# Equations defining a space curve 

Shigeru MUKAI

Let $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$ be a smooth irreducible complete algebraic curve of degree $d$ embedded in a projective 3 -space over an algebraically closed field $k$. It is called $n$-regular if $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C}(n-1)\right)=H^{2}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C}(n-2)\right)=0$, where $\mathcal{I}_{C}$ is the ideal sheaf of $C$. Among all this implies that the homogeneous ideal $I_{C}=\oplus_{l} H^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C}(l)\right) \subset k\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]$ is generated in degree $\leq n\left([7]\right.$, Lecture 14) and $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$ is (scheme-theoretically) an intersection of surfaces of degree $n$. A line $\ell \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$ is called an s-secant line if $\operatorname{deg}(\ell \cap C) \geq s$. If $C$ is an intersection of surfaces of degree $n$, then it has no $(n+1)$-secant lines. We say that the number of $s$-secant lines is Picard finite if the number of isomorphism classes of the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{C}(\ell \cap C)$, $\ell$ moving all $s$-secant lines, is finite. A line bundle $\xi$ on $C$ is called a $g_{s}^{2}$ if $\operatorname{deg} \xi=s$ and $h^{0}(\xi) \geq 3$. In this article, applying a vanishing of Raynaud type to a certain family of vector bundles on $C$, we shall show the following:

Theorem 1 Assume that $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$ has no $(n+1)$-secant lines and that $C$ has no $g_{s}^{2}$ of degree $s<d-n$. Then we have
(a) $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$ is an intersection of surfaces of degree $n$ if $n \geq d / 2$, and
(b) $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$ is $n$-regular if $n \geq d / 2+1$, if the number of $n$-secant lines is Picard finite and if there are only finitely many (isomorphism classes of) $g_{d-n}^{2}$ and at most 1 -dimensional families of $g_{d-n+1}^{2}$.

In the case $n=d-1$, (b) says that every non-planar curve is $(d-1)$-regular, which is Castelnuovo's theorem. (A curve with $(d-1)$-secant line is rational. Hence the number of its $(d-1)$-secant lines is Piacrd finite.) In the cases $n=d-2$ and $d-3$, (b) follows from the results of Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskine[4] and D'Almeida[2].

We prove the theorem by constructing an $N \times n$-matrix $R=\left(f_{i j}\right)$ whose entries $f_{i j}$ are linear forms on $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ and such that all $n$-minors vanish on $C$, where we put $N=3 n-d \geq n$. Such a matrix $R$ is equivalent to a complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\phi} n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}} \longrightarrow i_{*} \zeta \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

of coherent sheaves on $\mathbf{P}^{3}$, where $\zeta$ is a line bundle on $C$ and $i: C \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{3}$ is the natural inclusion. For every point $p \in \mathbf{P}^{3}$, we find such a complex, with suitable $\zeta$, which is exact at $p$. Then the $n$-th exterior product

$$
\bigwedge_{n}^{n} \phi:\binom{N}{n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathcal{I}_{C} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}
$$

is a surjection onto the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{C}$ at $p$. Thus a global section of $\mathcal{I}_{C}(n)$ not vanishing at $p$ will be obtained as an $n$-minor of $R$, which is our proof of (a).

In order to prove (b), we construct one complex (1) which is exact except a finite subset $\bar{Q} \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$ and follow the argument of $\S 1$ in [4]. Let $K^{\bullet}$ be the Eagon-Northcott complex

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \longrightarrow \Lambda^{N} E \otimes S^{N-n} F^{\vee} \longrightarrow \Lambda^{N-1} E \otimes S^{N-n-1} F^{\vee} \longrightarrow \cdots \\
& \cdots \longrightarrow \Lambda^{n+2} E \otimes S^{2} F^{\vee} \longrightarrow \Lambda^{n+1} E \otimes F^{\vee} \longrightarrow \Lambda^{n} E \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

of $\phi$, where $E=N \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-1)$ and $F=n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}$. Then each vector bundle $\wedge^{j} E \otimes S^{j-n} F^{\vee}$, $j=N, N-1, \ldots, n+1, n$, is a direct sum of a certain number of copies of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-j)$. The complex $K^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathcal{I}_{C} \longrightarrow 0$ is exact outside $C \cup \bar{Q}$ and $\epsilon$ is surjective outside $\bar{Q}$, which shows the $n$-regularity of $C$.

The author is grateful to the Institute Henri Poincaré where this article was partly prepared during his stay in the spring of 1995, and to the University of Warwick where this work was completed.
$\S 1$. Let $C$ be a smooth curve in an $m$-dimensional projective space $\mathbf{P}^{m}, m \geq 3$, and $\pi: B l_{C} \mathbf{P}^{m} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{m}$ the blowing up with center $C$. We denote the exceptional divisor by $D$ and the the natural inclusion morphism $D \hookrightarrow B l_{C} \mathbf{P}^{m}$ by $j$. Then $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{m}$ is an intersection of hypersurfaces of degree $n$ if and only if the the complete linear system $|n H-D|$ is free (from base points), where $H$ is the pull-back of the hyperplane class of $\mathbf{P}^{r}$. More precisely the sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{C}(n)$ is generated by global sections at $p$ if and only if $|n H-D|$ is free on $\pi^{-1}(p)$. In order to show it, we construct a complex

$$
N \mathcal{O}_{B l \mathbf{P}}(-H) \xrightarrow{\phi} n \mathcal{O}_{B l \mathbf{P}} \xrightarrow{\psi} j_{*} L \longrightarrow 0,
$$

for a suitable line bundle $L$ on $D$, using a family of vector bundles $\left\{E_{x}\right\}$ on $C$ parameterized by the blow-up $B l_{C} \mathbf{P}^{m}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{P}}(1) \longrightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}} \xrightarrow{e v} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the universal exact sequence on $\mathbf{P}^{m}$. Here, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1), V, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}}$ and $e v$ are the tautological line bundle, the ( $m+1$ )-dimensional space $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{m}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right)$ of linear forms, the cotangent bundle and the evaluation homomorphism, respectively. Taking dual, we have the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-1) \longrightarrow V^{*} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}} \longrightarrow T_{\mathbf{P}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Hence the space of global sections of $T_{\mathbf{P}}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ on $\mathbf{P}^{m} \times \mathbf{P}^{m}$, the outer tensor of two bundles $T_{\mathbf{P}}(-1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$, is isomorphic to $\operatorname{End}(V)$. Moreover, if $s$ is the global section corresponding to an automorphism $f \in G L(V)$, then its zero locus $(s)_{0} \subset \mathbf{P}^{m} \times \mathbf{P}^{m}$ is the graph of the automorphism of $\mathbf{P}^{m}$ induced from $f$. In particular, taking $f$ to be the identity, we have the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\mathbf{P}}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ is the diagonal of $\mathbf{P}^{m} \times \mathbf{P}^{m}$.

We denote the restriction of (3) to $C$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C} \xrightarrow{e v} \mathcal{O}_{C}(1) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the pull-back of the exact sequence (4) by the morphism $i \times \pi: C \times B l_{C} \mathbf{P}^{m} \longrightarrow$ $\mathbf{P}^{m} \times \mathbf{P}^{m}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{B l \mathbf{P}}(-H) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{O}_{C \times B l \mathbf{P}} \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscheme $\tilde{D} \subset C \times B l_{C} \mathbf{P}^{m}$ is the pull-back of the diagonal $\Delta$ and coincides with the image of $\left(\left.\pi\right|_{D}, j\right): D \longrightarrow C \times B l_{C} \mathbf{P}^{m}$. Since $\tilde{D}$ is of codimension two in $C \times B l_{C} \mathbf{P}^{m}$, the kernel of $\Phi$ is locally free. We denote this rank $m-1$ vector bundle by $\mathcal{E}$ and its restriction to $C \times x$ by $E_{x}$ for $x \in B l_{C} \mathbf{P}^{m}$. By the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow M \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{B l \mathbf{P}}(-H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{D}} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{C}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{B I \mathbf{P}}(-H) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{det} E_{x} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{C}(-1) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x$.
Let $\zeta$ be a line bundle on $C$. Take the tensor product of (6) with the pull-back of $\zeta$, and take the direct image by the projection $p_{2}$ onto $B l_{C} \mathbf{P}^{m}$. Then we obtain the complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2 *}\left((6) \otimes p_{1}^{*} \zeta\right): H^{0}(C, M \otimes \zeta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{B l \mathbf{P}}(-H) \xrightarrow{\phi} H^{0}(C, \zeta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{B l \mathbf{P}} \xrightarrow{\psi} j_{*}\left(\left.\pi\right|_{D}\right)^{*} \zeta . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1 This complex is exact at $x \in B l_{C} \mathbf{P}^{n}$ if $H^{1}\left(C, E_{x} \otimes \zeta\right)=0$.
Proof. The kernel of $\psi$ coincides with the direct image $p_{2 *}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\tilde{D}} \otimes p_{1}^{*} \zeta\right)$ by virtue of the exact sequence

$$
\left[0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{D}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C \times B l \mathbf{P}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}} \longrightarrow 0\right] \otimes p_{1}^{*} \zeta
$$

Taking the direct image of $(7) \otimes p_{1}^{*} \zeta$ by $p_{2}$, we have the exact sequence

$$
H^{0}(C, M \otimes \zeta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{B l \mathbf{P}}(-H) \xrightarrow{\alpha} p_{2 *}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\tilde{D}} \otimes p_{1}^{*} \zeta\right) \longrightarrow R^{1} p_{2 *}\left(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_{1}^{*} \zeta\right) .
$$

By assumption and the base change theorem, the first direct image $R^{1} p_{2 *}\left(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_{1}^{*} \zeta\right)$ is zero at $x$. Hence, $\alpha$ is surjective there.

Definition For a point $p \in \mathbf{P}^{n}, V_{p}$ is the space of linear forms vanishing at $p$,

$$
e v_{p}: V_{p} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}(1)
$$

is the restriction of the homomorphism $e v$ in (5) to $V_{p}$ and $M_{p}$ is its kernel.

The homomorphism $e v_{p}$ is surjective if $p \notin C$ and a surjection onto $\mathfrak{m}_{p}(1)$ if $p \in C$, where $\mathfrak{m}_{p}=\mathcal{O}_{C}(-p)$ is the maximal ideal at $p$. Hence $\operatorname{det} M_{p}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{C}(-1)$ or $\mathcal{O}_{C}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(p)$ according or $p \notin C$ or $p \in C$.

Let $x$ be a point of $B l_{C} \mathbf{P}^{n}$ and $\phi_{x}: M \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}$ the restriction of the homomorphism $\Phi$ in (6) to $C \times x$. We put $p=\pi(x)$. Then the kernel of $\phi_{x}$ is isomorphic to $M_{p}$ and there is a natural injection $E_{x} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \phi_{x}$, which is an isomorphism if $x \notin D$. If $x \in D$, two vector bundles $E_{x}$ and $M_{p}$ of the same rank differ but only at $p$, the unique intersection of $\tilde{D}$ and $C \times x$. Since $\operatorname{det} E_{x} \simeq\left(\operatorname{det} M_{p}\right)(-p)$ by (8), we have the following:

Lemma 2 The vector bundle $E_{x}=\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{C \times x}$ is isomorphic to $M_{p}$ if $x \notin D$ and to the kernel of a nonzero homomorphism $M_{p} \longrightarrow k(p)$ to the sky-scraper sheaf if $x \in D$.

Assume that $x \in D$ and let

$$
0 \longrightarrow M_{p} \longrightarrow V_{p} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{p}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

be the defining exact sequence of the vector bundle $M_{p}$. By the tensor product

$$
0 \longrightarrow M_{p} \otimes k(p) \longrightarrow V_{p} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{p} / \mathfrak{m}_{p}^{2} \longrightarrow 0
$$

with the skyscraper sheaf $k(p)$, we identify the fiber of $M_{p}$ at $p$ with the conormal space of $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{n}$ at $p$. Thus $x$ corresponds a surjective homomorphism $\gamma_{x}: M_{p} \longrightarrow k(p)$.

Proposition 1 If $x \in D$, the vector bundle $E_{x}$ is isomorphic to the kernel of this homomorphism $\gamma_{x}: M_{p} \longrightarrow k(p)$.

We omit the proof since we don't need this for the proof of Theorem 1.
§2. We return to the case $m=3$ and prove Theorem 1. The following is a variant of Raynaud's vanishing [9] for rank two bundles.

Proposition 2 Let $F$ be a rank two vector bundle on a smooth curve $C$. If $\chi(F) \leq 0$ and $\chi(\xi) \leq 0$ for every line subbundle $\xi$ of $F$, then $H^{0}(F \otimes \mu)$ vanishes for a general line bundle $\mu$ of degree 0 .

For the proof and the later use, we introduce the subset

$$
S(F)=\left\{\mu \mid \operatorname{deg} \mu=0, H^{0}(F \otimes \mu) \neq 0\right\} \subset \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C)
$$

for a vector bundle $F$ on $C . S(F)=\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C)$ if $\chi(F)>0 . S(\xi)$ is birationally equivalent to the $e$-th symmetric product of $C$ if $\xi$ is a line bundle of degree $e \leq g$. In particular, we have $\operatorname{dim} S(\xi) \leq \operatorname{deg} \xi$. If $\xi$ is a line subbundle of $F$, then $S(\xi)$ is a subset of $S(F)$. We denote the union of $S(\xi)$ for all line subbundles $\xi \subset F$ of degree $e$ by $S_{e}(F)$. Obviously $S(F)$ is the union of $S_{e}(F)$ for all (nonnegative) integers $e$.

Let $\mu \in S_{e}(F)$. Then there exists an effective divisor $D$ of degree $e$ such that $\mu^{-1}(D)$ is a line subbundle of $F$. Consider the deformation of the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mu^{-1}(D) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \alpha \longrightarrow 0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the quot scheme of $F$ or the Hilbert scheme of the $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ bundle $P$ over $C$ associated with $F$. We denote the line bundle $\operatorname{det}(F \otimes \mu(-D))$ by $\beta$. This is the normal bundle of the section of $P$ corresponding to (10). Therefore, the space of the first order infinitesimal deformations of $(10)$ is canonically isomorphic to $H^{0}(\beta) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mu^{-1}(D), \alpha\right)$. Hence we have $\operatorname{dim}_{\mu} S_{e}(F) \leq h^{0}(\beta)+e$. Since $\operatorname{deg} \beta=\operatorname{deg} F-2 e$, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mu} S_{e}(F) \begin{cases}\leq e & \text { if } H^{0}(\beta)=0  \tag{11}\\ =\operatorname{deg} F+1-g-e & \text { if } H^{1}(\beta)=0, \\ =\frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{deg} F-\operatorname{Cliff} \beta)+1 & \text { if } \beta \text { is special }\end{cases}
$$

by the Riemann-Roch theorem, where Cliff $\beta$ is the Clifford index $\operatorname{deg} \beta-2 \operatorname{dim}|\beta|$.
Proof of Proposition 2. If $C$ is rational, then $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}\right)$ is positive. Hence the assumption $\chi(\xi) \leq 0$ directly implies $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}, F\right)=0$. Therefore, we assume that the genus $g$ of $C$ is positive and prove that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mu} S_{e}(F) \leq g-1$ for every $0 \leq e \leq g-1$ and $\mu \in S_{e}(F)$. Put $\beta=\operatorname{det}(F \otimes \mu(-D))$ as above. By our assumption $\operatorname{deg} F \leq 2 g-2$, (11) becomes

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mu} S_{e}(F) \leq \begin{cases}g-1 & \text { if } H^{0}(\beta)=0 \\ g-1-e & \text { if } H^{1}(\beta)=0, \text { and } \\ g-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Cliff} \beta & \text { if } \beta \text { is special. }\end{cases}
$$

Hence the assertion is obvious if $\beta$ is special. Assume that $\beta$ is special. Then, by Clifford's theorem, Cliff $\beta$ is nonnegative. Moreover, it is zero if and only if $\beta \simeq \mathcal{O}_{C}, K_{C}$ or $C$ is hyperelliptic and $\beta$ is a multiple of the unique $g_{2}^{1}$ (e.g., see [5] Chap.IV §5). Here $K_{C}$ is the canonical line bundle of $C$. In particular, there are only finitely many special line bundles of Clifford index zero. Hence we have $\operatorname{dim}_{\mu} S(F) \leq g-1$ except at a finite number of $\mu$ 's. Since $g \geq 1$, we have $\operatorname{dim} S(F) \leq g-1$ everywhere.

Let $\zeta_{0}$ be a fixed line bundle with $\chi\left(\zeta_{0}\right)=n$ and apply the proposition to $F=$ $K_{C} \otimes E^{\vee} \otimes \zeta_{0}$. Then, by the Serre duality, we have

Corollary 1 Let $E$ be a rank two vector bundle on a smooth curve C. If $\operatorname{deg} E \geq-2 n$ and $\operatorname{deg} \alpha \geq-n$ for every quotient line bundle $\alpha$ of $E$, then $H^{1}(E \otimes \zeta)$ vanishes for a general line bundle $\zeta$ with $\chi(\zeta)=n$.

Note that the assumption on quotient line bundles $\alpha$ is equivalent to the vanishing of $H^{0}(E \otimes \xi)$ for every line bundle $\xi$ of degree $<-\operatorname{deg} E-n$. We apply the corollary to $E=E_{x}$. In this case, the vanishing of $H^{0}\left(E_{x} \otimes \xi\right)$ follows from that of

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{0}\left(\xi \otimes M_{p}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left[H^{0}\left(\xi \otimes e v_{p}\right): H^{0}(\xi) \otimes V_{p} \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(\xi \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(1)\right]\right. \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Lemma 2. Here we give two examples of line bundles $\xi$ for which $H^{0}\left(\xi \otimes M_{p}\right) \neq 0$.

Example i) If $\ell$ is a line passing through $p$ and $F=C \cap \ell$, then $H^{0}\left(\xi \otimes M_{p}\right) \neq 0$ for $\xi=\mathcal{O}_{C}(-F) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(1)$. In fact, the skew-symmetric part $\wedge^{2} \bar{V}_{\ell}$ of $\bar{V}_{\ell} \otimes \bar{V}_{\ell} \subset H^{0}(\xi) \otimes V_{p}$ is contained in $H^{0}\left(\xi \otimes M_{p}\right)$, where $\bar{V}_{\ell}$ is the space of linear forms vanishing along $\ell$ regarded as subspaces of $H^{0}(\xi)$ and $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}(1)\right)$.
ii) Let $R_{3} \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$ be a twisted cubic passing through $p$ and $F$ the intersection $C \cap R_{3}$. Then $H^{0}\left(\xi \otimes M_{p}\right) \neq 0$ for $\xi=\mathcal{O}_{C}(-F) \otimes O_{C}(2)$. In fact, choose a system of homogeneous coordinates $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right)$ such that $p=(1000)$ and $R_{3}$ is defined by three minors $q_{12}(x), q_{13}(x)$, and $q_{23}(x)$ of the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{lll}x_{0} & x_{1} & x_{2} \\ x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3}\end{array}\right)$. Then $\xi$ is generated by three global sections $\bar{q}_{12}, \bar{q}_{13}$ and $\bar{q}_{23}$ corresponding to the minors and the tensor $\bar{q}_{23} \otimes \bar{x}_{1}-\bar{q}_{13} \otimes \bar{x}_{2}+\bar{q}_{23} \otimes \bar{x}_{3}$ is contained in $H^{0}\left(\xi \otimes M_{p}\right)$.

Note that in both examples $H^{0}\left(\xi \otimes M_{p}\right) \neq 0$ for infinitely many $p$.
Let $w$ be a tensor in the kernel $H^{0}(\xi \otimes M)$ of the multiplication map

$$
H^{0}(\xi \otimes e v): H^{0}(\xi) \otimes V \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(\xi \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(1)\right)
$$

Then $w$ is expressed as $\sum_{i=1}^{r} s_{i} \otimes \bar{f}_{i}$ for an integer $0 \leq r \leq 4$, where $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}\right\}$ is a basis of $V$ and $s_{i}$ 's are linearly independent global sections of $\xi$. This number $r$ is independent of the choice of basis. In fact, it is equal to the rank of the homomorphism $V^{\vee} \longrightarrow H^{0}(\xi)$ induced from $w$. So we call it the rank of $w$. Since $C$ is irreducible, $r$ is not equal to 1 . If $w$ belongs to $H^{0}\left(\xi \otimes M_{p}\right)$, then its rank $r$ is equal to 0,1 or 2 .

Lemma 3 Assume that a tensor $w \in H^{0}(\xi \otimes M)$ is of rank 2. Then we have
(1) there exists a line $\ell \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-F), \xi\right) \neq 0$, where $F=\ell \cap C$ is the intersection divisor,
(2) $w$ belongs to $H^{0}\left(\xi \otimes M_{p}\right)$ if and only if the line $\ell$ passes through $p$, and
(3) $w \in H^{0}\left(\xi \otimes E_{x}\right)$ if and only if the strict transform of $\ell$ passes through $x$.

Proof. The tensor $w$ is equal to $s_{1} \otimes \bar{f}_{1}+s_{2} \otimes \bar{f}_{2}$. Let $\ell$ be the line defined by $f_{1}(x)=f_{2}(x)=0$. Then $F$ is the common zero locus of $\bar{f}_{1}$ and $\bar{f}_{2} \in H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}(1)\right)$ and we have the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(F) \stackrel{\left(f_{2},-f_{1}\right)}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_{C} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{C} \xrightarrow{\binom{f_{1}}{f_{2}}} \mathcal{O}_{C}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-F) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Tensor with $\xi$ and take the global sections. Then we have $H^{0}\left(\xi \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(F)\right) \neq 0$, which shows (1). (2) is obvious since $w \in H^{0}\left(\xi \otimes M_{p}\right)$ is equivalent to $f_{1}$ and $f_{2} \in V_{p}$. (3) follows from Proposition 1.

Now we prove Theorem 1(a). Let $x$ be an arbitrary point of $B l_{C} \mathbf{P}^{3}$ and $\alpha$ a quotient line bundle of $E_{x}$. Then, by (8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \neq \operatorname{Hom}\left(E_{x}, \alpha\right) \simeq H^{0}\left(E_{x} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(1) \otimes \alpha\right) \subset H^{0}\left(M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(1) \otimes \alpha\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $w$ be a nonzero tensor in it. If $w$ is of rank 2 , then $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}(-\ell \cap C), \alpha\right) \neq 0$ for some line $\ell$ by the above lemma. Hence we have $\operatorname{deg} \alpha \geq-\operatorname{deg}(\ell \cap C) \geq-n$ by our assumption. If $w$ is of rank 3 , then we have $\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}(1) \otimes \alpha\right) \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{O}_{C}(1) \otimes \alpha \geq d-n$ by our assumption. Hence we have proved $\operatorname{deg} \alpha \geq-n$. Since $\operatorname{deg} E_{x}=-d \geq-2 n, H^{1}\left(E_{x} \otimes \zeta\right)$ vanishes for a general line bundle $\zeta$ with $\chi(\zeta)=n$ by Corollary 1. So the complex (9) is exact at $x$ by Lemma 1 . Since $\chi(\zeta)=n>0$ and $\zeta$ is general, $\psi$ is surjective at $\pi(x)$. Since $\zeta$ is general, we also have $H^{1}(\zeta)=0$ and $\operatorname{dim} H^{0}(\zeta)=n$. Thus we obtain the complex

$$
H^{0}(M \otimes \zeta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{B l \mathbf{P}}(-H) \xrightarrow{\phi} n \mathcal{O}_{B l \mathbf{P}} \xrightarrow{\psi} j_{*}\left(\left.\pi\right|_{D}\right)^{*} \zeta \longrightarrow 0,
$$

which is exact at $x$. Hence, as we saw in the introduction, $x$ is not a base point of the linear system $|n H-D|$.

Let $\Sigma_{n+1} \subset B l_{C} \mathbf{P}^{3}$ be the union of the strict transforms of all $(n+1)$-secant lines and the total transforms of all $(n+2)$-secant lines. Proposition 1 improve Theorem 1 as follows:

Theorem 2 Assume that $2 n \geq d$ and that $C$ has no $g_{s}^{2}$ of degree $s<d-n$. Then the linear system $|n H-D|$ is free outside $\Sigma_{n+1}$.

Proof. Assume $x \notin \Sigma_{n+1}$ and let $\alpha$ be a quotient line bundle of $E_{x}$. Then by (3) of Lemma 3 and by the non-existence of $g_{s}^{2}$, we have $\operatorname{deg} \alpha \geq-n$. Hence by the same argument as above the linear system $|n H-D|$ is free at $x$.

We give two remarks on the special case $d=2 n$ of Theorem 1(a). Firstly the proof can be restated in terms of moduli and the determinant line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on it if $g(C) \geq 2$. In fact, the vector bundle $E_{x}$ is semi-stable for every $x$ and we have the classification morphism

$$
f: B l_{C} \mathbf{P}^{3} \longrightarrow \bar{M}_{C}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{C}(-1)\right), \quad x \mapsto\left[E_{x}\right]
$$

of $\mathcal{E}$ to the moduli space of semi-stable rank two vector bundles of determinant $\mathcal{O}_{C}(-1)$ on $C$. For every line bundle $\zeta$ with $\chi(\zeta)=n$, the subset $\left\{E \mid H^{0}(E \otimes \zeta) \neq 0\right\}$ with suitable multiplicity is the zero locus of a global section of $\mathcal{L}$. Hence $\mathcal{L}$ is free by Raynaud's vanishing (cf. [1]). The line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{B l \mathbf{P}}(n H-D)$ is free since it is isomorphic to the pull-back of $\mathcal{L}$ by $f$. In the case $d$ odd, the determinant line bundle of $M_{C}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{C}(-1)\right)$, which is a positive generator of the Picard group, is also free and pulled back to $\mathcal{O}_{B I \mathbf{P}}(d H-2 D)$.

The second remark is concerned with the condition on $g_{s}^{2}$. An irreducible curve $Z \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$ of degree $a$ with $\operatorname{deg}(Z \cap C) \geq a n+1$, e.g., a $(3 n+1)$-secant twisted cubic, is a potential obstruction for $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$ to be an intersection of surfaces of degree $n$, or more precisely, for the divisor $n H-D$ to be nef. As we saw in Example ii), if $R_{3} \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$ is an $s$-secant twisted cubic, then $\xi=\mathcal{O}_{C}(-F) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(2)$ is a linear net of degree $2 d-s$. Hence the non-existence of $g_{n-1}^{2}$, assumed in Theorem 1, directly forbids a $(3 n+1)$-secant twisted cubic.
§3. We prove Theorem 1(b) using the following generalization of Proposition 2.
Proposition 3 Let $F$ be a rank two vector bundle on a curve $C$ of genus $g$ and $c$ a nonnegative integer. If $\chi(F) \leq-c$, then $\operatorname{dim} S(F) \leq g-c-1$ outside the following two subvarieties.
a) the union of $S_{e}(F)$ for $e \geq g-c$ and
b) a closed proper subvariety $B$ which depends on $C$ and $\operatorname{det} F$ but not on $F$.

Proof. There is nothing to prove if $g-c \leq 0$. So we assume that $g \geq c+1$. Let $V_{\operatorname{det} F} \subset \operatorname{Pic} C$ be the locus of line bundles $\xi$ of degree $<g-c$ such that $(\operatorname{det} F) \otimes \xi^{-2}$ is a special line bundle of Clifford index $\leq c$. By the theorem of Martens [6], the dimension of the locus of such special line bundles in Pic $C$ is at most $c$. Hence so is $\operatorname{dim} V_{\operatorname{det} F}$. We take as $B$ the union of $S(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in V_{\operatorname{det} F}$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} B=\operatorname{dim} V_{\operatorname{det} F}+\max \operatorname{dim} S(\xi) \leq c+(g-c-1)=g-1
$$

and $B$ is a proper closed subvariety. It suffices to show that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mu} S_{e}(F) \leq g-c-1$ for every $0 \leq e \leq g-c-1$ assuming $\mu \notin B$. By our assumption $\operatorname{deg} F \leq 2 g-2-c$, (11) becomes

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mu} S_{e}(F) \leq \begin{cases}g-c-1 & \text { if } H^{0}(\beta)=0 \\ g-c-1-e & \text { if } H^{1}(\beta)=0, \text { and } \\ g-\frac{1}{2}(c+\operatorname{Cliff} \beta) & \text { if } \beta \text { is special. }\end{cases}
$$

So the assertion follows from our assumption $\beta \notin B$ if $\beta$ is special and is obvious otherwise.

For a vector bundle $E$ on $C$, we set

$$
T(E)=\left\{\zeta \mid \chi(\zeta)=n \text { and } H^{1}(E \otimes \zeta) \neq 0\right\} \subset \operatorname{Pic}^{n+g-1}(C)
$$

If $\alpha$ is a line bundle, then $\operatorname{dim} T(\alpha)=\min \{g-n-\operatorname{deg} \alpha-1, g\}$. In particular $T(\alpha)$ is empty if $\operatorname{deg} \alpha \geq g-n$. If $\alpha$ is a quotient bundle of $F$, then $T(\alpha)$ is a subset of $T(F)$. We denote the union of $T(\alpha)$ for all quotient line bundles $\alpha$ of $F$ of degree $e$ by $T_{e}(F)$. $T(F)$ is the union of $T_{e}(F)$ for all integers $e$.

We apply the following, putting $c=2$, to the family $\left\{E_{x}\right\}_{x \in B I \mathbf{P}}$ constructed in $\S 1$.
Corollary 2 Let $E$ be a rank two vector bundle on a curve $C$ of genus $g$ and c a nonnegative integer. If $\operatorname{deg} E \geq-2 n+c$, then $\operatorname{dim} T(E) \leq g-c-1$ outside the following two subvarieties of $\mathrm{Pic}^{n+g-1}(C)$.
a) the union $A(E)$ of $T_{e}(E)$ for all $e \leq-n+c-1$ and
b) a closed proper subvariety $B$ which depends only on the curve $C$ and $\operatorname{det} E$.

Since $\operatorname{det} E_{x}$ is the same for every $x$, the subset $B$ in the corollary does not depend on $x$. For integers $i$ and $r$, we set $Y_{i}^{(r)}=\left\{\alpha \mid \operatorname{deg} \alpha=-n+i\right.$ and $H^{0}\left(M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(1) \otimes \alpha\right)$ contains a tensor of rank $\left.r\right\}$.
and $Y_{i}=Y_{i}^{(2)} \cup Y_{i}^{(3)}$ in $\mathrm{Pic}^{-n+i} C$.
Claim: $\operatorname{dim} Y_{i} \leq i$ for every $i \leq 1(=c-1)$.
By Lemma 3, $Y_{i}^{(2)}$ coincides with the set of isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{O}_{C}(-\ell \cap C)$ for all lines $\ell$ with $\operatorname{deg} \ell \cap C=n-i$. Hence, by our assumption, $Y_{i}^{(2)}$ is empty for negative $i$ and $\operatorname{dim} Y_{0}^{(2)} \leq 0$. Our claim for $i=1$ is trivial if $g \leq 1$. Hence we assume $g \geq 2$. This implies $d \geq 5$ and $n \geq 4$. Since a general secant line is not a 3 -secant line ([5], Chap. IV $\S 3$ ), we have $\operatorname{dim} Y_{1}^{(2)} \leq 1$.If $\alpha$ belongs to $Y_{i}^{(3)}$, then $\mathcal{O}_{C}(1) \otimes \alpha$ is a $g_{d-n+i}^{2}$. Hence, by our assumption, $Y_{i}^{(3)}$ is empty for negative $i$ and $\operatorname{dim} Y_{i}^{(3)} \leq i$ for $i=0$ and 1.

By (13), every quotient line bundle $\alpha$ of $E_{x}$ of degree $-n+i$ belongs to $Y_{i}$. Hence the subvariety $A\left(E_{x}\right)$ in Corollary 2 is a subset of the union $\mathcal{A}$ of $T(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \bigcup_{i \leq 1} Y_{i}$. Since $\operatorname{dim} T(\alpha) \leq g-1-i$ for every $\alpha \in Y_{i}$, we have $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A} \leq g-1$ by the claim, and $\mathcal{A}$ is a proper closed subvariety of $\mathrm{Pic}^{n+g-1} C$. Since $\operatorname{deg} E_{x}=-d \geq-2 n+2$ by our assumption, we have $\operatorname{dim} T\left(E_{x}\right) \leq g-3$ outside $\mathcal{A} \cup B$ for every $x$ by Corollary 2 . Hence the dimension of the subvariety

$$
\mathcal{T}:=\left\{(x, \zeta) \mid H^{1}\left(E_{x} \otimes \zeta\right) \neq 0, \zeta \notin \mathcal{A} \cup B\right\} \subset \coprod_{x \in B l \mathbf{P}} T\left(E_{x}\right) \subset B l_{C} \mathbf{P}^{3} \times \operatorname{Pic}^{n+g-1} C
$$

is at most $g$ and the projection of $\mathcal{T}$ onto $\mathrm{Pic}^{n+g-1} C$ is generically finite. It follows that the fiber

$$
Q_{\zeta}=\left\{x \in B l_{C} \mathbf{P}^{3} \mid H^{1}\left(E_{x} \otimes \zeta\right) \neq 0\right\}
$$

is finite for a general line bundle $\zeta$ with $\chi(\zeta)=n$. Thus the complex (9) is exact outside $Q_{\zeta}$ by Lemma 1. This means that we have a complex (1) on $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ which is exact off the image $\bar{Q}_{\zeta}$. Since $\chi(\zeta) \geq 2, \zeta$ is free and $\psi$ is surjective. Hence the Eagon-Northcott complex (2)

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \longrightarrow a_{N} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-N) \longrightarrow a_{N-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-N+1) \longrightarrow \cdots \\
\cdots \longrightarrow a_{n+2} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-n-2) \longrightarrow a_{n+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-n-1) \longrightarrow a_{n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-n) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathcal{I}_{C} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

is exact outside $C \cup \bar{Q}_{\zeta}$ (cf. [3] or Appendix $C$ of [8]). Hence the image $\mathcal{J}$ of $\epsilon$ is $n$-regular. Since the quotient $\mathcal{J} / \mathcal{I}_{C}$ is supported by the finite set $\bar{Q}$, so is $\mathcal{I}_{C}$. So we have completed the proof of Theorem 1(b).

Assume that $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$ is irreducible and reduced and let $i: \tilde{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{3}$ be its normalization. We define an $s$-secant line $\ell$ of $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$ by $\operatorname{deg} F \geq s$, where $F \subset \tilde{C}$ is the common zero locus of the pull-backs $\bar{f}_{1}$ and $\bar{f}_{2} \in H^{0}\left(\tilde{C}, i^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right)$ of the defining linear forms $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ of $\ell$. Then Theorem 1(b) holds true for a singular curve if we understand a $g_{s}^{2}$ to be a line bundle with $h^{0} \geq 3$ on the nomalization $\tilde{C}$. The proof is almost the same: Let $B l_{C}^{0} \mathbf{P}^{3}$ be the complement of $\pi^{-1} \operatorname{Sing} C$ in $B l_{C} \mathbf{P}^{3}$. Then we can construct the rank two vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on the product $\tilde{C} \times B l_{C}^{0} \mathbf{P}^{3}$, or the family $\left\{E_{x}\right\}$ of vector bundles on $\tilde{C}$ parameterized by $B l_{C}^{0} \mathbf{P}^{3}$, similarly. Let $\zeta$ be a general member
of $\mathrm{Pic}^{n+g-1} \tilde{C}$. Then the complex (9) is exact on $B l_{C}^{0} \mathbf{P}^{3} \backslash Q_{\zeta}$. The Eagon-Northcott complex (14) is exact outside $\bar{Q}_{\zeta} \cup C$ and $\epsilon$ is surjective outside $\bar{Q}_{\zeta} \cup \operatorname{Sing} C$, which is still a finite set. Hence $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$ is $n$-regular.
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