

Hodge-Arakelov evaluation (IUT2)

Emmanuel Lepage

IMJ-PRG

IUT Summit, Kyoto, july 2016

- At bad places \underline{v} , evaluate Θ -monoids $\theta \subset_{\infty} \theta_{\text{env}}$ at the evaluation points $g(\mu_{-}) \in \underline{X}_{\underline{v}}(K_{\underline{v}})$, $g \in \text{Gal}(\underline{X}_{\underline{v}}/\underline{C}_{\underline{v}})$.
- Synchronization of the conjugacy indeterminacies on the decomposition groups of the different evaluation points.
- Global compatibility of synchronization:
Need to study profinite conjugates of tempered cuspidal inertia groups.

Conjugacy indeterminacy

- We want to pullback $\theta_{\text{env}} \subset \infty\theta_{\text{env}} \subset \lim_J H^1(\Pi_{\underline{Y}}|_J, I\Delta_\Theta)$ along the inclusion $G_{\underline{V}} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\mu-,t} \hookrightarrow \Pi_{\underline{Y}} \subset \Pi_{X_{\underline{V}}}$, where $D_{\mu-,t}$ is a decomposition group of an evaluation point in $X_{\underline{V}}$
- A priori $\Pi_{X_{\underline{V}}}$ -indeterminacy splits in two:
Outer $\Pi_{X_{\underline{V}}}/\Pi_{\underline{Y}} = I\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_{2I}$ -indeterminacy: get partially rid by choosing specific preimages of the cusps in $Y_{\underline{V}}$.
Inner indeterminacy.
- Assume we chose a specific $D_{\mu-,t}$:
We get submonoids of $\lim_{J \subset G_{\underline{V}}} H^1(J, I\Delta_\Theta)$ up to $G_{\underline{V}}$ -conjugacy.
- When doing this for multiple t 's, we get a $\prod_t (G_{\underline{V}})_t$ conjugacy indeterminacy.
- We need to reduce the $\prod_t (G_{\underline{V}})_t$ conjugacy indeterminacy to a diagonal conjugacy indeterminacy of $G_{\underline{V}}$.
- $\rightsquigarrow \mathbb{F}_l^{\times \pm} \simeq \text{Gal}(X_{\underline{V}}/C_{\underline{V}})$ -symmetries.

- Global synchronization of the cusps via the \mathcal{D} - $\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}$ -bridge:

$$\mathcal{B}^{\text{temp}}(\underline{X}_{\underline{v}}) \simeq \mathcal{D}_{>,\underline{v}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\odot\pm} \simeq \mathcal{B}(\underline{X}_K).$$

We want our evaluation decomposition groups and evaluation maps to be parametrized by

$$\text{LabCusp}(\mathfrak{D}^{\odot}) \simeq \{\text{cusp. inertia subgps of } \Pi_{\underline{X}_K}\} / \Pi_{\underline{X}}.$$

- Need tempered evaluation for profinite conjugacy classes of cuspidal groups: tempered-profinite conjugacy compatibility issue.
- Global $\mathbb{F}_l^{\times\pm}$ -symmetries on $\text{LabCusp}^{\pm}(\mathfrak{D}^{\odot\pm})$ arise from profinite conjugacies in Π_C .

$\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}_{\text{bad}}$.

- Let $\Gamma_{\underline{X}_{\underline{v}}}^{\blacktriangleright}$ be the subgraph of $\Gamma_{\underline{X}_{\underline{v}}}$ obtained by removing the only edge of $\Gamma_{\underline{X}_{\underline{v}}}$ by the unique involution $\iota_{\underline{X}}$ of $\underline{X}_{\underline{v}}$ extending to $\underline{X}_{\underline{v}}$ (can be recovered group-theoretically from $\Pi_{\underline{v}}$).
- If one chooses an involution ι on $Y_{\underline{v}}$, one gets a unique lifting $\Gamma_{\blacktriangleright} \rightarrow \Gamma_{Y_{\underline{v}}}$ whose image is invariant by ι .
- Let $t \in \text{LabCusp}^{\pm}(\Pi_{\underline{v}})$: t determines a vertex of $\Gamma_{\underline{X}_{\underline{v}}}^{\blacktriangleright}$. Let $\Gamma^{\bullet t}$ be the subgraph of $\Gamma_{\underline{X}}$ consisting of only this vertex.

Decomposition group of subgraph (pointed version)

- Let $\tilde{X}_{\underline{v}} := \varprojlim X_{\underline{v},i}^{\infty}$ a pro-universal I -tempered cover of $X_{\underline{v}}$.
- Let $\tilde{\Gamma} := \varprojlim \Gamma_{X_{\underline{v},i}^{\infty}}$, $\text{Aut}(\Pi_{X_{\underline{v}}}) \curvearrowright \tilde{\Gamma}$.
- Let $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\blacktriangleright}$ be a closed connected component of the preimage of $\Gamma_{\blacktriangleright}$ (unique up to $\Pi_{X_{\underline{v}}}$ -action).
- Let $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\bullet t}$ be a closed connected component of the preimage of $\Gamma_{\bullet t}$ (unique up to $\Pi_{X_{\underline{v}}}$ -action).
- $\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright} := \text{Stab}_{\Pi_{X_{\underline{v}}}}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\blacktriangleright})$ (defined up to $\Pi_{X_{\underline{v}}}$ -conjugacy).
- $\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright\ddot{\blacktriangleright}} := \text{Stab}_{\Pi_{\tilde{X}_{\underline{v}}}}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\blacktriangleright}) = \Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright} \cap \Pi_{\tilde{X}_{\underline{v}}}$.
- $\Pi_{\underline{v}\bullet t} := \text{Stab}_{\Pi_{X_{\underline{v}}}}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\bullet t}) \dots$

Profinite-tempered conjugacy compatibility [cor. 2.4]

Notations: $\Pi_{\underline{v}} (\simeq \Pi_{\underline{X}_{\underline{v}}}) \subset \Pi_{\underline{v}}^{\pm} (\simeq \Pi_{\underline{X}_{\underline{v}}}^{\pm}) \subset \Pi_{\underline{v}}^{\text{cor}} := \Pi_{C_{\underline{v}}}$.

$\text{LabCusp}^{\pm}(\widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}})^{\sim} = \{\text{cusp inert. sbgp of } \widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{X}_{\underline{v}}}\}$

$\text{LabCusp}^{\pm}(\widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}}) = \text{LabCusp}^{\pm}(\widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}})/\widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}}^{\pm} = \text{LabCusp}^{\pm}(\widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}})/\widehat{\Delta}_{\underline{v}}^{\pm}$

Proposition

- Let $\gamma, \delta \in \widehat{\Delta}_{\underline{v}}^{\pm}$. Let $I \subset \Pi_{\underline{v}}$ be a cusp. inert. gp s.t. $I \subset \Delta_{\underline{v}, \blacktriangleright}$. TFAE:

$$a) \gamma^{-1} \delta \in \Delta_{\underline{v}, \blacktriangleright}^{\pm} \quad b) I^{\delta} \subset \Pi_{\underline{v}, \blacktriangleright}^{\gamma} \quad c) I^{\delta} \subset (\Pi_{\underline{v}, \blacktriangleright}^{\pm})^{\gamma}.$$

- $I \in \text{LabCusp}^{\pm}(\widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}})^{\sim} \mapsto \Pi_{\underline{v}, \blacktriangleright}(I) \in \{\underline{v}, \blacktriangleright\text{-temp. decomp. sbgp} \subset \widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}}\}$
- $N_{\widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}}^{\pm}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}, \blacktriangleright}) = \Pi_{\underline{v}, \blacktriangleright}^{\pm} \rightsquigarrow$
 $\exists! \Pi_{\underline{v}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}, \blacktriangleright})$ conj of $\Pi_{\underline{v}}$ st. $\Pi_{\underline{v}, \blacktriangleright}$ is a $\underline{v}, \blacktriangleright$ -decomp. sbgp of $\Pi_{\underline{v}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}, \blacktriangleright})$.
- $\Pi_{\underline{v}, \blacktriangleright} \mapsto D_{t, \mu_{-}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}, \blacktriangleright}) \subset \Pi_{\underline{v}, \blacktriangleright}$ defined up to $\Pi_{\underline{v}, \blacktriangleright}^{\pm}$ -conjugacy
 $(\text{Gal}(\Pi_{\underline{v}, \blacktriangleright}^{\pm})/\Pi_{\underline{v}, \blacktriangleright}) = \mu_{2|I}$ -outer indet. if considered as a sbgp of $\Pi_{\underline{v}, \blacktriangleright}$.

Proposition

- $I_t \in \text{LabCusp}^\pm(\widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}})_t \sim \mapsto \Pi_{\underline{v}\bullet t}(I_t) \in \{\underline{v}\bullet t\text{-temp. decomp. sbgp} \subset \Pi_{\underline{X}_K}\}$.
- $\Pi_{\underline{v}\bullet t} \mapsto D_{t,\mu_-}(\Pi_{\underline{v}\bullet t}) \subset \Pi_{\underline{v}\bullet t}$ defined up to $\Pi_{\underline{v}\bullet t}^\pm$ -conjugacy ($\text{Gal}(\Pi_{\underline{v}\bullet t}^\pm/\Pi_{\underline{v}\bullet t}) = \mu_{2l}$ -outer indet. if considered as a sbgp of $\Pi_{\underline{v}\bullet t}$).
- $\text{LabCusp}^\pm(\widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}})_t \sim = \{I', I \text{ cusp. inert. sbgp of } \widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}}^\pm\}$ actually only depends on $\widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}}^\pm$.
- $\rightsquigarrow \widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}}^{\text{cor}}$ acts by conj. on $\text{LabCusp}^\pm(\Pi_{\underline{X}_K})_t \sim$ and $\text{LabCusp}^\pm(\Pi_{\underline{X}_K})$.
- If $\lambda \in \widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}}^{\text{cor}}$, $\Pi_{\underline{v}\bullet t}(I_t)^\lambda = \Pi_{\underline{v}\bullet \lambda(t)}(I_t^\lambda)$.
- $D_{t,\mu_-}(I_t)^\lambda = D_{\lambda(t),\mu_-}(I_t^\lambda)$ up to $\Pi_{\underline{v}\bullet \lambda(t)}^\pm$ -indeterminacy.

Group-theoretic Theta evaluation

Let $I_t \in \text{LabCusp}(\widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}}) \sim$, and let $\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright} := \Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright}(I_t)$; $\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright} := \Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright}(I_t)$.

Let $(I\Delta_{\Theta})(\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright})$ be the subquotient of $\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright}$ determined by the subquotient $(I\Delta_{\Theta})(\Pi_{\underline{v}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright}))$ of $\Pi_{\underline{v}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright})$.

Let $G_{\underline{v}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright})$ be the quotient of $\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright}$ determined by $\Pi_{\underline{v}} \rightarrow G_{\underline{v}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}})$.

By restricting $\underline{\theta}^{\iota}(\Pi_{\underline{v}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright})) \subset \infty \underline{\theta}^{\iota}(\Pi_{\underline{v}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright}))$ to $\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright} \subset \Pi_{\underline{y}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright}))$, one gets a μ_{2I} -orbit and a μ -orbit:

$$\underline{\theta}^{\iota}(\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright}) \subset \infty \underline{\theta}^{\iota}(\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright}) \subset \varinjlim_{\widehat{J} \subset \widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}}} H^1(\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright} \times_{\widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}}} \widehat{J}, (I.\Delta)(\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright}))$$

By further restricting $\underline{\theta}^{\iota}(\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright}) \subset \infty \underline{\theta}^{\iota}(\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright})$ to

$G_{\underline{v}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{t, \mu_-}(\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright}) \subset \Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright}$, one gets a μ_{2I} -orbit and a μ -orbit:

$$\underline{\theta}^{|\iota|}(\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright}) \subset \infty \underline{\theta}^{|\iota|}(\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright}) \subset \varinjlim_{J_G} H^1(G_{\underline{v}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright}) \times J_G, (I.\Delta)(\Pi_{\underline{v}\blacktriangleright}))$$

Splitting at zero-labeled evaluation

Isomorph of $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{K}_v}^\times$ in $\lim_{J_G \subset G_v(\Pi_{\underline{v};})} H^1(J_G, (I.\Delta)(\Pi_{\underline{v};}))$.

Pull back along $\Pi_{\underline{v}\infty} \rightarrow G_v: \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(\Pi_{\underline{v};}) \subset H^1(\Pi_{\underline{v};} \times_{\widehat{\Pi}_v} \widehat{J}, (I.\Delta)(\Pi_{\underline{v};}))$

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(\Pi_{\underline{v};}) \cdot \underline{\theta}^\nu(\Pi_{\underline{v};}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(\Pi_{\underline{v};}) \cdot \infty \underline{\theta}^\nu(\Pi_{\underline{v};}) \subset H^1(\Pi_{\underline{v};} \times_{\widehat{\Pi}_v} \widehat{J}, (I.\Delta)(\Pi_{\underline{v};}))$$

If $l_0 \in \text{LabCusp}^\pm(\widehat{\Pi}_v)_0^\sim$, pullback along $G_v \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{0,\mu_-}(l_0) \subset \Pi_{\underline{v};}(l_0)$ induces a retraction: $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times \cdot \infty \underline{\theta}^\nu(\Pi_{\underline{v};}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(\Pi_{\underline{v};})$ defined up to torsion ($\underline{\theta}^0(\Pi_{\underline{v};}) = \mu_{2l}$).

\rightsquigarrow canonical splitting $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times \cdot \infty \underline{\theta}^\nu(\Pi_{\underline{v};}) / \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\mu(\Pi_{\underline{v};}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}^{\times\mu}(\Pi_{\underline{v};}) \times \infty \underline{\theta}^\nu(\Pi_{\underline{v};})$
(where $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\mu = \text{Torsion}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^{\times\mu} = \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times / \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\mu$).

$M\Theta$ -env. Theta evaluation [cor. 2.8]

Let M_*^Θ be a pro- $M\Theta$ -env.

Let $\Pi_{\underline{v}^\ddagger}$ be a \underline{v}^\ddagger -temp. decomp. sbgp of $\widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}}(M_*^\Theta)$.

Let

$$\Pi_{M_*^\Theta}(\Pi_{\underline{v}^\ddagger}) := \Pi_{\underline{v}^\ddagger} \times_{\widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}}(M_*^\Theta)} \widehat{\Pi}_{M_*^\Theta} \subset \Pi_{M_*^\Theta}(\Pi_{\underline{v}}) := \Pi_{\underline{v}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}^\ddagger}) \times_{\widehat{\Pi}_{\underline{v}}(M_*^\Theta)} \widehat{\Pi}_{M_*^\Theta}$$

$\Pi_{M_*^\Theta}(\Pi_{\underline{v}^\ddagger})$ can be enriched naturally in a pro- M_*^Θ -env $M_*^\Theta(\Pi_{\underline{v}^\ddagger})$ st

$$\Pi_{M_*^\Theta}(\Pi_{\underline{v}^\ddagger}) = \Pi_{M_*^\Theta}(\Pi_{\underline{v}^\ddagger}).$$

If $(-)(M_*^\Theta)$ is a subquotient of $\Pi_{M_*^\Theta}$, we will denote by $(-)(M_*^\Theta)$ the corresponding subquotient of $\Pi_{M_*^\Theta}(\Pi_{\underline{v}^\ddagger}) \subset \Pi_{M_*^\Theta}(\Pi_{\underline{v}})$.

Apply **cyclotomic rigidity** isom. $I \cdot \Delta_\Theta(M_*^\Theta) \rightarrow \Pi_\mu(M_*^\Theta)$ to

$$\underline{\theta}^\ell(\Pi_{\underline{v}^\ddagger}) \subset \infty \underline{\theta}^\ell(\Pi_{\underline{v}^\ddagger}) \text{ and to } \underline{\theta}^{|\ell|}(\Pi_{\underline{v}^\ddagger}) \subset \infty \underline{\theta}^{|\ell|}(\Pi_{\underline{v}^\ddagger}), \rightsquigarrow$$

$$\underline{\theta}_{\text{env}}^\ell(M_*^\Theta) \subset \infty \underline{\theta}_{\text{env}}^\ell(M_*^\Theta) \subset \lim_{\widehat{J}} H^1(\Pi_{\underline{v}^\ddagger}(M_*^\Theta))|_{\widehat{J}}, \Pi_\mu(M_*^\Theta))$$

$$\underline{\theta}_{\text{env}}^{|\ell|}(M_*^\Theta) \subset \infty \underline{\theta}_{\text{env}}^{|\ell|}(M_*^\Theta) \subset \lim_{J_G} H^1(G_{\underline{v}}(M_*^\Theta)|_{J_G}, \Pi_\mu(M_*^\Theta))$$

+ canonical splitting of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times \cdot \infty \underline{\theta}_{\text{env}}^\ell(M_*^\Theta) / \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\mu(M_*^\Theta)$ by evaluation at 0.

Recall:

- Theta monoids:

$$\Psi_{\text{env}}^{\ell}(M_*^{\Theta}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}^{\times}(M_*^{\Theta}) \cdot \theta_{\text{env}}^{\ell}(M_*^{\Theta})^{\mathbb{N}}$$

$${}_{\infty}\Psi_{\text{env}}^{\ell}(M_*^{\Theta}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}^{\times}(M_*^{\Theta}) \cdot {}_{\infty}\theta_{\text{env}}^{\ell}(M_*^{\Theta})^{\mathbb{N}}$$

+ splittings (well defined up to μ_{2I} and μ indeterminacy)

- Constant monoid:

$$\Psi_{\text{cns}}(M_*^{\Theta}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}^{\triangleright}(M_*^{\Theta})$$

Conjugate synchronization

- For every $t \in \text{LabCusp}^\pm(\Pi_{\underline{X}}(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus))$, D_{t, μ_-} is a copy of $G_{\underline{V}}(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus)$ up to inner morphism. The inner indeterminacy on $G_{\underline{V}}(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus)$ induces a $G_{\underline{V}}(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus)$ -indeterminacy on $\Psi_{\text{cns}}(G_{\underline{V}}(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus))$.
- If we restrict simultaneously $\theta_{\text{=env}}$ to each D_{t, μ_-} , one gets

$$\theta_{\text{=env}}^{\mathbb{F}_l^*}(\mathbb{M}_{*\ddagger}^\ominus) = \prod_{|t| \in \mathbb{F}_l^*} \theta_{\text{=env}}^{|t|}(\mathbb{M}_{*\ddagger}^\ominus) \subset \prod_t \Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus)_t,$$

where one has, a priori, on $\prod_t \Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus)_t$ a $\prod_t G_{\underline{V}}(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus)_t$ -indeterminacy.

- conjugating by $\Delta_C(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus)$ on $\Pi_{\underline{X}}(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus)$ permutes cusp. inertia group of $\Pi_{\underline{X}}(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus) \rightsquigarrow$ **canonical** isom. of $(G_{\underline{V}}(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus)_t \hookrightarrow \Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus)_t)_t$ (“ $\mathbb{F}_l^{\times \pm}$ -symmetries”).
- Let $G_{\underline{V}}(\mathbb{M}_{*\ddagger}^\ominus)_{\langle |\mathbb{F}_l| \rangle} \subset \prod_{t \in \mathbb{F}_l^*} G_{\underline{V}}(\mathbb{M}_{*\ddagger}^\ominus)$ be the subset of elements invariant by the “ $\mathbb{F}_l^{\times \pm}$ -symmetries”.

$M\Theta$ -env. Gaussian monoid

By functoriality of Ψ_{cns} , the $\mathbb{F}_l^{\times\pm}$ -symmetries induce isomorphisms of the copies $\Psi_{\text{cns}}(M_*^\ominus)$ in the product: diagonal

$$\Psi_{\text{cns}}(M_*^\ominus)_{\langle |\mathbb{F}_l| \rangle} \subset \prod_t \Psi_{\text{cns}}(M_*^\ominus).$$

$\prod_t \Psi_{\text{cns}}(M_*^\ominus)$ is well-defined up to $G_{\underline{V}}(M_{*\bullet}^\ominus)_{\langle |\mathbb{F}_l| \rangle}$ -indeterminacy.

$\theta_{\text{=env}}^{\mathbb{F}_l^*}(M_{*\bullet}^\ominus) \subset \prod_{t \in \mathbb{F}_l^*} \Psi_{\text{cns}}(M_*^\ominus)$ is the set of *value-profiles*.

Definition

$$\Psi_{\text{gau}}(M_*^\ominus) := \{ \Psi_\xi(M_*^\ominus) := \Psi_{\text{cns}}^\times(M_*^\ominus)_{\langle \mathbb{F}_l^* \rangle} \cdot \xi^{\mathbb{N}} \}_{\xi \in \theta_{\text{=env}}^{\mathbb{F}_l^*}(M_{*\bullet}^\ominus)}$$

$${}_\infty \Psi_{\text{gau}}(M_*^\ominus) := \{ {}_\infty \Psi_\xi(M_*^\ominus) := \Psi_{\text{cns}}^\times(M_*^\ominus)_{\langle \mathbb{F}_l^* \rangle} \cdot \xi^{\mathbb{Q}} \}_{\xi \in \theta_{\text{=env}}^{\mathbb{F}_l^*}(M_{*\bullet}^\ominus)}$$

There are restriction isomorphisms:

$$\Psi_{\text{env}}(M_*^\ominus) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_{\text{gau}}(M_*^\ominus) \quad {}_\infty \Psi_{\text{env}}(M_*^\ominus) \xrightarrow{\sim} {}_\infty \Psi_{\text{gau}}(M_*^\ominus).$$

The $\mathbb{F}_l^{\times\pm}$ -symmetries also give rise to an isomorphism

$$\Psi_{\text{cns}}(M_*^\ominus)_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_{\text{cns}}(M_*^\ominus)_{\langle \mathbb{F}_l^* \rangle}$$

$\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_v :=$ tempered Frobenioid isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}(\underline{\underline{X}}_v)$.

$\rightsquigarrow \underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_v \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_v(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_v) := \text{base}(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_v); \underline{\underline{\mathcal{Y}}}_v(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_v) \in \mathcal{D}_v(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_v)$.

Choose $\underline{\underline{\Theta}}_v \in \mathcal{O}^\times(\mathbb{T}_{\underline{\underline{\mathcal{Y}}}_v}^{\text{birat}})$ (def'd up to $\mu_{2l}(\mathbb{T}_{\underline{\underline{\mathcal{Y}}}_v}^{\text{birat}})$ and $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{D}_v}(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{Y}}}_v(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_v))$)

indeterminacies)

\rightsquigarrow a monoid $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{\underline{\mathcal{Y}}}_v}^{\triangleright \Theta}(-) := \mathcal{O}^\times(\mathbb{T}_{(-)}) \cdot \underline{\underline{\Theta}}_v^{\mathbb{N}}|_{(-)}$ on \mathcal{D}_v^Θ [IUT1, ex. 3.2.(v)].

$A_\infty^\Theta :=$ a universal pro-object of \mathcal{D}_v

Definition

- $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\underline{V}}^{\ominus}, \text{id}}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\underline{V}}^{\ominus}}^{\times}(\mathbf{A}_{\infty}^{\ominus}) \cdot \underline{\Theta}_{\underline{V}}^{\mathbb{N}}|_{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}^{\ominus}} \subset \mathcal{O}^{\times}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}^{\ominus}}^{\text{birat}})$
- ${}_{\infty}\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\underline{V}}^{\ominus}, \text{id}}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\underline{V}}^{\ominus}}^{\times}(\mathbf{A}_{\infty}^{\ominus}) \cdot \underline{\Theta}_{\underline{V}}^{\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}}|_{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}^{\ominus}} \subset \mathcal{O}^{\times}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}^{\ominus}}^{\text{birat}})$
- $\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_{\underline{V}}}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\underline{V}}}^{\triangleright}(\mathbf{A}_{\infty}^{\ominus})$ ($\mathcal{C}_{\underline{V}}$ denotes the base-th. hull of $\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}$)

For $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{D}_{\underline{V}}}(\ddot{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_{\underline{V}})$, by replacing $\underline{\Theta}_{\underline{V}}$ by $\underline{\Theta}_{\underline{V}}^{\alpha}$,

$$\rightsquigarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\underline{V}}^{\ominus}, \alpha} \subset {}_{\infty}\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\underline{V}}^{\ominus}, \alpha} \subset \mathcal{O}^{\times}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}^{\ominus}}^{\text{birat}}).$$

Definition (Frobenioid-theoretic Theta monoids)

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\underline{V}}^{\ominus}}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}) := \{\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\underline{V}}^{\ominus}, \alpha}\}_{\alpha} \quad {}_{\infty}\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\underline{V}}^{\ominus}}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}) := \{{}_{\infty}\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\underline{V}}^{\ominus}, \alpha}\}_{\alpha}$$

Kummer isomorphism of Theta monoids

Let $\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus := \mathbb{M}_*^\ominus(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_\underline{\underline{V}})$.

Frobenioid-theoretical Kummer map [Fr2, def. 2.1]:

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_\underline{\underline{V}}, \alpha}(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_\underline{\underline{V}}) \subset \mathcal{O}^\times (\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}_\infty^\ominus}^{\text{birat}})^{\Pi(\underline{\underline{Y}})} \cap \mathcal{O}^\times (\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}_\infty^\ominus}^{\text{birat}})^N \rightarrow H^1(\Pi_{\underline{\underline{Y}}}(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_\underline{\underline{V}}), \mu_N(\mathbb{A}_\infty^\ominus)).$$

Projective limit over N & (tautological) isomorphism of cyclotomes $\varprojlim_N \mu_N(\mathbb{A}_\infty^\ominus) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_\mu(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_\underline{\underline{V}}))$, one gets a map:

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_\underline{\underline{V}}, \alpha}(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_\underline{\underline{V}}) \rightarrow H^1(\Pi_{\underline{\underline{Y}}}(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_\underline{\underline{V}}), \Pi_\mu(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_\underline{\underline{V}}))) \supset \Psi_{\text{env}}^\iota(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_\underline{\underline{V}}))$$

Proposition (Prop. 3.3)

For a natural bijection $\{\alpha\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\iota\}$, one gets Frob-theoretic Kummer isomorphisms of monoids:

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_\underline{\underline{V}}, \alpha}(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_\underline{\underline{V}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_{\text{env}}^\iota(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_\underline{\underline{V}})) \quad \infty \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_\underline{\underline{V}}, \alpha}(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_\underline{\underline{V}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \infty \Psi_{\text{env}}^\iota(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_\underline{\underline{V}}))$$

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{C}_\underline{\underline{V}}}(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_\underline{\underline{V}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{F}}}_\underline{\underline{V}}))$$

For a value-profile $\xi \in \theta_{\text{env}}^{\mathbb{F}_i^*}(\mathbb{M}_{* \triangleright}^{\ominus}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}))$,

$$\Psi_{\xi}(\mathbb{M}_{*}^{\ominus}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}})) \subset_{\infty} \Psi_{\xi}(\mathbb{M}_{*}^{\ominus}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}})) \subset \prod_{|t|} \Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathbb{M}_{*}^{\ominus}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}))$$

By pulling-back along $\prod_{|t|} \Psi_{C_V} \xrightarrow{\text{Kummer}} \prod_{|t|} \Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathbb{M}_{*}^{\ominus}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}))$, one gets
Frobenoid-th. Gaussian monoids:

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\xi}}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}) \subset_{\infty} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\xi}}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}) \subset \prod_{|t|} \Psi_{C_V}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\underline{V}, \alpha}^{\ominus}}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Kummer}} & \Psi_{\text{env}}^{\iota}(\mathbb{M}_{*}^{\ominus}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\xi}}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Kummer}} & \Psi_{\xi}(\mathbb{M}_{*}^{\ominus}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}})) \end{array}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \Pi_{\underline{X}}(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus) \hookrightarrow \Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F}_{\text{cns}}(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus) \\ \Pi_{\underline{X}}(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}})) \hookrightarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{C}_{\underline{V}}}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}_{\underline{V}}}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}) \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \rho_{\underline{V}}\text{-adic Frobenioids} \\ \text{of type } \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{div. monoid } \simeq \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \end{array}$$

(\simeq components of an \mathcal{F} -prime-strip)

$$\mathcal{C}_{\underline{V}}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}) \xrightarrow{\text{taut.}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}_{\underline{V}}}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}) \xrightarrow{\text{Kummer}} \mathcal{F}_{\text{cns}}(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}))$$

Action of $\Pi_{\underline{X}}$ factors through $G_{\underline{V}} \rightsquigarrow$ mono-an. versions:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\underline{V}}^\dagger(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}) \xrightarrow{\text{Kummer}} \mathcal{F}_{\text{cns}}^\dagger(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}))$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} G_{\underline{V}}(\mathbb{M}_{*\triangleright}^\ominus) \hookrightarrow \Psi_{\text{env}}^\iota(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F}_{\text{env}}^\iota(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus) \\ G_{\underline{V}}(\mathbb{M}_{*\triangleright}^\ominus) \hookrightarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\underline{V}}, \alpha}^{\mathcal{F}_{\underline{V}}, \alpha}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{F}_{\underline{V}}, \alpha}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}) \\ G_{\underline{V}}(\mathbb{M}_{*\triangleright}^\ominus) \hookrightarrow \Psi_\xi(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F}_\xi(\mathbb{M}_*^\ominus) \\ G_{\underline{V}}(\mathbb{M}_{*\triangleright}^\ominus) \hookrightarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{V}}) \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \rho_{\underline{V}}\text{-adic Frobenioids} \\ \text{of type } \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{div. monoid } \simeq \mathbb{N} \\ + \textit{ splittings} \end{array}$$

(\simeq components of an \mathcal{F}^\dagger -prime strip)

Good non-archimedean places: $\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}_{\text{good}} \cap \underline{\mathbb{V}}_{\text{non}}$

- Group-theoretic:

- $\Psi_{\text{cns}}(G_{\underline{v}}) \subset \varinjlim_J H^1(J, \mu_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(G_{\underline{v}})); \quad \Psi_{\text{cns}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}}) := \Psi_{\text{cns}}(G_{\underline{v}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}}))$
- $\Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\mathbb{R}}(G_{\underline{v}}) = (\Psi_{\text{cns}}(G_{\underline{v}})/\Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\times}(G_{\underline{v}}))^{\text{trf}} \simeq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(G_{\underline{v}})$
 $\log^{G_{\underline{v}}}(\rho_{\underline{v}}) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(G_{\underline{v}})$
- $\Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\text{ss}}(G_{\underline{v}}) = \Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\times}(G_{\underline{v}}) \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(G_{\underline{v}})$
- $\mathbb{F}_l^{\times \pm}$ -symmetries + diagonal $\Psi_{\text{cns}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}})_{\langle \mathbb{F}_l^{\times} \rangle} \subset \prod_{|t| \in \mathbb{F}_l^{\times}} \Psi_{\text{cns}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}});$
- $\Psi_{\text{env}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}}) := \Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\times}(\Pi_{\underline{v}}) \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot \log^{\Pi_{\underline{v}}}(\rho_{\underline{v}}) \cdot \log^{\Pi_{\underline{v}}}(\underline{\Theta})$
- $\Psi_{\text{gau}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}}) = \Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\times}(\Pi_{\underline{v}})_{\langle \mathbb{F}_l^{\times} \rangle} \times \{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot (j^2 \cdot \log^{\Pi_{\underline{v}}}(\rho_{\underline{v}}))_j\} \subset \prod_j \Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\text{ss}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}}).$

- Frob-theoretic and Kummer isomorphisms:

- unique $G_{\underline{v}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{v}}))$ -equivariant $\psi_{\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{v}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_{\text{cns}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{v}})).$
- $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}$ -orbit of $\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{v}}}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\times}(G_{\underline{v}});$ well-defined $\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{v}}}^{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\mathbb{R}}(G_{\underline{v}})$
 $\rightsquigarrow \Psi_{\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{v}}}^{\text{ss}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\text{ss}}(G_{\underline{v}})$
- $\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{v}}}^{\ominus} := \Psi_{\text{env}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{v}})) \quad \Psi_{\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{v}}}^{\text{gau}} := \Psi_{\text{gau}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{v}}))$

Archimedean places: $\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}_{\text{arc}}$

$$\mathbb{U}_{\underline{v}} := \underline{\mathbb{X}}_{\underline{v}} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_{\underline{v}}^{\pm} := \underline{\mathbb{X}}_{\underline{v}} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_{\underline{v}}^{\text{cor}} := \mathbb{C}_{\underline{v}} \text{ (aut-hol. spaces)}$$

- $\Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathbb{U}_{\underline{v}}) := \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{U}_{\underline{v}}}^{\triangleright}$
- $\log^{\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}^{\pm}}(\rho_{\underline{v}}) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}^{\pm})$
- $\Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\text{ss}}(\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}^{\pm}) = \Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\times}(\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}^{\pm}) \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}^{\pm})$
- $\mathbb{F}_l^{\times \pm}$ -symmetries + diagonal $\Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathbb{U}_{\underline{v}})_{\langle \mathbb{F}_l^{\times *} \rangle} \subset \prod_{|t| \in \mathbb{F}_l^{\times *}} \Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathbb{U}_{\underline{v}})_t$;
- $\Psi_{\text{env}}(\mathbb{U}_{\underline{v}}) := \Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\times}(\mathbb{U}_{\underline{v}}) \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot \log^{\mathbb{U}_{\underline{v}}}(\rho_{\underline{v}}) \cdot \log^{\mathbb{U}_{\underline{v}}}(\underline{\Theta})$
- $\Psi_{\text{gau}}(\mathbb{U}_{\underline{v}}) = \Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\times}(\mathbb{U}_{\underline{v}})_{\langle \mathbb{F}_l^{\times *} \rangle} \times \{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot (j^2 \cdot \log^{\mathbb{U}_{\underline{v}}}(\rho_{\underline{v}}))_j\} \subset \prod_j \Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{U}_{\underline{v}})$
- ...

$\mathcal{D}\text{-}\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}$ setting [cor. 4.5]

$\mathfrak{D} := \{\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}\}$ a \mathcal{D} -prime-strip; $\mathfrak{D}^{\perp} := \{\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}^{\perp}\}$ associated \mathcal{D}^{\perp} -prime-strip

- $\Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathfrak{D}) : \underline{v} \mapsto \Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}) \quad (:= \{\Pi_{\underline{v}} \hookrightarrow \Psi_{\text{cns}}(\Pi_{\underline{v}})\})$ if $\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}_{\text{non}}$;
- $\Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\text{ss}}(\mathfrak{D}^{\perp}) : \underline{v} \mapsto \Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\text{ss}}(\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}^{\perp}) \quad (:= \{\mathbf{G}_{\underline{v}} \hookrightarrow \Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\text{ss}}(\mathbf{G}_{\underline{v}})\})$ if $\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}_{\text{non}}$;
- + splitting $\Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\text{ss}}(\mathfrak{D}^{\perp})_{\underline{v}} = \Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\times}(\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}^{\perp}) \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}^{\perp})$
- + distinguished element $\log^{\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}^{\perp}}(\rho_{\underline{v}}) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}^{\perp})$
- $\mathfrak{D}^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ st. $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{\perp}} = \bigoplus_{\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{D}^{\perp})_{\underline{v}}$;

$$\mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{D}^{\perp}} = \left\{ a = \left(a_{\underline{v}} \cdot \log^{\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}^{\perp}}(\rho_{\underline{v}}) \right)_{\underline{v}} \in \Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{\perp}}^{\text{gp}}; \sum_{\underline{v}} [K_{\underline{v}} : F_{\text{mod } \underline{v}}] a_{\underline{v}} \log(\rho_{\underline{v}}) = 0 \right\}$$

Let $\mathcal{HT}^{\mathcal{D}\text{-}\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}} = (\mathfrak{D}_{>} \leftarrow \mathfrak{D}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\circ\pm})$ be a $\mathcal{D}\text{-}\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}$ -Hodge theater.

- Recall $\zeta_{>} : \text{LabCusp}^{\pm}(\mathfrak{D}_{>}) \xrightarrow{\sim} T$ [IUT1, prop. 6.5]
- Global $\mathbb{F}_l^{\times\pm}$ -symmetries of $(\Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathfrak{D}_{>})_t)_{t \in \text{LabCusp}^{\pm}(\mathfrak{D}_{>})}$ compatible with the $\mathbb{F}_l^{\times\pm}$ -symmetry on the Θ^{ell} -bridge $\mathfrak{D}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\circ\pm}$
- + diagonal $\Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathfrak{D}_{>})_{\langle \mathbb{F}_l^{\times} \rangle} \subset \prod_{|t|} \Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathfrak{D}_{>})$.

$\mathcal{D}\text{-}\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}$ -th. global rlf'd Θ - & Gau-monoids [cor. 4.5]

- $\Psi_{\text{env}}(\mathfrak{D}_{>}) : \underline{v} \mapsto \Psi_{\text{env}}(\mathcal{D}_{>,\underline{v}}) \quad \infty \Psi_{\text{env}}(\mathfrak{D}_{>}) : \underline{v} \mapsto \infty \Psi_{\text{env}}(\mathcal{D}_{>,\underline{v}})$
- $\Psi_{\text{gau}}(\mathfrak{D}_{>}) : \underline{v} \mapsto \Psi_{\text{gau}}(\mathcal{D}_{>,\underline{v}}) \quad \infty \Psi_{\text{gau}}(\mathfrak{D}_{>}) : \underline{v} \mapsto \infty \Psi_{\text{gau}}(\mathcal{D}_{>,\underline{v}})$
- $\mathcal{D}_{\text{env}}^{\text{lf}}(\mathfrak{D}_{>}^{\pm})$ st. $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{env}}^{\text{lf}}(\mathfrak{D}_{>}^{\pm})} = \bigoplus_{\underline{v} \in \mathbb{V}} \Psi_{\text{env}}(\mathfrak{D}_{>}^{\pm})_{\underline{v}}^{\mathbb{R}}$
 $(\Psi_{\text{env}}(\mathfrak{D}_{>}^{\pm})_{\underline{v}}^{\mathbb{R}} := (\Psi_{\text{env}}(\mathfrak{D}_{>})_{\underline{v}} / \Psi_{\text{env}}^{\times}(\mathfrak{D}_{>})_{\underline{v}})^{\text{rlf}}$ only depends on $\mathfrak{D}_{>}^{\pm}$
 $\mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{env}}^{\text{lf}}} =$
 $\left\{ \mathbf{a} = \left(a_{\underline{v}} \cdot \log^{\mathfrak{D}_{>}^{\pm}}(\rho_{\underline{v}}) \cdot \log^{\mathfrak{D}_{>}^{\pm}}(\underline{\Theta}) \right)_{\underline{v}} ; \sum_{\underline{v}} [K_{\underline{v}} : F_{\text{mod } \underline{v}}] a_{\underline{v}} \log(\rho_{\underline{v}}) = 0 \right\}$
 (for $\underline{v} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{bad}}$, $\log^{\mathfrak{D}_{>}^{\pm}}(\rho_{\underline{v}}) \cdot \log^{\mathfrak{D}_{>}^{\pm}}(\underline{\Theta}) := \frac{\log(\rho_{\underline{v}})}{\log(q)_{\underline{v}}} \cdot (\text{image of } \theta_{\underline{v}}^{\pm})$)
- $\mathcal{D}_{\text{gau}}^{\text{lf}}(\mathfrak{D}_{>}^{\pm}) \subset \prod_j \mathcal{D}^{\text{lf}}(\mathfrak{D}_{>}^{\pm})$ st.
 $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{gau}}^{\text{lf}}(\mathfrak{D}_{>}^{\pm})} = \bigoplus_{\underline{v} \in \mathbb{V}} \Psi_{\text{gau}}(\mathfrak{D}_{>}^{\pm})_{\underline{v}}^{\mathbb{R}} = (j^2)_{j \in \mathbb{F}_l^*} \cdot \Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{\text{lf}}} \subset \prod_j \Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{\text{lf}}}$
- Global evaluation isomorphism: $\mathcal{D}_{\text{env}}^{\text{lf}}(\mathfrak{D}_{>}^{\pm}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{\text{gau}}^{\text{lf}}(\mathfrak{D}_{>}^{\pm})$ by
 $\left(a_{\underline{v}} \cdot \log^{\mathfrak{D}_{>}^{\pm}}(\rho_{\underline{v}}) \cdot \log^{\mathfrak{D}_{>}^{\pm}}(\underline{\Theta}) \right)_{\underline{v}} \mapsto \left(\left(j^2 a_{\underline{v}} \cdot \log^{\mathfrak{D}_{>}^{\pm}}(\rho_{\underline{v}}) \right)_{\underline{v}} \right)_{j \in \mathbb{F}_l^*}$

$\mathfrak{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}}\}_{\underline{v} \in \mathbb{V}}$: \mathcal{F} -prime-strip ($\rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{F}^{\vdash}, \mathfrak{F}^{\llcorner}$ [IUT1, rmk 5.2.1])

- $\Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathfrak{F}) : \underline{v} \mapsto \{\mathbf{G}_{\underline{v}}(\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}}) \hookrightarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{C_{\underline{v}}}}(\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}})\}$ if $\underline{v} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{non}}$;
- $\Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\text{ss}}(\mathfrak{F}^{\vdash}) : \underline{v} \mapsto \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}}^{\vdash}}^{\text{ss}}$ + splitting + distinguished element

Kummer

- $\Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathfrak{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{F}))$
 $\Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\text{ss}}(\mathfrak{F}^{\vdash}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\text{ss}}(\mathfrak{D}^{\vdash}(\mathfrak{F}^{\vdash}))$ ($\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}}^{\vdash}$ -th. for $\underline{v} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{non}}$ but not for $\underline{v} \in \mathbb{V}_{\text{arc}}$)
- Unique isom. $\mathcal{C}^{\llcorner}(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}^{\llcorner}(\mathfrak{D}^{\vdash}(\mathfrak{F}^{\vdash}))$ compatible with \mathbb{V} and
 $\Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\text{ss}}(\mathfrak{F}^{\vdash}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_{\text{cns}}^{\text{ss}}(\mathfrak{D}^{\vdash}(\mathfrak{F}^{\vdash}))$. It is \mathfrak{F}^{\llcorner} -theoretic.

$\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}} = (\mathfrak{F}_{>} \leftarrow \mathfrak{F}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\odot\pm})$: $\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}$ -Hodge theater.

$\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}$: $\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}$ NF-Hodge theater.

- $\underline{v} \mapsto \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{env}}}(\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\underline{v}} := \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}}^{\Theta}} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\dagger}$
- $\underline{v} \mapsto \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{gau}}}(\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\underline{v}} := \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{gau}}(\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{\underline{v}})} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\text{gau}}^{\dagger}$
- $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{env}}}(\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_{\text{env}}(\mathcal{D}_{>}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_{\text{gau}}(\mathcal{D}_{>}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{gau}}}(\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})$
- ∞ -versions

- $\mathcal{C}_{\text{env}}^{\|\dagger}(\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})$ st. $\Phi_{\mathcal{C}_{\text{env}}^{\|\dagger}(\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})} = \bigoplus_{\underline{v} \in \mathbb{V}} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{env}}}(\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\underline{v}}^{\mathbb{R}}$
- $\mathcal{C}_{\text{gau}}^{\|\dagger}(\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})$ st. $\Phi_{\mathcal{C}_{\text{gau}}^{\|\dagger}(\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})} = \bigoplus_{\underline{v} \in \mathbb{V}} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{gau}}}(\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})_{\underline{v}}^{\mathbb{R}}$
- $\mathcal{C}_{\text{env}}^{\|\dagger}(\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{\text{env}}^{\|\dagger}(\mathcal{D}_{>}^{\dagger}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{\text{gau}}^{\|\dagger}(\mathcal{D}_{>}^{\dagger}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{\text{env}}^{\|\dagger}(\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}})$

$$\rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\|\dagger} = (\mathcal{C}_{\text{env}}^{\|\dagger}, \mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\dagger}), \quad \mathfrak{F}_{\text{gau}}^{\|\dagger} = (\mathcal{C}_{\text{gau}}^{\|\dagger}, \mathfrak{F}_{\text{gau}}^{\dagger})$$

+ evaluation isom. $\mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\|\dagger} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{F}_{\text{gau}}^{\|\dagger}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{D}^\circ &\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}^*; \quad \pi_1(\mathcal{D}^*) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\text{mod}}^*(\mathcal{D}^\circ) \subset \mathbb{M}^*(\mathcal{D}^\circ) \\
 &\rightsquigarrow \pi_1^{\text{rat}}(\mathcal{D}^*) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\kappa}^*(\mathcal{D}^\circ) \subset \mathbb{M}_{\infty\kappa}^*(\mathcal{D}^\circ) \\
 &\rightsquigarrow \text{fields } \pi_1(\mathcal{D}^*) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{M}}_{\text{mod}}^*(\mathcal{D}^\circ) \subset \overline{\mathbb{M}}^*(\mathcal{D}^\circ) \\
 &\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F}_{\text{mod}}^*(\mathcal{D}^\circ), \mathcal{F}^*(\mathcal{D}^\circ), \mathcal{F}^\circ(\mathcal{D}^\circ) \\
 &\rightsquigarrow \forall j \in \text{LabCusp}(\mathcal{D}^\circ), \text{ a } \mathcal{F}\text{-prime-strip } \mathcal{F}^\circ(\mathcal{D}^\circ)|_j \\
 &\quad \text{labeled } \mathbb{M}_{\text{mod}}^*(\mathcal{D}^\circ)_j, \mathbb{M}_{\infty\kappa}^*(\mathcal{D}^\circ)_j, \mathcal{F}_{\text{mod}}^*(\mathcal{D}^\circ)_j
 \end{aligned}$$

\mathbb{F}_l^* -poly-action on $\mathcal{D}^\circ \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{F}_l^*$ -symmetrizing isom. of
 $(\mathcal{F}^\circ(\mathcal{D}^\circ)|_j)_j, (\mathbb{M}_{\text{mod}}^*(\mathcal{D}^\circ)_j)_j, (\mathbb{M}_{\infty\kappa}^*(\mathcal{D}^\circ)_j)_j, (\mathcal{F}_{\text{mod}}^*(\mathcal{D}^\circ)_j)_j$.

\rightsquigarrow diagonal objects $(_)_{\langle \mathbb{F}_l^* \rangle}$.

If \mathcal{D}° lies in a $\mathcal{D}\text{-}\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF-Hodge theater}$, these \mathbb{F}_l^* -symm. isomorphisms are compatible with the \mathbb{F}_l^* -symmetry of the $\mathcal{D}\text{-NF-bridge}$.

$$\mathcal{F}^\circ(\mathcal{D}^\circ)|_j \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{D}_j, \mathfrak{D}_j^\dagger \quad + \quad \mathcal{D}^{\text{lf}}(\mathfrak{D}_j^\dagger) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{F}_{\text{mod}}^*(\mathcal{D}^\circ)_j)^{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}$ be a $\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}$ -Hodge theater.

$\pi_1^{\text{rat}}(\mathcal{D}^{\odot})$ -equivariant Kummer isomorphisms $\mathbb{M}_{\infty\kappa}^{\odot} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{M}_{\infty\kappa}^{\odot}(\mathcal{D}^{\odot})$

(defined up to conjugacy; functorial in \mathcal{F}^{\odot})

$\rightsquigarrow \mathbb{M}^{\odot} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{M}^{\odot}(\mathcal{D}^{\odot}); \mathbb{M}_{\text{mod}}^{\odot} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{M}_{\text{mod}}^{\odot}(\mathcal{D}^{\odot});$

$\rightsquigarrow \overline{\mathbb{M}}^{\odot} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathbb{M}}^{\odot}(\mathcal{D}^{\odot}); \overline{\mathbb{M}}_{\text{mod}}^{\odot} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathbb{M}}_{\text{mod}}^{\odot}(\mathcal{D}^{\odot})$

$\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F}^{\odot} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}^{\odot}(\mathcal{D}^{\odot}); \mathcal{F}^{\ast} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}^{\ast}(\mathcal{D}^{\odot}); \mathcal{F}_{\text{mod}}^{\ast} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_{\text{mod}}^{\ast}$

+ labeled (by $j \in J$) Kummer isomorphisms; + Kummer isomorphisms of diagonal submonoids;

Functorially in a NF -bridge $\mathfrak{F}_J \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\odot \dashrightarrow \mathcal{F}^\circledast$:

- Loc. morphisms $(\mathcal{F}_{\text{mod}}^\circledast)_j \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_j$, $(\mathbb{M}_{\infty\kappa}^\circledast)_j \rightarrow (\mathbb{M}_{\infty\kappa}^\circledast)_\nu(\mathfrak{F}_j)$
- $\mathcal{C}_j^{\|\cdot\|} := \mathcal{C}^{\|\cdot\|}(\mathfrak{F}_j) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{F}_{\text{mod}}^\circledast)_j^{\mathbb{R}}$

$$\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{gau}}^{\|\cdot\|}(\mathcal{HT}^{\ominus \pm \text{ell}NF}) \hookrightarrow \prod_j \mathcal{C}_j^{\|\cdot\|} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod (\mathcal{F}_{\text{mod}}^\circledast)_j^{\mathbb{R}}$$

Let $\mathfrak{F}^+ = (\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}}^+)_{\underline{v}}$ be an \mathcal{F}^+ -prime-strip; $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}^+ = \text{base}(\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}}^+)$

Focus on $\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}_{\text{bad}}$.

$A_{\underline{v}}$: universal pro-object of $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{v}}^+$. $G_{\underline{v}} = \text{Aut}(A_{\underline{v}})$.

$\mathcal{O}^\perp(A_{\underline{v}}) \subset \mathcal{O}^\triangleright(A_{\underline{v}})$ generated by $\mu_{2l}(A_{\underline{v}})$ and the image of the can. splittings of $\mathcal{O}^\triangleright(A_{\underline{v}})$

$\mathcal{O}^\blacktriangleright(A_{\underline{v}}) := \mathcal{O}^\perp(A_{\underline{v}}) / \mu_{2l}(A_{\underline{v}})$.

$\mathcal{O}^{\times\mu}(A_{\underline{v}}) := \mathcal{O}^\blacktriangleright(A_{\underline{v}}) \times \mathcal{O}^{\times\mu}(A_{\underline{v}})$.

There exists a unique \mathbb{Z}^\times -orbit of isom. $\mathcal{O}^\times(G_{\underline{v}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}^\times(A_{\underline{v}})$.

\rightsquigarrow Ism-orbit of isom. $\mathcal{O}^{\times\mu}(G_{\underline{v}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}^{\times\mu}(A_{\underline{v}})$ ($\times\mu$ -Kummer structure on $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}}^+$).

Definition (4.9)

- $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}}^{\blacktriangleright\times\mu}$: model Frobenioid corresponding to $\mathcal{O}^{\blacktriangleright\times\mu}(A_{\underline{v}})$ endowed with its can. splitting + its $\times\mu$ -Kummer str.
 $\mathfrak{F}^{\blacktriangleright\times\mu} := (\mathcal{F}_{\underline{v}}^{\blacktriangleright\times\mu})_{\underline{v} \in \underline{\mathbb{V}}}$: $\mathcal{F}^{\blacktriangleright\times\mu}$ -prime-strip. (morphisms of $\mathcal{F}^{\blacktriangleright\times\mu}$ -prime-strip are collections of morphisms of Frobenioids compatible with canonical splitting and $\times\mu$ -Kummer str.)
- $\mathcal{F}^{\parallel\times\mu}$ -prime-strip: $(\mathcal{C}^{\parallel}, \text{Prime}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \underline{\mathbb{V}}, \mathfrak{F}^{\blacktriangleright\times\mu}, (\rho_{\underline{v}}))$.

$\Theta^{\times\mu}$ and $\Theta_{\text{gau}}^{\times\mu}$ -links [cor. 4.10]

Let $\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}$ be a $\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}$ -Hodge theater.

For every $t \in \text{LabCusp}^{\pm}(\mathcal{D}_{>})$, $(\Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathcal{D}_{>}))_t \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F}$ -prime strip

Identify $\Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathfrak{F}_{>})_0$ and $\Psi_{\text{cns}}(\mathfrak{F}_{>})_{\langle \mathbb{F}_l^* \rangle}$ by $\mathbb{F}_l^{\times\pm}$ -symmetry.

$\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F}$ -prime strip $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F}^{\text{ll-}}$ -prime strip $\mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\text{ll-}} = (\mathcal{C}_{\Delta}^{\text{ll-}}, \mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\text{ll-}})$

canonically isom. to $\mathfrak{F}_{\text{mod}}^{\text{ll-}}$.

Definition

Let $\dagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}$ and $\ddagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}$ be two $\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}$ -Hodge theaters.

- The $\Theta^{\times\mu}$ -link $\dagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\Theta^{\times\mu}} \ddagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}$ is the full poly-morphism:

$$\dagger\mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\text{ll-}\blacktriangleright\times\mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} \ddagger\mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\text{ll-}\blacktriangleright\times\mu}$$

- The $\Theta_{\text{gau}}^{\times\mu}$ -link $\dagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}} \xrightarrow{\Theta^{\times\mu}} \ddagger\mathcal{HT}^{\Theta^{\pm\text{ell}}\text{NF}}$ is the full poly-morphism:

$$\dagger\mathfrak{F}_{\text{gau}}^{\text{ll-}\blacktriangleright\times\mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} \ddagger\mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\text{ll-}\blacktriangleright\times\mu}$$

Coricity of $\mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\perp \times \mu}$

We have natural isomorphisms of $\mathcal{F}^{\perp \times \mu}$ -prime strips (i.e. compatible with $\times \mu$ -Kummer structure):

$$\dagger \mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\perp \times \mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} \dagger \mathfrak{F}_{\text{env}}^{\perp \times \mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} \dagger \mathfrak{F}_{\text{gau}}^{\perp \times \mu}$$

By composing with either the $\Theta^{\times \mu}$ -link or the $\Theta_{\text{gau}}^{\times \mu}$ -link, one gets a (which turn to be full) poly-morphism:

$$\dagger \mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\perp \times \mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} \ddagger \mathfrak{F}_{\Delta}^{\perp \times \mu}$$

\rightsquigarrow full poly-morphism $\dagger \mathcal{D}_{\Delta}^{\perp} \xrightarrow{\sim} \ddagger \mathcal{D}_{\Delta}^{\perp}$ (\mathcal{D} - $\Theta^{\pm \text{ell}}$ NF-link)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \dagger \mathcal{F}_{\Delta}^{\perp \times \mu} & \longrightarrow & \ddagger \mathcal{F}_{\Delta}^{\perp \times \mu} \\ \downarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}} & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}^{\perp}(\dagger \mathcal{D}_{\Delta}^{\perp}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}^{\perp}(\ddagger \mathcal{D}_{\Delta}^{\perp}) \end{array}$$