x_j \ (i \neq j)\} \quad (\text{the } n\text{-th conf. sp})$$

$\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$: the moduli space_{/ k} of smooth proper curves of genus zero with $n + 3$ ordered marked points

\implies we have a natural action of the **symm. gp** \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} on X_n .

§1 Introduction

k : an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero

$$X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$$

$$X_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n \mid x_i \neq x_j \ (i \neq j)\} \quad (\text{the } n\text{-th conf. sp})$$

$\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$: the moduli space_{/ k} of smooth proper curves of genus zero with $n + 3$ ordered marked points

\implies we have a natural action of the **symm. gp** \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} on X_n .

Π_n : the étale fundamental group of X_n

§1 Introduction

k : an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero

$$X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$$

$$X_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n \mid x_i \neq x_j \ (i \neq j)\} \quad (\text{the } n\text{-th conf. sp})$$

$\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$: the moduli space_{/ k} of smooth proper curves of genus zero with $n+3$ ordered marked points

\implies we have a natural action of the **symm. gp** \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} on X_n .

Π_n : the étale fundamental group of X_n

Note: Since X_n is defined over \mathbb{Q} , we have

$$G_{\mathbb{Q}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow \text{Out}(\Pi_n).$$

Drinfeld and Ihara defined a certain **explicit** subgroup

$$\widehat{GT} \subseteq \text{Aut}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} * \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}),$$

called the (profinite) **Grothendieck-Teichmüller group**, such that

Drinfeld and Ihara defined a certain **explicit** subgroup

$$\widehat{GT} \subseteq \text{Aut}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} * \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}),$$

called the (profinite) **Grothendieck-Teichmüller group**, such that there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \text{Out}(\Pi_n) \\ & \searrow \exists & \nearrow \exists \\ & \widehat{GT} & \end{array}$$

Drinfeld and Ihara defined a certain **explicit** subgroup

$$\widehat{GT} \subseteq \text{Aut}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} * \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}),$$

called the (profinite) **Grothendieck-Teichmüller group**, such that there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xleftrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Out}(\Pi_n) \\ & \searrow \exists & \nearrow \exists \\ & \widehat{GT} & \end{array}$$

Open problem: Is $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \widehat{GT}$ an **isomorphism**?

Main Theorem (Hoshi-M.-Mochizuki)

Suppose that $n \geq 2$.

Then the natural outer actions of $\widehat{\text{GT}}$ and \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} on Π_n induce

$$\widehat{\text{GT}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Out}(\Pi_n).$$

Main Theorem (Hoshi-M.-Mochizuki)

Suppose that $n \geq 2$.

Then the natural outer actions of \widehat{GT} and \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} on Π_n induce

$$\widehat{GT} \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Out}(\Pi_n).$$

Note: If $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{GT}$, then we have $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Out}(\Pi_n)$.

Main Theorem (Hoshi-M.-Mochizuki)

Suppose that $n \geq 2$.

Then the natural outer actions of \widehat{GT} and \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} on Π_n induce

$$\widehat{GT} \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Out}(\Pi_n).$$

Note: If $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{GT}$, then we have $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Out}(\Pi_n)$.

Corollary (Hoshi-M.-Mochizuki)

Suppose that $n \geq 2$.

- (i) $\mathfrak{S}_{n+3} = Z^{\text{loc}}(\text{Out}(\Pi_n)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{\substack{\text{op} \\ \rightarrow H \subseteq \text{Out}(\Pi_n)}} Z_{\text{Out}(\Pi_n)}(H)$.
- (ii) $\widehat{GT} = Z_{\text{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\mathfrak{S}_{n+3}) = Z_{\text{Out}(\Pi_n)}(Z^{\text{loc}}(\text{Out}(\Pi_n)))$.

Note: Thus, we obtain a purely gp-theoretic algorithm $\Pi_n \rightsquigarrow \widehat{GT}$.

Note: Thus, we obtain a purely gp-theoretic algorithm $\Pi_n \rightsquigarrow \widehat{GT}$.

Main Theorem \implies Corollary

Note: Thus, we obtain a **purely gp-theoretic algorithm** $\Pi_n \rightsquigarrow \widehat{\text{GT}}$.

Main Theorem \implies Corollary

(i) Since $\widehat{\text{GT}} \subseteq \text{Out}(\Pi_n)$ is open, $\mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \subseteq Z^{\text{loc}}(\text{Out}(\Pi_n))$ is clear.

Note: Thus, we obtain a **purely gp-theoretic algorithm** $\Pi_n \rightsquigarrow \widehat{\text{GT}}$.

Main Theorem \implies Corollary

(i) Since $\widehat{\text{GT}} \subseteq \text{Out}(\Pi_n)$ is open, $\mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \subseteq Z^{\text{loc}}(\text{Out}(\Pi_n))$ is clear. Let $\sigma \in Z^{\text{loc}}(\text{Out}(\Pi_n))$.

Note: Thus, we obtain a **purely gp-theoretic algorithm** $\Pi_n \rightsquigarrow \widehat{\text{GT}}$.

Main Theorem \implies Corollary

(i) Since $\widehat{\text{GT}} \subseteq \text{Out}(\Pi_n)$ is open, $\mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \subseteq Z^{\text{loc}}(\text{Out}(\Pi_n))$ is clear. Let $\sigma \in Z^{\text{loc}}(\text{Out}(\Pi_n))$. We may assume WLOG that

$$\sigma = a \cdot b \quad (a \in \widehat{\text{GT}}, b \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+3})$$

commutes with an open subgp $H \subseteq \widehat{\text{GT}}$.

Note: Thus, we obtain a **purely gp-theoretic algorithm** $\Pi_n \rightsquigarrow \widehat{\text{GT}}$.

Main Theorem \implies Corollary

(i) Since $\widehat{\text{GT}} \subseteq \text{Out}(\Pi_n)$ is open, $\mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \subseteq Z^{\text{loc}}(\text{Out}(\Pi_n))$ is clear. Let $\sigma \in Z^{\text{loc}}(\text{Out}(\Pi_n))$. We may assume WLOG that

$$\sigma = a \cdot b \quad (a \in \widehat{\text{GT}}, b \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+3})$$

commutes with an open subgrp $H \subseteq \widehat{\text{GT}}$. Thus, we have

$$a = \sigma \cdot b^{-1} \in Z_{\widehat{\text{GT}}}(H) \stackrel{\text{GC/NF}}{=} \{1\}.$$

Note: Thus, we obtain a **purely gp-theoretic algorithm** $\Pi_n \rightsquigarrow \widehat{\text{GT}}$.

Main Theorem \implies Corollary

(i) Since $\widehat{\text{GT}} \subseteq \text{Out}(\Pi_n)$ is open, $\mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \subseteq Z^{\text{loc}}(\text{Out}(\Pi_n))$ is clear. Let $\sigma \in Z^{\text{loc}}(\text{Out}(\Pi_n))$. We may assume WLOG that

$$\sigma = a \cdot b \quad (a \in \widehat{\text{GT}}, b \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+3})$$

commutes with an open subgp $H \subseteq \widehat{\text{GT}}$. Thus, we have

$$a = \sigma \cdot b^{-1} \in Z_{\widehat{\text{GT}}}(H) \stackrel{\text{GC/NF}}{=} \{1\}.$$

(ii) This follows immediately from the center-freeness of \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} .

§2 Related works

$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+3}$: the Deligne-Mumford compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$

\implies Each irreducible component δ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+3} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$ determines the inertia subgroup $\mathbb{I}_\delta \subseteq \Pi_n$ (up to conj.)

§2 Related works

$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+3}$: the Deligne-Mumford compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$

\implies Each irreducible component δ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+3} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$ determines the inertia subgroup $\mathbb{I}_\delta \subseteq \Pi_n$ (up to conj.)

$\text{Out}^b(\Pi_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\sigma \in \text{Out}(\Pi_n) \mid \sigma(\mathbb{I}_\delta) \sim \mathbb{I}_\delta \ (\forall \delta)\}$

(“quasi-special” outer aut. — cf. works of Ihara and Nakamura)

§2 Related works

$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+3}$: the Deligne-Mumford compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$

\implies Each irreducible component δ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+3} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$ determines the inertia subgroup $\mathbb{I}_\delta \subseteq \Pi_n$ (up to conj.)

$\text{Out}^b(\Pi_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\sigma \in \text{Out}(\Pi_n) \mid \sigma(\mathbb{I}_\delta) \sim \mathbb{I}_\delta \ (\forall \delta)\}$

(“quasi-special” outer aut. — cf. works of Ihara and Nakamura)

Theorem (Harbater-Schneps)

We have

$$\widehat{\text{GT}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Out}^b(\Pi_n) \cap Z_{\text{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\mathfrak{S}_{n+3}) \ (\subseteq \text{Out}(\Pi_n)).$$

§2 Related works

$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+3}$: the Deligne-Mumford compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$

\implies Each irreducible component δ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+3} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$ determines the inertia subgroup $\mathbb{I}_\delta \subseteq \Pi_n$ (up to conj.)

$\text{Out}^b(\Pi_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\sigma \in \text{Out}(\Pi_n) \mid \sigma(\mathbb{I}_\delta) \sim \mathbb{I}_\delta \ (\forall \delta)\}$

(“quasi-special” outer aut. — cf. works of Ihara and Nakamura)

Theorem (Hoshi-M.-Mochizuki)

We have

$$\widehat{\text{GT}} \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_{\text{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\mathfrak{S}_{n+3}) \ (\subseteq \text{Out}(\Pi_n)).$$

§3 Results from combinatorial anabelian geometry

Definition

Suppose that $n \geq 2$. Note that for any $1 \leq m < n$, the projection morphism $X_n \rightarrow X_m$ obtained by “forgetting $n - m$ factors” induces a surjection $\Pi_n \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_m$. We shall refer to

$$\text{Ker}(\Pi_n \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_m)$$

as a **fiber subgroup** of Π_n of length $n - m$.

§3 Results from combinatorial anabelian geometry

Definition

Suppose that $n \geq 2$. Note that for any $1 \leq m < n$, the projection morphism $X_n \rightarrow X_m$ obtained by “forgetting $n - m$ factors” induces a surjection $\Pi_n \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_m$. We shall refer to

$$\text{Ker}(\Pi_n \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_m)$$

as a **fiber subgroup** of Π_n of length $n - m$.

Next, observe that the projections obt'd by “forgetting the last factors” induce a sequence of surjections

$$\Pi_n \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_{n-1} \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_2 \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_1.$$

Write $K_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Ker}(\Pi_n \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_m)$, $\Pi_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{1\}$. Then we have

$$\{1\} = K_n \subseteq K_{n-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq K_1 \subseteq K_0 = \Pi_n.$$

Write $K_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Ker}(\Pi_n \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_m)$, $\Pi_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{1\}$. Then we have

$$\{1\} = K_n \subseteq K_{n-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq K_1 \subseteq K_0 = \Pi_n.$$

Definition

$\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Pi_n)$ is **F-admissible** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \alpha(F) = F$ for \forall fiber subgp $F \subseteq \Pi_n$.

$\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Pi_n)$ is **C-admissible** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow}$

- (i) $\alpha(K_m) = K_m$ ($0 \leq m \leq n$);
- (ii) $\alpha : K_m/K_{m+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_m/K_{m+1}$ induces a **bijection** between the set of **cuspidal inertia subgps** $\subseteq K_m/K_{m+1}$.

$\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Pi_n)$ is **FC-admissible** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \alpha$ is F-admissible and C-admissible.

$\text{Out}^{\text{F}}(\Pi_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \text{F-admissible automorphisms of } \Pi_n \} / \text{Inn}(\Pi_n)$

$\text{Out}^{\text{FC}}(\Pi_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \text{FC-admissible automorphisms of } \Pi_n \} / \text{Inn}(\Pi_n)$

$\text{Out}^F(\Pi_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \text{F-admissible automorphisms of } \Pi_n \} / \text{Inn}(\Pi_n)$

$\text{Out}^{\text{FC}}(\Pi_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \text{FC-admissible automorphisms of } \Pi_n \} / \text{Inn}(\Pi_n)$

Observe: $X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$ obt'd by “forgetting a factor” induces a hom

$$\text{Out}^{\text{FC}}(\Pi_{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Out}^{\text{FC}}(\Pi_n).$$

$\text{Out}^F(\Pi_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \text{F-admissible automorphisms of } \Pi_n \} / \text{Inn}(\Pi_n)$

$\text{Out}^{\text{FC}}(\Pi_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \text{FC-admissible automorphisms of } \Pi_n \} / \text{Inn}(\Pi_n)$

Observe: $X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$ obt'd by “forgetting a factor” induces a hom

$$\text{Out}^{\text{FC}}(\Pi_{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Out}^{\text{FC}}(\Pi_n).$$

Fact

- (i) The above hom “ \rightarrow ” does *not depend* on the choice of a factor which we forget (cf. [CmbCsp]).
- (ii) The above hom “ \rightarrow ” is *injective* (cf. [CmbCsp]).
- (iii) Suppose that $n \geq 2$. Then we have $\text{Out}^{\text{FC}}(\Pi_n) = \text{Out}^F(\Pi_n)$ (cf. [CbTplI]).

§4 Outline of the proof of Main Theorem

In the present §, we suppose that $n \geq 2$.

Definition

Note that for any $1 \leq m < n$, the projection morphism $X_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{0,n+3} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{0,m+3} \xrightarrow{\sim} X_m$ obtained by “forgetting $n - m$ marked pts” induces a surjection $\Pi_n \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_m$. We shall refer to

$$\text{Ker}(\Pi_n \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_m)$$

as a **generalized fiber subgroup** of Π_n of length $n - m$.

§4 Outline of the proof of Main Theorem

In the present §, we suppose that $n \geq 2$.

Definition

Note that for any $1 \leq m < n$, the projection morphism $X_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{0,n+3} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{0,m+3} \xrightarrow{\sim} X_m$ obtained by “forgetting $n - m$ marked pts” induces a surjection $\Pi_n \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_m$. We shall refer to

$$\text{Ker}(\Pi_n \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_m)$$

as a **generalized fiber subgroup** of Π_n of length $n - m$.

Definition

$\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Pi_n)$ is **gF-admissible** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \alpha(F) = F$ for \forall **generalized fiber subgp** $F \subseteq \Pi_n$.

$$\text{Out}^{\text{gF}}(\Pi_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \text{gF-admissible automorphisms of } \Pi_n \} / \text{Inn}(\Pi_n)$$

Note: Since every fiber subgp is a **generalized** fiber subgp, we have

$$\text{Out}^{\text{gF}}(\Pi_n) \subseteq \text{Out}^{\text{F}}(\Pi_n) \subseteq \text{Out}(\Pi_n).$$

$$\text{Out}^{\text{gF}}(\Pi_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \text{gF-admissible automorphisms of } \Pi_n \} / \text{Inn}(\Pi_n)$$

Note: Since every fiber subgp is a **generalized** fiber subgp, we have

$$\text{Out}^{\text{gF}}(\Pi_n) \subseteq \text{Out}^{\text{F}}(\Pi_n) \subseteq \text{Out}(\Pi_n).$$

Proposition 1 (Hoshi-M.-Mochizuki)

Let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Pi_n)$; $F \subseteq \Pi_n$ a generalized fiber subgp of length $n - m$.
 The $\alpha(F)$ is a generalized fiber subgp of length $n - m$. In particular,
 α induces a **permutation** on the set

$$\{ \text{Ker}(\Pi_n \xrightarrow{pr_i} \Pi_{n-1}) \}_{i=1,2,\dots,n+3}.$$

— whose cardinality is $n + 3$ — of generalized fiber subgps of length 1.

Then we have

$$\mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n) \xrightarrow{\text{Prop 1}} \mathfrak{S}_{n+3}$$

Then we have

$$\text{Out}(\Pi_n) \xrightarrow[\text{Prop1}]{} \mathfrak{S}_{n+3}$$

splitting (cf. $\mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$)

Then we have

$$\text{Out}(\Pi_n) \xrightarrow[\text{Prop1}]{} \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \longrightarrow 1.$$

splitting (cf. $\mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$)

Then we have

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Out}^{\text{gF}}(\Pi_n) \longrightarrow \text{Out}(\Pi_n) \xrightarrow[\text{Prop 1}]{} \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \longrightarrow 1.$$

splitting (cf. $\mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$)

Then we have

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Out}^{\text{gF}}(\Pi_n) \longrightarrow \text{Out}(\Pi_n) \xrightarrow[\text{Prop 1}]{} \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \longrightarrow 1.$$

splitting (cf. $\mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$)

Proposition 2

It holds that

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \subseteq Z_{\text{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\text{Out}^{\text{gF}}(\Pi_n)).$$

Then we have

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Out}^{\text{gF}}(\Pi_n) \longrightarrow \text{Out}(\Pi_n) \xrightarrow[\text{Prop 1}]{} \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \longrightarrow 1.$$

splitting (cf. $\mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$)

Proposition 2

It holds that

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \subseteq Z_{\text{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\text{Out}^{\text{gF}}(\Pi_n)).$$

Proof of Proposition 2

Then we have

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Out}^{\text{gF}}(\Pi_n) \longrightarrow \text{Out}(\Pi_n) \xrightarrow[\text{Prop 1}]{} \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \longrightarrow 1.$$

splitting (cf. $\mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$)

Proposition 2

It holds that

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \subseteq Z_{\text{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\text{Out}^{\text{gF}}(\Pi_n)).$$

Proof of Proposition 2

Let $\sigma \in \text{Out}^{\text{gF}}(\Pi_n)$. It suff. to show σ comm. w/ $\tau = (1, 2) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+3}$.

Then we have

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Out}^{\text{gF}}(\Pi_n) \longrightarrow \text{Out}(\Pi_n) \xrightarrow[\text{Prop1}]{\text{splitting (cf. } \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{0,n+3})} \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \longrightarrow 1.$$

Proposition 2

It holds that

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \subseteq Z_{\text{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\text{Out}^{\text{gF}}(\Pi_n)).$$

Proof of Proposition 2

Let $\sigma \in \text{Out}^{\text{gF}}(\Pi_n)$. It suff. to show σ comm. w/ $\tau = (1, 2) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+3}$.
 \implies The images of σ and $\tau \cdot \sigma \cdot \tau^{-1}$ via $\text{Out}^{\text{F}}(\Pi_n) \rightarrow \text{Out}^{\text{F}}(\Pi_{n-1})$ coincide (cf. Fact, (i), (iii)).

Then we have

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Out}^{\text{gF}}(\Pi_n) \longrightarrow \text{Out}(\Pi_n) \xrightarrow[\text{Prop1}]{} \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \longrightarrow 1.$$

splitting (cf. $\mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$)

Proposition 2

It holds that

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \subseteq Z_{\text{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\text{Out}^{\text{gF}}(\Pi_n)).$$

Proof of Proposition 2

Let $\sigma \in \text{Out}^{\text{gF}}(\Pi_n)$. It suff. to show σ comm. w/ $\tau = (1, 2) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+3}$.

\implies The images of σ and $\tau \cdot \sigma \cdot \tau^{-1}$ via $\text{Out}^{\text{F}}(\Pi_n) \rightarrow \text{Out}^{\text{F}}(\Pi_{n-1})$ coincide (cf. Fact, (i), (iii)).

$\implies \sigma = \tau \cdot \sigma \cdot \tau^{-1}$ (cf. Fact, (ii), (iii))

In light of Proposition 2, we have

$$\mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{gF}}(\Pi_n) \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n).$$

In light of Proposition 2, we have

$$\mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{gF}}(\Pi_n) \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n).$$

Observe: Since \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} is center-free, it holds that

$$\mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{gF}}(\Pi_n) = Z_{\mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\mathfrak{S}_{n+3}).$$

In light of Proposition 2, we have

$$\mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{gF}}(\Pi_n) \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n).$$

Observe: Since \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} is center-free, it holds that

$$\mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{gF}}(\Pi_n) = Z_{\mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\mathfrak{S}_{n+3}).$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\widehat{\mathrm{GT}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{FC}}(\Pi_n) \cap Z_{\mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\mathfrak{S}_{n+3}) \quad (\text{cf. [HS]; [CmbCsp]})$$

In light of Proposition 2, we have

$$\mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{gF}}(\Pi_n) \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n).$$

Observe: Since \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} is center-free, it holds that

$$\mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{gF}}(\Pi_n) = Z_{\mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\mathfrak{S}_{n+3}).$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathrm{GT}} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{FC}}(\Pi_n) \cap Z_{\mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\mathfrak{S}_{n+3}) \quad (\text{cf. [HS]; [CmbCsp]}) \\ &= \mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{F}}(\Pi_n) \cap Z_{\mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\mathfrak{S}_{n+3}) \quad (\text{cf. Fact, (iii)}) \end{aligned}$$

In light of Proposition 2, we have

$$\mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{gF}}(\Pi_n) \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n).$$

Observe: Since \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} is center-free, it holds that

$$\mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{gF}}(\Pi_n) = Z_{\mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\mathfrak{S}_{n+3}).$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathrm{GT}} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{FC}}(\Pi_n) \cap Z_{\mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\mathfrak{S}_{n+3}) \quad (\text{cf. [HS]; [CmbCsp]}) \\ &= \mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{F}}(\Pi_n) \cap Z_{\mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\mathfrak{S}_{n+3}) \quad (\text{cf. Fact, (iii)}) \\ &= \mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{F}}(\Pi_n) \cap \mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{gF}}(\Pi_n) \end{aligned}$$

In light of Proposition 2, we have

$$\mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{gF}}(\Pi_n) \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n).$$

Observe: Since \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} is center-free, it holds that

$$\mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{gF}}(\Pi_n) = Z_{\mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\mathfrak{S}_{n+3}).$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathrm{GT}} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{FC}}(\Pi_n) \cap Z_{\mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\mathfrak{S}_{n+3}) \quad (\text{cf. [HS]; [CmbCsp]}) \\ &= \mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{F}}(\Pi_n) \cap Z_{\mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\mathfrak{S}_{n+3}) \quad (\text{cf. Fact, (iii)}) \\ &= \mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{F}}(\Pi_n) \cap \mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{gF}}(\Pi_n) \\ &= \mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{gF}}(\Pi_n), \end{aligned}$$

In light of Proposition 2, we have

$$\mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{gF}}(\Pi_n) \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n).$$

Observe: Since \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} is center-free, it holds that

$$\mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{gF}}(\Pi_n) = Z_{\mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\mathfrak{S}_{n+3}).$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathrm{GT}} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{FC}}(\Pi_n) \cap Z_{\mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\mathfrak{S}_{n+3}) \quad (\text{cf. [HS]; [CmbCsp]}) \\ &= \mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{F}}(\Pi_n) \cap Z_{\mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n)}(\mathfrak{S}_{n+3}) \quad (\text{cf. Fact, (iii)}) \\ &= \mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{F}}(\Pi_n) \cap \mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{gF}}(\Pi_n) \\ &= \mathrm{Out}^{\mathrm{gF}}(\Pi_n), \end{aligned}$$

hence that $\widehat{\mathrm{GT}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+3} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Out}(\Pi_n)$.

Proof of Proposition 1

In the following, for simplicity, we assume that $n = 2$.

For any profinite group Γ , write $\Gamma^{(l)}$ (resp. Γ^{ab}) for the maximal pro- l quotient (resp. abelianization) of Γ .

Proof of Proposition 1

In the following, for simplicity, we assume that $n = 2$.

For any profinite group \square , write $\square^{(l)}$ (resp. \square^{ab}) for the maximal pro- l quotient (resp. abelianization) of \square .

Theorem (Nakamura)

Write $\text{Gr}(\Pi_2^{(l)}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$ for the graded Lie algebra over \mathbb{Q}_l assoc. to the lower central series of $\Pi_2^{(l)}$. Then we have

$$\text{Aut}(\text{Gr}(\Pi_2^{(l)}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l) \cong \mathfrak{S}_5 \times \mathbb{Q}_l^\times.$$

Proof of Proposition 1

In the following, for simplicity, we assume that $n = 2$.

For any profinite group \square , write $\square^{(l)}$ (resp. \square^{ab}) for the maximal pro- l quotient (resp. abelianization) of \square .

Theorem (Nakamura)

Write $\text{Gr}(\Pi_2^{(l)}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$ for the graded Lie algebra over \mathbb{Q}_l assoc. to the lower central series of $\Pi_2^{(l)}$. Then we have

$$\text{Aut}(\text{Gr}(\Pi_2^{(l)}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l) \cong \mathfrak{S}_5 \times \mathbb{Q}_l^\times.$$

Idea: Using a special property of **free prof. gps**, reduce to this Thm.

Lemma (Lubotzky-van den Dries)

*Let G be a fin. gen. free profinite group of $rk > 1$. Then every nontrivial fin. gen. closed normal subgroup of G is **open** in G .*

Lemma (Lubotzky-van den Dries)

*Let G be a fin. gen. free profinite group of $rk > 1$. Then every nontrivial fin. gen. closed normal subgroup of G is **open** in G .*

Let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Pi_2)$.

Lemma (Lubotzky-van den Dries)

Let G be a fin. gen. free profinite group of $rk > 1$. Then every nontrivial fin. gen. closed normal subgroup of G is *open* in G .

$$\text{Let } \alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Pi_2). \implies \tilde{\alpha} \in \text{Aut}(\text{Gr}(\Pi_2^{(l)})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \cong \mathfrak{S}_5 \times \mathbb{Q}_l^\times$$

Lemma (Lubotzky-van den Dries)

Let G be a fin. gen. free profinite group of $\text{rk} > 1$. Then every nontrivial fin. gen. closed normal subgroup of G is *open* in G .

Let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Pi_2)$. $\implies \tilde{\alpha} \in \text{Aut}(\text{Gr}(\Pi_2^{(l)})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \cong \mathfrak{S}_5 \times \mathbb{Q}_l^\times$

By replacing α by $\alpha \cdot \beta$ — where $\beta \in \text{Aut}(\Pi_2)$ is a suitable lift. of an $\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}_5 \subseteq \text{Out}(\Pi_2)$ — we may assume WLOG that $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Q}_l^\times$.

Lemma (Lubotzky-van den Dries)

Let G be a fin. gen. free profinite group of $\text{rk} > 1$. Then every nontrivial fin. gen. closed normal subgroup of G is *open* in G .

Let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Pi_2)$. $\implies \tilde{\alpha} \in \text{Aut}(\text{Gr}(\Pi_2^{(l)}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l) \cong \mathfrak{S}_5 \times \mathbb{Q}_l^\times$

By replacing α by $\alpha \cdot \beta$ — where $\beta \in \text{Aut}(\Pi_2)$ is a suitable lift. of an $\in \mathfrak{S}_5 \subseteq \text{Out}(\Pi_2)$ — we may assume WLOG that $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Q}_l^\times$.

Let $F = \text{Ker}(p)$ be a *generalized fiber subgp*, where $p : \Pi_2 \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_1$.

Lemma (Lubotzky-van den Dries)

Let G be a fin. gen. free profinite group of $rk > 1$. Then every nontrivial fin. gen. closed normal subgroup of G is **open** in G .

Let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Pi_2)$. $\implies \tilde{\alpha} \in \text{Aut}(\text{Gr}(\Pi_2^{(l)})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \cong \mathfrak{S}_5 \times \mathbb{Q}_l^\times$

By replacing α by $\alpha \cdot \beta$ — where $\beta \in \text{Aut}(\Pi_2)$ is a suitable lift. of an $\in \mathfrak{S}_5 \subseteq \text{Out}(\Pi_2)$ — we may assume WLOG that $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Q}_l^\times$.

Let $F = \text{Ker}(p)$ be a **generalized fiber subgp**, where $p : \Pi_2 \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_1$.

Note: $\Pi_1 \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} * \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$;

$$F \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty, \bullet\}) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} * \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} * \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Claim: $\alpha(F) = F$

Claim: $\alpha(F) = F$

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Pi_2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Pi_2 & \xrightarrow{p} & \Pi_1 \\ \downarrow \phi_2 & & \downarrow \phi_2 & & \downarrow \phi_1 \\ (\Pi_2^{(l)})^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & (\Pi_2^{(l)})^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & (\Pi_1^{(l)})^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l. \end{array}$$

Claim: $\alpha(F) = F$

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Pi_2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Pi_2 & \xrightarrow{p} & \Pi_1 \\ \downarrow \phi_2 & & \downarrow \phi_2 & & \downarrow \phi_1 \\ (\Pi_2^{(l)})^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & (\Pi_2^{(l)})^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & (\Pi_1^{(l)})^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l. \end{array}$$

Let us first verify that $\alpha(F) \subseteq F$.

Claim: $\alpha(F) = F$

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Pi_2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Pi_2 & \xrightarrow{p} & \Pi_1 \\ \downarrow \phi_2 & & \downarrow \phi_2 & & \downarrow \phi_1 \\ (\Pi_2^{(l)})^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & (\Pi_2^{(l)})^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & (\Pi_1^{(l)})^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l. \end{array}$$

Let us first verify that $\alpha(F) \subseteq F$.

Suppose that $p(\alpha(F)) \neq \{1\}$.

Claim: $\alpha(F) = F$

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Pi_2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Pi_2 & \xrightarrow{p} & \Pi_1 \\ \downarrow \phi_2 & & \downarrow \phi_2 & & \downarrow \phi_1 \\ (\Pi_2^{(l)})^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & (\Pi_2^{(l)})^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & (\Pi_1^{(l)})^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l. \end{array}$$

Let us first verify that $\alpha(F) \subseteq F$.

Suppose that $p(\alpha(F)) \neq \{1\}$. \implies **open** in Π_1 (cf. Lemma)

Claim: $\alpha(F) = F$

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Pi_2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Pi_2 & \xrightarrow{p} & \Pi_1 \\ \downarrow \phi_2 & & \downarrow \phi_2 & & \downarrow \phi_1 \\ (\Pi_2^{(l)})^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & (\Pi_2^{(l)})^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & (\Pi_1^{(l)})^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l. \end{array}$$

Let us first verify that $\alpha(F) \subseteq F$.

Suppose that $p(\alpha(F)) \neq \{1\}$. \implies **open** in Π_1 (cf. Lemma)

Since $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Q}_l^\times$, it holds that $\phi_1(p(\alpha(F))) = \{0\}$.

Claim: $\alpha(F) = F$

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Pi_2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Pi_2 & \xrightarrow{p} & \Pi_1 \\ \downarrow \phi_2 & & \downarrow \phi_2 & & \downarrow \phi_1 \\ (\Pi_2^{(l)})^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & (\Pi_2^{(l)})^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & (\Pi_1^{(l)})^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l. \end{array}$$

Let us first verify that $\alpha(F) \subseteq F$.

Suppose that $p(\alpha(F)) \neq \{1\}$. \implies **open** in Π_1 (cf. Lemma)

Since $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Q}_l^\times$, it holds that $\phi_1(p(\alpha(F))) = \{0\}$.

\implies $\text{Im}(\phi_1)$ ($\cong \mathbb{Z}_l \oplus \mathbb{Z}_l$) is **finite**, a contradiction!

Finally, we consider an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & F/\alpha(F) & \longrightarrow & \Pi_2/\alpha(F) & \longrightarrow & \Pi_2/F \longrightarrow 1. \\
 & & & & \alpha \uparrow \wr & & p \downarrow \wr \\
 & & & & \Pi_2/F & & \Pi_1 \\
 & & & & p \downarrow \wr & & \\
 & & & & \Pi_1 & &
 \end{array}$$

Finally, we consider an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & F/\alpha(F) & \longrightarrow & \Pi_2/\alpha(F) & \longrightarrow & \Pi_2/F \longrightarrow 1. \\
 & & & & \alpha \uparrow \wr & & p \downarrow \wr \\
 & & & & \Pi_2/F & & \Pi_1 \\
 & & & & p \downarrow \wr & & \\
 & & & & \Pi_1 & &
 \end{array}$$

Therefore, again by Lemma, we conclude that $F/\alpha(F) = \{1\}$.