

COMMENTS ON “THE GEOMETRY OF FROBENIoids II”

SHINICHI MOCHIZUKI

February 2019

(1.) In the second line of the final display of Example 1.1, (ii), the notation “ $\text{ord}(K^\times) \subseteq \Phi(K)$ ” should read “ $\text{ord}(K^\times) \subseteq \Phi(K)^{\text{gp}}$ ”.

(2.) In the proof of Theorem 2.4, (ii), the notation “ $\mathcal{B}(G_i, G_i^\circ)$ ” should read “ $\mathcal{B}^{\text{temp}}(G_i, G_i^\circ)$ ”.

(3.) In the display following the phrase “the assignment” in Example 3.3, (i), the notation “ $\text{ord}(K) \cong \mathbb{R}_{>0} \cong \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ ” should read

$$\text{“ord}(\mathcal{O}_K^{\triangleright}) \cong \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\text{”}.$$

Also, in the explanation following this display, the phrase “[where the isomorphism $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is given by the natural logarithm], then” should read

“[where $\mathcal{O}_K^{\triangleright} \subseteq K^\times$ denotes the multiplicative submonoid of elements of norm ≤ 1 , and the isomorphism $\text{ord}(\mathcal{O}_K^{\triangleright}) \cong \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is given by *minus* the natural logarithm], then”.

(4.) The observation “Observe that all *real* objects of \mathcal{N}_0 are *isomorphic*.” at the beginning of Example 3.3, (iv), is correct as stated, but may be replaced by the *stronger* observation

“Observe that all *real* objects of \mathcal{N}_0 are *isomorphic*, and all morphisms between such objects are *isomorphisms*.”.

(5.) The following sentence should be added to the end of Definition 3.3, (iv):

Finally, we shall refer to as the *angular region* of an object of \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{N} , or \mathcal{R} the angular region of the object obtained by projecting the given object to \mathcal{C}_0 .

(6.) In the proof of Proposition 3.5, (iii), the notation “ ${}_C\mathcal{F}$ ” should read “ ${}_C\mathcal{G}[\mathbb{C}]$ ”.

(7.) In the first displayed diagram of the proof of Theorem 5.5, the notation “ Ψ^\square ” should read “ $(\Psi^{\text{Pf}})^{\text{birat}}$ ”.

(8.) In Example 5.6, (iii), (b), the phrase “for some group-like functor $\mathcal{D}_v \rightarrow \mathfrak{Mon}$ ” should read “for some group-like functor $\Phi_v^{\text{cnst}} : \mathcal{D}_v \rightarrow \mathfrak{Mon}$ ”.

(9.) In Example 1.1, (i), (ii), it is to be understood that $\Phi_0^{\mathbb{Z}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Phi_0$, $\mathbb{B}_0^{\mathbb{Z}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{B}_0$.

(10.) In the two lines of the final display of Example 1.1, (ii), the notation “ $\Phi(K)$ ” should be replaced by “ $\Phi(A)$ ”; the phrase “for every $\text{Spec}(K) \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D}_0)$, then” should be replaced by the phrase “for every $A \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D})$, where we denote the image of A in \mathcal{D}_0 by $\text{Spec}(K) \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D}_0)$, then”.

(11.) In the notation “ $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}_A}(B)$ ” that appears in Definition 3.1, (v), the “ B ” is to be understood as the object of \mathcal{F}_A determined by the morphism $B \rightarrow A$ of \mathcal{F} .

(12.) All tempered groups [hence also profinite groups that are regarded as tempered groups] (respectively, all [quasi-]temperoids) that appear in the present paper should be assumed to be equipped with a topology that admits a *countable basis* (respectively, assumed to be connected [quasi-]temperoids associated to such tempered groups). This assumption is necessary in order to ensure that the index sets of “*universal covering pro-objects*” implicit in the definition of the *tempered fundamental group* associated to a connected temperoid [cf. [Mzk2], Remark 3.2.1] may be taken to be *countable*. This countability of the index sets involved implies that the various objects that constitute such a universal covering pro-object admit a *compatible system of basepoints*, i.e., that the *obstruction* to the existence of such a compatible system — which may be thought of as an element of a sort of “non-abelian $\mathbb{R}^1 \varprojlim$ ” — *vanishes*. In order to define the tempered fundamental group in an intrinsically meaningful fashion, it is necessary to know the existence of such a compatible system of basepoints.

(13.) In Remark 3.5.1, the phrase “since, for instance in the case of” should read “since, for instance, in the case of”.

(14.) The following [essentially formal] modifications should be made to the proof of Proposition 3.4, (viii):

- (i) In the fourth paragraph of this proof: “On the other hand, β ” should read “On the other hand, if β is *not* an *isomorphism*, then β ”; “we conclude that ϕ ” should read “we conclude that if ϕ is *not* an *isomorphism*, then ϕ ”.
- (ii) In the fifth paragraph of this proof: “of FSMI-morphisms ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_n such that the domain of ϕ is equal to A ” should read “of a morphism ϕ_1 whose domain is equal to A with FSMI-morphisms ϕ_2, \dots, ϕ_n ”; “If ϕ_j projects” should read “If, for $j \geq 2$, ϕ_j projects”.

(iii) In the sixth paragraph of this proof: all instances of the term “FSMI-morphisms” should be replaced by the phrase “FSMI-morphisms/isomorphisms [i.e., morphisms which are either FSMI-morphisms or isomorphisms]”.

(15.) The following [essentially formal] modifications should be made to Definition 5.3, (v); Proposition 5.4; the statement and proof of Theorem 5.5, (iv):

- (i) In Definition 5.3, (v), the text “[where “pf” is defined whenever \mathfrak{C} is of *Frobenius-isotropic* type]” should read as follows: “[where “pf” is defined whenever \mathfrak{C} is of *Frobenius-isotropic* type; “birat” is defined whenever \mathfrak{C} is of *birationally Frobenius-normalized* type]”.
- (ii) In the statement and proof of Proposition 5.4, the term “*Frobenius-normalized*” should be replaced by the term “*birationally Frobenius-normalized*” (2 instances).
- (iii) In the statement of Theorem 5.5, (iv), the phrase “*of poly-non-group-like type*” should read “*of poly-non-group-like and poly-birationally Frobenius-normalized type*”. In the proof of Theorem 5.5, the text “*of standard, perfect*” should read “*of standard, birationally Frobenius-normalized* [cf. [Mzk5], Proposition 3.2, (ii)], *perfect*”.

Bibliography

- [FrdI] S. Mochizuki, The Geometry of Frobenioids I: The General Theory, *Kyushu J. Math.* **62** (2008), pp. 293-400.