

Categorical Approach to Logic

Lecture 1

Shin-ya Katsumata

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University

Kisoron Summer School, August 2013

Category

A **category** \mathbb{C} consists of:

- a class or set \mathbb{C}_0 of **objects**,
- a class or set \mathbb{C}_1 of **morphisms**,
- mappings $\text{dom}, \text{cod}: \mathbb{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_0$ giving a **domain** and **codomain** to each morphism,
- $\text{id}: \mathbb{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_1$ giving the **identity** to each object,
- $-\circ-: \{(g, f) \mid \text{dom}(g) = \text{cod}(f)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_1$ called **composition**.

Category

We stop to introduce some notations:

$$\begin{aligned} I \in \mathbb{C} & \dots I \in \mathbb{C}_1 \\ f: I \rightarrow J & \dots \text{dom}(f) = I \wedge \text{cod}(f) = J \\ \mathbb{C}(I, J) & \dots \{f \in \mathbb{C}_1 \mid f: I \rightarrow J\} \end{aligned}$$

We proceed the definition of category: the above data satisfy

$$\text{id}_I: I \rightarrow I$$

$$f: I \rightarrow J \wedge g: J \rightarrow K \Rightarrow g \circ f: I \rightarrow K$$

$$f \circ \text{id}_I = \text{id}_J \circ f = f \quad (f: I \rightarrow J)$$

$$(\mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g}) \circ \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{h} \circ (\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}) \quad (f: I \rightarrow J, g: J \rightarrow K, h: K \rightarrow L)$$

Examples of Categories

\mathbb{C}	$I \in \mathbb{C}$	$\mathbb{C}(I, J)$
Set	set	functions
Rel	set	binary relations
Mon	monoid	monoid homomorphisms
Vect	vector space	linear functions
Pre	preorder	monotone functions
Top	topological space	continuous functions
Cat	small categorie	functors
\mathbb{C}^{op}	I	$\mathbb{C}(J, I)$
$\mathbb{C}_1 \times \mathbb{C}_2$	(I_1, I_2) with $I_i \in \mathbb{C}_i$	$\mathbb{C}_1(I_1, J_1) \times \mathbb{C}_2(I_2, J_2)$

Examples of Categories

A category generated from a single mathematical object:

- For a monoid $(M, 1, \cdot)$, define $\mathbb{C}_0 = \{*\}$ and $\mathbb{C}_1 = M$ with

$$\text{id}_* = 1, \quad g \circ f = g \cdot f.$$

We obtain a category $C(M, 1, \cdot)$.

- For a preorder (P, \leq) , define $\mathbb{C}_0 = P$ and $\mathbb{C}_1 = \leq$ with

$$\text{id}_I = (I, I), \quad (J, K) \circ (I, J) = (I, K).$$

We obtain a category $C(P, \leq)$.

Below we treat preordered sets / posets as categories.

Size of Categories

We say that a category \mathbb{C} is:

- **small** if \mathbb{C}_1 is a set (hence \mathbb{C}_0 is also a set).
- **locally small** if every $\mathbb{C}(I, J)$ is a set.
- **thin** if every $\mathbb{C}(I, J)$ has cardinality at most 1.

Proposition 1. *Thin small categories bijectively correspond to preorders.*

Set, Rel, Mon, Vect, Pre, Top are all locally small.

Isomorphism

A morphism $g: J \rightarrow I$ is an **inverse** of $f: I \rightarrow J$ if

$$g \circ f = \text{id}_I, \quad f \circ g = \text{id}_J.$$

Proposition 2. *If $f: I \rightarrow J$ has an inverse, it is unique.*

A morphism $f: I \rightarrow J$ is an **isomorphism** if f has an inverse.

Two objects $I, J \in \mathbb{C}$ are **isomorphic** ($I \cong J$) if there exists an isomorphism $f: I \rightarrow J$.

Slogan in category theory: **isomorphic objects are the same.**

Terminal Object in a Category

A **terminal object** in \mathbb{C} consists of:

1. $T \in \mathbb{C}$.
2. $!_I: I \rightarrow T$ for every $I \in \mathbb{C}$.

They satisfy: $\mathbb{C}(I, T) = \{!_I\}$.

Lemma 3. $\text{id}_T = !_T$.

Proposition 4. *If $(T, !)$ and $(T', !')$ are terminal objects in \mathbb{C} , then $T \cong T'$.*

- Any one-point set with the trivial structure on it is a terminal object in Set, Mon, Vect, Pre, Top. In Rel, \emptyset is.

Binary Product on a Category

A **binary product** on \mathbb{C} consists of $(I_1, I_2 \in \mathbb{C})$:

1. **(Binary) Product object** $I_1 \times I_2 \in \mathbb{C}$,
2. **Tuple** $\langle -, - \rangle_J^{I_1, I_2}: \mathbb{C}(J, I_1) \times \mathbb{C}(J, I_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(J, I_1 \times I_2)$,
3. **Projections** $\pi_1^{I_1, I_2}: I_1 \times I_2 \rightarrow I_1$ and $\pi_2^{I_1, I_2}: I_1 \times I_2 \rightarrow I_2$.

They satisfy (we omit object annotations):

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \circ h &= \langle f_1 \circ h, f_2 \circ h \rangle \\ \pi_i \circ \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle &= f_i \\ \langle \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle &= \text{id}.\end{aligned}$$

Binary Product on a Category

The tuple is actually a bijection:

$$\langle -, - \rangle_J^{I_1, I_2}: \mathbb{C}(J, I_1) \times \mathbb{C}(J, I_2) \cong \mathbb{C}(J, I_1 \times I_2).$$

Two binary products on \mathbb{C} give isomorphic product objects:

Proposition 5. *If $(- \times -, \langle -, - \rangle, \pi_1, \pi_2)$ and $(- \times' -, \langle -, - \rangle', \pi'_1, \pi'_2)$ are binary products on \mathbb{C} , then $I_1 \times I_2 \cong I_1 \times' I_2$.*

Proof. We obtain $\mathbb{C}(J, I_1 \times I_2) \cong \mathbb{C}(J, I_1 \times' I_2)$. Then let $J = I_1 \times I_2$ and $J = I_1 \times' I_2$ and trace $\text{id}_{I_1 \times I_2}$ and $\text{id}_{I_1 \times' I_2}$. \square

Finite / Small Products on a Category

We extend the definition of binary products to n -ary / set-indexed products (What is 0-ary product?).

Finite products on \mathbb{C} consists of n -ary products for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. (We assume that 1-ary product satisfy $\prod (I) = I$).

From a terminal object and a binary product on \mathbb{C} , we can construct finite products on \mathbb{C} :

$$\prod (I_1, \dots, I_n) = (\dots(I_1 \times I_2) \times I_3 \dots) \times I_n.$$

Small products on \mathbb{C} consists of I -ary products for all $I \in \text{Set}$.

- Set, Rel, Mon, Vect, Pre, Top, Cat have small products.

Finite / Small Products on a Category

In a category \mathbb{C} with finite products, we can consider **algebraic structures** determined by **finite-arity operations** and **equational axioms**, such as monoids, groups, rings, vector spaces, etc.

- A **monoid** in \mathbb{C} with finite products consists of $M \in \mathbb{C}$, $e: 1 \rightarrow M$, $m: M^2 \rightarrow M$ such that

$$m \circ \langle \text{id}_M, e \circ !_M \rangle = \text{id}_M$$

$$m \circ \langle e \circ !_M, \text{id}_M \rangle = \text{id}_M$$

$$m \circ \langle m \circ \langle \pi_1^{M^3}, \pi_2^{M^3} \rangle, \pi_3^{M^3} \rangle = m \circ \langle \pi_1^{M^3}, m \circ \langle \pi_2^{M^3}, \pi_3^{M^3} \rangle \rangle.$$

Binary Coproduct on a Category

... is a product on \mathbb{C}^{op} . It consists of $(I_1, I_2 \in \mathbb{C})$:

1. **(Binary) Coproduct object** $I_1 + I_2 \in \mathbb{C}$,
2. **Cotuple** $[-, -]_J^{I_1, I_2}: \mathbb{C}(I_1, J) \times \mathbb{C}(I_2, J) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(I_1 + I_2, J)$,
3. **Injections** $\iota_1^{I_1, I_2}: I_1 \rightarrow I_1 + I_2$ and $\iota_2^{I_1, I_2}: I_2 \rightarrow I_1 + I_2$.

They satisfy (we omit object annotations):

$$h \circ [f_1, f_2] = [h \circ f_1, h \circ f_2]$$

$$[f_1, f_2] \circ \iota_i = f_i$$

$$[\iota_1, \iota_2] = \text{id.}$$

Finite / Small Coproducts on a Category

Cotuple is also a bijection:

$$[-, -]_J^{I_1, I_2}: \mathbb{C}(I_1, J) \times \mathbb{C}(I_2, J) \cong \mathbb{C}(I_1 + I_2, J)$$

n-ary / small coproducts are defined similarly.

- Rel, Mon, Vect, Pre, Top, Cat have small coproducts.
- On Set, **disjoint union** gives small coproducts:

$$\coprod \{I_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} = \{(\lambda, x) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda, x \in I_\lambda\}$$

- On Grp (the category of groups and group homs) the **free product** of groups give small coproducts.

Exponential on a Category with a Binary Product

An exponential on a category \mathbb{C} with a binary product consists of $(I_1, I_2 \in \mathbb{C})$:

1. **Exponential object** $I_1 \Rightarrow I_2 \in \mathbb{C}$,
2. **Currying** $\lambda_J^{I_1, I_2}: \mathbb{C}(J \times I_1, I_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(J, I_1 \Rightarrow I_2)$,
3. **Evaluation** $\text{ev}^{I_1, I_2}: I_1 \Rightarrow I_2 \times I_1 \rightarrow I_2$.

They satisfy (below $f \times I_1 = \langle f \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle$):

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(f) \circ g &= \lambda(f \circ g \times I_1) \\ \text{ev} \circ \lambda(f) \times I_1 &= f \\ \lambda(\text{ev}) &= \text{id}.\end{aligned}$$

Exponential on a Category with a Binary Product

Currying is a bijection:

$$\lambda_J^{I_1, I_2}: \mathbb{C}(J \times I_1, I_2) \cong \mathbb{C}(J, I_1 \Rightarrow I_2).$$

Two exponentials on \mathbb{C} give isomorphic exponential objects.

- On Set , $\text{Set}(I_1, I_2)$ gives an exponential.
- On Pre , $(\text{Pre}(I_1, I_2), \leq')$ gives an exponential, where \leq' is the pointwise order of monotone functions.
- On Cat , **functor category** gives an exponential.
- Rel , Mon , Vect , Top do **not** have exponentials.

(Bi-) Cartesian Closed Category

A category \mathbb{C} with finite products and an exponential is called a **cartesian closed category (CCC)**.

A category \mathbb{C} with finite products, finite coproducts and an exponential is called a **bi-cartesian closed category (bi-CCC)**.

A **poset** \mathbb{C} equipped with finite products, finite coproducts and an exponential is called a **Heyting algebra**.

$$\frac{J \leq I_1 \dots J \leq I_n}{J \leq \bigwedge (I_1, \dots, I_n)} \quad \frac{I_1 \leq J \dots I_n \leq J}{\bigvee (I_1, \dots, I_n) \leq J} \quad \frac{I \wedge J \leq K}{I \leq J \Rightarrow K}$$

Every bi-CCC is Distributive

Proposition 6. *In any bi-CCC, the following is an isomorphism.*

$$[\iota_1 \times J, \dots, \iota_n \times J]: \coprod (I_1, \dots, I_n) \times J \rightarrow \coprod (I_1 \times J, \dots, I_n \times J)$$

The category with finite products and finite coproducts such that the above morphism is an isomorphism is called **distributive**.

Corollary 7. *Every bi-CCC is distributive.*

Corollary 8. *Every Heyting algebra is a distributive lattice.*

Categorical Approach to Logic

Lecture 2

Shin-ya Katsumata

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University

Kisoron Summer School, August 2013

Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

We introduce the **simply typed lambda calculus** (STLC) and the **$\beta\eta$ -equational theory** on it, and relate it to bi-CCCs.

STLC extends the **propositional fragment of NJ** with a **syntactic representation** of proofs (called **λ -term**):

$$\frac{\vdots}{\Gamma \vdash \tau} \Rightarrow \frac{\vdots}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{M} : \tau}.$$

The $\beta\eta$ -equational theory extends the proof reduction \triangleright of NJ to reflect the equality of computational contents of λ -terms / proofs.

Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

We assume:

variables $x, y, z, \dots \in \text{Var}$ (countably infinite)
base types $b, \dots \in B$

We define the set $\text{Typ}(B)$ of **types** by

$$\tau ::= b \mid \bigwedge (\tau, \dots, \tau) \mid \bigvee (\tau, \dots, \tau) \mid \tau \Rightarrow \tau.$$

We define a **context** to be a finite sequence

$$x_1: \tau_1, \dots, x_n: \tau_n$$

of variable-type pairs such that variables are all different.

Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

We define λ -terms by

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M} ::= x \\ (\wedge I, \wedge E) \quad | \quad (\mathcal{M}, \dots, \mathcal{M}) \mid \pi_i^{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n}(\mathcal{M}) \\ (\vee I, \vee E) \quad | \quad \iota_i(\mathcal{M}) \mid \delta(\mathcal{M}, x^{\tau_1}.\mathcal{M}, \dots, x^{\tau_n}.\mathcal{M}) \\ (\Rightarrow I, \Rightarrow E) \quad | \quad (\lambda x.\mathcal{M}) \mid (\mathcal{M} \mathcal{M})^{\tau} \end{array}$$

This way of annotating types is **non-standard!**

Pros: we can easily recover the types lost by elimination rules.

Bound / Free Variables and Substitution

The λ -terms of the form:

$$(\lambda x.M) \quad \text{or} \quad \delta(\dots, x^\tau.M, \dots)$$

binds any free occurrence of x in M .

λ -terms are identified up to the renaming of bound variables.

$M[N/x]$ is the λ -term obtained by substituting every free occurrence of x in M with N .

Before the substitution, we rename bound variables of M as necessary to avoid the capture of free variables in N .

Typing Rules

We inductively define a ternary relation $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ by

$$\frac{\Gamma_i = x : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau_1 \quad \dots \quad \Gamma \vdash M_n : \tau_n}{\Gamma \vdash (M_1, \dots, M_n) : \bigwedge (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \bigwedge (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_i^{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n}(M) : \tau_i}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau_i}{\Gamma \vdash \iota_i(M) : \bigvee (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \bigvee (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \quad \Gamma, x_1 : \tau_1 \vdash M_1 : \sigma \quad \dots \quad \Gamma, x_n : \tau_n \vdash M_n : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \delta(M, x_1^{\tau_1}.M_1, \dots, x_n^{\tau_n}.M_n) : \sigma}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash M : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x.M) : \tau \Rightarrow \sigma} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau \Rightarrow \sigma \quad \Gamma \vdash N : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (MN)^\tau : \sigma}$$

Typing Rules

Typing rules correspond to NJ:

$$\frac{\Gamma_i = \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau_n}{\Gamma \vdash \bigwedge (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \bigwedge (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)}{\Gamma \vdash \tau_i}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau_i}{\Gamma \vdash \bigvee (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \bigvee (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \quad \Gamma, \tau_1 \vdash \sigma \cdots \Gamma, \tau_n \vdash \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \sigma}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \tau \vdash \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \sigma} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau \Rightarrow \sigma \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \sigma}$$

Typing Rules

Lemma 1. $\Gamma, x: \tau \vdash M: \sigma$ and $\Gamma \vdash N: \tau$ implies $\Gamma \vdash M[N/x]: \sigma$.

Lemma 2. Each triple $\Gamma \vdash M: \tau$ is derived in a unique way.

$\beta\eta$ -Equational Theory on STLC

The $\beta\eta$ -equational theory equates the λ -terms that have equal computational contents.

The theory inductively defines a relation:

$$\Gamma \vdash M = N : \tau$$

It subsumes the proof reduction relation of NJ:

$$\Pi \frac{\vdots}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi} \triangleright \Pi' \frac{\vdots}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi}$$

Every $\{(M, N) \mid \Gamma \vdash M = N : \tau\}$ is designed to be an equivalence relation on $\{M \mid \Gamma \vdash M : \tau\}$.

$\beta\eta$ -Equational Theory on STLC

1. Equivalence relation

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash N = M : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash M = N : \tau} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash M = M : \tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M = N : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash N = L : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash M = L : \tau}$$

2. Congruence

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 = N_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash M_n = N_n : \tau_n}{\Gamma \vdash (M_1, \dots, M_n) = (N_1, \dots, N_n) : \bigwedge (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M = N : \bigwedge (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_i(M) = \pi_i(N) : \tau_i}$$

etc.

$\beta\eta$ -Equational Theory on STLC (\wedge, \Rightarrow)

3. $\beta\eta$ -equational axioms.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1: \tau_1, \dots, \Gamma \vdash M_n: \tau_n}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_i^{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n}(M_1, \dots, M_n) = M_i: \tau_i}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M: \wedge (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)}{\Gamma \vdash (\pi_1^{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n}(M), \dots, \pi_n^{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n}(M)) = M: \wedge (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: \tau \vdash M: \sigma \quad \Gamma \vdash N: \tau}{\Gamma \vdash ((\lambda x. M) N)^\tau = M[N/x]: \sigma} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M: \tau \Rightarrow \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. (M x)^\tau) = M: \tau \Rightarrow \sigma}$$

$\beta\eta$ -Equational Theory on STLC (\vee)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash N : \tau_i \quad \Gamma, x_1 : \tau_1 \vdash M_1 : \sigma \quad \dots \quad \Gamma, x_n : \tau_n \vdash M_n : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \delta(\iota_i(N), \dots, x_i^{\tau_i}.M_i, \dots) = M_i[N/x] : \sigma}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \bigvee (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)}{\Gamma \vdash \delta(M, \dots, x_i^{\tau_i}.\iota_i(x_i), \dots) = M : \bigvee (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)}$$

4. Commuting Conversion

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash N : \rho, \quad \Gamma \vdash M : \bigvee (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n), \quad \Gamma, x_i : \tau_i \vdash M_i : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash N[\delta(M, \dots, x_i^{\tau_i}.M_i, \dots)/x] = \delta(M, \dots, x_i^{\tau_i}.N[M_i/x], \dots) : \rho}$$

Interpreting STLC in a bi-CCC

Let \mathbb{C} be a bi-CCC.

We interpret types and terms of STLC by means of mathematical (categorical) structures.

- A **type** τ is interpreted as an **object** $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket \in \mathbb{C}$.
- A **judgement** $x_1: \tau_1, \dots, x_n: \tau_n \vdash M: \tau$ is interpreted as a **morphism**

$$\prod (\llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket \tau_n \rrbracket) \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket.$$

Interpreting Types

Fix an assignment of $\llbracket b \rrbracket_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ to each $b \in B$.

We extend it to an assignment of $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket \in \mathbb{C}$ to each $\tau \in \text{Typ}(B)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\llbracket b \rrbracket &= \llbracket b \rrbracket_0 \\ \llbracket \bigwedge (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rrbracket &= \prod \llbracket (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rrbracket \\ \llbracket \bigvee (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rrbracket &= \coprod \llbracket (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rrbracket \\ \llbracket \tau \Rightarrow \sigma \rrbracket &= \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \Rightarrow \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket.\end{aligned}$$

We further extend it to contexts:

$$\llbracket x_1: \tau_1, \dots, x_n: \tau_n \rrbracket = \prod (\llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket \tau_n \rrbracket).$$

Interpreting Judgements (\wedge, \Rightarrow)

Following the unique derivation of $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$, we construct a morphism $\llbracket M \rrbracket_{\tau}^{\Gamma} : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$ as follows:

$$\frac{\Gamma_i = (\chi, \tau)}{\pi_i : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau_i \rrbracket}$$

$$\frac{f_1 : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \quad f_n : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau_n \rrbracket}{\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \wedge (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rrbracket}}, \quad \frac{f : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \wedge (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rrbracket}{\pi_i \circ f : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau_i \rrbracket}$$

$$\frac{f : \llbracket \Gamma, \chi : \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket}{\lambda(f \circ \alpha) : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \times \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \Rightarrow \sigma \rrbracket}}, \quad \frac{f : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \Rightarrow \sigma \rrbracket \quad g : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket}{\text{ev} \circ \langle f, g \rangle : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket}}$$

Here $\alpha : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \times \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \Gamma, \chi : \tau \rrbracket$ is an iso.

Interpreting Judgements (\vee)

$$\frac{f: \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau_i \rrbracket}{\iota_i \circ f: \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \bigvee (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rrbracket}$$

$$\frac{f: \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \bigvee (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rrbracket \quad \llbracket g_i \rrbracket: \llbracket \Gamma, x_i: \tau_i \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket}{\llbracket g_1, \dots, g_n \rrbracket \circ d \circ \langle \text{id}_{\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket}, f \rangle: \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket}$$

The composite is the following diagram:

$$\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \times \llbracket \bigvee (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rrbracket \rightarrow \coprod \llbracket \Gamma, x_i: \tau_i \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket.$$

Soundness and Completeness

Theorem 3. *Suppose $\Gamma \vdash M: \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash N: \tau$. TFAE:*

1. $\Gamma \vdash M = N: \tau$.

2. *For any bi-CCC \mathbb{C} and an assignment $\llbracket - \rrbracket_0$ of an \mathbb{C} -object to each $b \in B$, the induced $\llbracket - \rrbracket$ satisfies*

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket_{\tau}^{\Gamma} = \llbracket N \rrbracket_{\tau}^{\Gamma}: \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket.$$

Soundness ($1 \Rightarrow 2$) is easy. The converse is in the next slide.

Completeness

Fix a distinguished $\square \in \text{Var}$. We define the category ΛB by

$$\Lambda B_0 = \text{Typ}(B), \quad \Lambda B(\tau, \sigma) = \{M^\equiv \mid \square: \tau \vdash M: \sigma\}.$$

Here, M^\equiv is the equivalence class of M by $\{(M, N) \mid \square: \tau \vdash M = N: \sigma\}$. The composition is **substitution**: $N^\equiv \circ M^\equiv = (N[M/\square]^\equiv)$.

Proposition 4. *ΛB is a bi-CCC.*

(Sketch of $2 \Rightarrow 1$) Let $\Gamma = x_1: \tau_1, \dots, x_n: \tau_n$. Show that the interpretation in ΛB with $\llbracket b \rrbracket_0 = b$ satisfies $\llbracket M \rrbracket_\sigma^\Gamma = (M[\pi_i \square / x_i]^\equiv)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket M \rrbracket_\sigma^\Gamma = \llbracket N \rrbracket_\sigma^\Gamma &\Rightarrow \square: \bigwedge (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \vdash M[\pi_i \square / x_i] = N[\pi_i \square / x_i]: \sigma \\ &\Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash M = N: \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Categorical Approach to Logic

Lecture 3

Shin-ya Katsumata

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University

Kisoron Summer School, August 2013

Functor

A **functor** F from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{D} ($F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$) consists of:

1. A mapping $F_0: \mathbb{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_0$
2. A mapping $F_1: \mathbb{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_1$.

Both are written F for simplicity. They satisfy:

$$f: I \rightarrow J \Rightarrow Ff: FI \rightarrow FJ, \quad F(\text{id}_I) = \text{id}_{FI}, \quad F(g \circ f) = Fg \circ Ff.$$

Thus F_1 can be restricted to

$$F_{I,J}: \mathbb{C}(I, J) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(FI, FJ).$$

Examples of Functors

Forgetful functor $U: \text{Mon}, \text{Vect}, \text{Top}, \text{Pre} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

Free construction $F: \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Mon}, \text{Vect}$

Functors $\text{Eq}, \text{Ch}: \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Pre}$:

$$\text{Eq } I = (I, \{(i, i) \mid i \in I\}), \quad \text{Ch } I = (I, I \times I).$$

Functors $D, iD: \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Top}$:

$$D I = (I, 2^I), \quad iD = (I, \{\emptyset, I\}).$$

The Category of Small Categories

For $F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and $G: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$, we define $G \circ F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ by

$$(G \circ F)_0 I = G_0(F_0 I), \quad (G \circ F)_1 f = G_1(F_1 f).$$

This is indeed a functor. We define the category **Cat** by

$$\text{Cat}_0 = \{\text{small cats.}\} \quad \text{Cat}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D}) = \{F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D} \text{ functor}\}.$$

Some related functors:

- $C: \text{Pre, Mon} \rightarrow \text{Cat}.$
- **Obj**: $\text{Cat} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ mapping \mathbb{C} to $\mathbb{C}_0.$

Adjunction

An **adjunction** consists of the following data:

1. Functors $L: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and $R: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
2. A bijection for each $I \in \mathbb{C}, J \in \mathbb{D}$:

$$\varphi_{I,J}: \mathbb{D}(LI, J) \cong \mathbb{C}(I, RJ)$$

They satisfy:

$$\varphi(h \circ g \circ Lf) = Rh \circ \varphi(g) \circ f.$$

The notation for an adjunction is $(L, R, \varphi): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$.

Adjunction

$L \dashv R \dots \exists \varphi. (L, R, \varphi): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is an adjunction

$L: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a **left adjoint** $\dots \exists R: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}. L \dashv R$

$R: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a **right adjoint** $\dots \exists L: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}. L \dashv R$

$\eta_I = \varphi(\text{id}_{LI}): I \rightarrow RLI \dots$ the **unit** of $(L, R, \varphi): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$

$\varepsilon_I = \varphi^{-1}(\text{id}_{RI}): LRI \rightarrow I \dots$ the **counit** of $(L, R, \varphi): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$

Lemma 1. *If (L, R, φ) and (L, R', φ') are adjunctions then for every $I \in \mathbb{D}$, $RI \cong R'I$ and this isomorphism is natural on I .*

Representation of $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{L} -, \mathbf{I})$

Let $I \in \mathbb{D}$ and $L: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$.

A **representation** of $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{L} -, \mathbf{I})$ consists of:

1. **Representing object** $R \in \mathbb{C}$,
2. **Counit** $\varepsilon: LR \rightarrow I$.

They satisfy: the following is a **bijection** for all $J \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$\varepsilon \circ L -: \mathbb{C}(J, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(LJ, I)$$

Representation of $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{L} -, \mathbf{I})$ with Inverse

Let $I \in \mathbb{D}$ and $L: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$.

A **representation** of $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{L} -, \mathbf{I})$ with **inverse** consists of:

1. **Representing object** $R \in \mathbb{C}$,
2. A mapping $\varphi_J: \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{L} J, \mathbf{I}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(J, R)$,
3. **Counit** $\varepsilon: L R \rightarrow I$.

They satisfy:

$$\varphi_J(f \circ L g) = \varphi_K(f) \circ g, \quad \varepsilon \circ L(\varphi_J(f)) = f, \quad \varphi_R(\varepsilon) = \text{id}_R.$$

(this is a local terminology in this document)

Universal Arrow

Let $I \in \mathbb{D}$ and $L: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$.

A **universal arrow** from L to I consists of:

1. $R \in \mathbb{C}$,
2. $\varepsilon: LR \rightarrow I$.

They satisfy: for all $J \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f: LJ \rightarrow I$, there exists a **unique** $m: J \rightarrow R$ such that $\varepsilon \circ Lm = f$.

Representation of $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{L} -, \mathbf{I}) \cong$ Universal Arrow

Theorem 2. *Let $I \in \mathbb{D}$ and $L: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$. There is a bijective correspondence between:*

- 1. A representation (R, ε) of $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{L} -, \mathbf{I})$.*
- 2. A representation $(R, \varphi, \varepsilon)$ of $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{L} -, \mathbf{I})$ with inv.*
- 3. A universal arrow (R, ε) from L to I .*

Representation of $\mathbb{C}(I, R -) \cong$ Universal Arrow

Let $I \in \mathbb{C}$ and $R: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. A pair $(L \in \mathbb{D}, \eta: I \rightarrow RL)$ is:

1. a **representation** of $\mathbb{C}(I, R -)$ if $R - \circ \eta: \mathbb{D}(L, J) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(I, RJ)$ is a bijection for every $J \in \mathbb{D}$.
2. a **universal arrow** from I to R if for every $J \in \mathbb{D}$ and $f: I \rightarrow RJ$, there exists a unique $m: L \rightarrow J$ such that $Rm \circ \eta = f$.

Theorem 3. *Representations of $\mathbb{C}(I, R -)$ bijectively correspond to universal arrows from I to R .*

Adjunction = Representations of all $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L} -, \mathbb{I})$

Theorem 4. *Let $L: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$. There is a bijective correspondence between:*

- 1. An assignment of a representation $(R\mathbb{I}, \varphi, \varepsilon^{\mathbb{I}})$ of $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L} -, \mathbb{I})$ with inv. to each $\mathbb{I} \in \mathbb{D}$.*
- 2. An adjunction $(L, R, \varphi): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$.*

A Binary Product on $\mathbb{C} = \text{Reps. of all } \mathbb{C}^2(\Delta -, I)$

Define $\Delta: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ by $\Delta I = (I, I)$ and $\Delta f = (f, f)$.

Suppose that \mathbb{C} has a binary product. Then every

$$(I_1 \times I_2, \langle -, - \rangle^{I_1, I_2}, (\pi_1^{I_1, I_2}, \pi_2^{I_1, I_2}))$$

is a representation of $\mathbb{C}^2(\Delta -, (I_1, I_2))$ with inv.

Theorem 5. *A binary product on \mathbb{C} is exactly an assignment of a representation of $\mathbb{C}^2(\Delta -, I)$ (with inv.) to each $I \in \mathbb{C}^2$.*

Theorem 6. *A binary product on \mathbb{C} bijectively corresponds to an adjunction $(\Delta, \times, \varphi): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$.*

The Adjunction $- \times I \dashv I \Rightarrow -$

Suppose that \mathbb{C} has a binary product $\times: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and an exponential. Every $I \in \mathbb{C}$ determines $- \times I: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$(I_1 \Rightarrow I_2, \lambda^{I_1, I_2}, \text{ev}^{I_1, I_2})$$

is exactly a representation of $\mathbb{D}(- \times I_1, I_2)$ with inv.

Theorem 7. *Let \mathbb{C} be a category with a binary product. An exponential on \mathbb{C} is exactly an assignment of a representation of $\mathbb{D}(- \times I_1, I_2)$ (with inv.) to each $I_1, I_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Theorem 8. *An exponential on \mathbb{C} consists of an adjunction $(- \times I, I \Rightarrow -, \lambda^I): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for every $I \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Strict Map of Adjunction

Let $(L, R, \varphi): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and $(L', R', \varphi'): \mathbb{C}' \rightarrow \mathbb{D}'$ be adjunctions. A **strict map of adjunctions** consists of

1. $F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}'$ and $G: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}'$

such that

$$G \circ L = L' \circ F, \quad F \circ R = R' \circ G, \quad F\varphi(f) = \varphi'(Gf).$$

Strict Preservation of bi-CC structure

Let \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D} be bi-CCCs. We say that $F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ **strictly preserves the bi-CC structure** if the following are strict map of adjunctions.

$$(F, F^n): (\Delta^{\mathbb{C}}, \prod^{\mathbb{C}}, \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (\Delta^{\mathbb{D}}, \prod^{\mathbb{D}}, \varphi^{\mathbb{D}})$$

$$(F^n, F): (\coprod^{\mathbb{C}}, \Delta^{\mathbb{C}}, \varphi^{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (\coprod^{\mathbb{D}}, \Delta^{\mathbb{D}}, \varphi^{\mathbb{D}})$$

$$(F, F): (- \times I, I \Rightarrow -, \lambda^I) \rightarrow (- \times FI, FI \Rightarrow -, \lambda^{FI}) \quad (I \in \mathbb{C})$$

Another way to put this: F commutes with $\prod, \coprod, \Rightarrow$.

The Category of bi-CCCs

We define biCCCat by

$$(\text{biCCCat})_0 = \{\mathbb{C} \text{ small bi-CCC}\}$$

$$\text{biCCCat}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D}) = \{F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D} \text{ strictly preserve bi-CC struct.}\}$$

We write $\text{Obj}: \text{biCCCat} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ extracting the object part.

For instance, $\Lambda B \in \text{biCCCat}$, and $\text{Obj}(\Lambda B) = \text{Typ}(B)$.

Universal Property of ΛB

Let $\mathbb{C} \in \text{biCCCat}$ and $\llbracket - \rrbracket_0: B \rightarrow \text{Obj}(\mathbb{C})$. We write $\llbracket - \rrbracket$ for the interpretation of the STLC over B obtained from $\llbracket - \rrbracket_0$.

1. $\llbracket - \rrbracket$ extends to a morphism in $\text{biCCCat}(\Lambda B, \mathbb{C})$.
2. $\text{Obj}(\llbracket - \rrbracket) \circ \eta_B: B \hookrightarrow \text{Typ } B \rightarrow \text{Obj}(\mathbb{C}) = \llbracket - \rrbracket_0$.
3. If $F: \Lambda B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $\text{Obj}(F) \circ \eta_B = \llbracket - \rrbracket_0$, then $F = \llbracket - \rrbracket$.

Thus $(\Lambda B, \eta_B: B \hookrightarrow \text{Typ}(B))$ is a **universal arrow** from B to Obj .

Theorem 9. *We have $\Lambda \dashv \text{Obj}$.*

STLC over B / $\beta\eta$ -equality = **free bi-CCC over B**

Universal Property of ΛB

Though we characterised Λ as a left adjoint to $\text{Obj}: \text{biCCCat} \rightarrow \text{Set}$, $(\Lambda B, \eta_B: B \hookrightarrow \text{Typ}(B))$ satisfies the universal property for large bi-CCCs as well.

Theorem 10. *For any bi-CCC \mathbb{C} and an assignment of $\llbracket b \rrbracket_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ to each $b \in B$, $\llbracket - \rrbracket: \Lambda B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the unique functor that strictly preserves the bi-CC structure and that satisfies $\llbracket b \rrbracket = \llbracket b \rrbracket_0$.*

Categorical Approach to Logic

Lecture 4

Shin-ya Katsumata

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University

Kisoron Summer School, August 2013

Intuitionistic First-Order Predicate Logic NJ

Each NJ is built on a **language** $\Sigma, \Pi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Set}$:

- Σ_n ... the set of n -ary function symbols
- Π_n ... the set of n -ary predicate symbols

For instance, the NJ built on the language

$$\Sigma_0 = \{z\}, \quad \Sigma_1 = \{s\}, \quad \Pi_2 = \{\leq\}, \quad \text{otherwise } \Sigma_n = \Pi_n = \emptyset$$

can be used to talk about natural numbers and their inequality.

Intuitionistic First-Order Predicate Logic NJ

The NJ over a language $L = (\Sigma, \Pi)$ specifies three concepts:

1. **(L-)Terms** $x_1, \dots, x_l \vdash t$.
2. **(L-)Formulas** $x_1, \dots, x_l \vdash \varphi$.
3. **Sequents** $x_1, \dots, x_l \mid \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m \Rightarrow \varphi$.

The leading part x_1, \dots, x_l (**context**) is a finite sequence of distinct variables.

Contexts are ranged over by Δ .

Terms

$$\frac{\Delta_i = x}{\Delta \vdash x} \quad \frac{\Delta \vdash t_1 \quad \dots \quad \Delta \vdash t_n \quad f \in \Sigma_n}{\Delta \vdash f(t_1, \dots, t_n)}$$

Formulas

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash t_1 \quad \dots \quad \Delta \vdash t_n \quad p \in \Pi_n}{\Delta \vdash p(t_1, \dots, t_n)} \quad \frac{\Delta, x \vdash \varphi}{\Delta \vdash \forall x. \varphi} \quad \frac{\Delta, x \vdash \varphi}{\Delta \vdash \exists x. \varphi}$$

and the rules for $\wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow$.

Inference Rules ($\Gamma = \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$)

$$\frac{\Delta, x | \Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi \quad \Delta \vdash \varphi_1 \quad \dots \quad \Delta \vdash \varphi_n}{\Delta | \Gamma \Rightarrow \forall x. \varphi} \quad \frac{\Delta | \Gamma \Rightarrow \forall x. \varphi \quad \Delta \vdash t}{\Delta | \Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi[t/x]}$$

$$\frac{\Delta | \Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi[t/x]}{\Delta | \Gamma \Rightarrow \exists x. \varphi} \quad \frac{\Delta | \Gamma \Rightarrow \exists x. \varphi \quad \Delta, x | \Gamma, \varphi \Rightarrow \varphi' \quad \Delta \vdash \varphi'}{\Delta | \Gamma \Rightarrow \varphi'}$$

(red part: eigenvariable condition)

and the rules for $\wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow$.

Lawvere's Hyperdoctrine

$$|-| \circ p: \mathbb{B}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_L \rightarrow \text{Cat}$$

- Mod_L is the category of **models** of propositional L-logic.
- \mathbb{B} is the category interpreting **terms / substitutions**.
- p assigns to $I \in \mathbb{B}$ the poset pI of **predicates** over I , which has the structure of L-model.
- p captures the situation that term substitutions **contravariantly act** on predicates.
- $|-| \circ p$ satisfy certain properties for modelling **universal / existential quantification**.

Hyperdoctrine for NJ

We employ $|-|: \mathbf{Heyt} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ for hyperdoctrines for NJ.

Definition 1. *A hyperdoctrine for NJ consists of:*

1. *a category \mathbb{B} with **finite products**,*
2. *a **functor** $p: \mathbb{B}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Heyt}$.*

They satisfy:

1. *for each projection $\pi_1^{I,J}: I \times J \rightarrow I$ in \mathbb{B} , $|p \pi_1^{I,J}|: |p I| \rightarrow |p(I \times J)|$ has the **left adjoint** $\exists^{I,J}$ and **right adjoint** $\forall^{I,J}$,*
2. *these adjoints satisfy **Beck-Chevalley condition**.*

Example: Powerset Hyperdoctrine

We define $2^-: \text{Set}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Heyt}$ by

$$I \mapsto (2^I, \subseteq), \quad (f: I \mapsto J) \mapsto (f^{-1}: 2^J \rightarrow 2^I).$$

Theorem 2. *The above functor is a hyperdoctrine for NJ.*

The left / right adjoints $\exists^{I,J} \dashv \mid 2^{\pi_1^I J} \mid \dashv \forall^{I,J}$ are

$$\forall^{I,J} X = \{i \in I \mid \forall j. (i, j) \in X\}, \quad \exists^{I,J} X = \{i \in I \mid \exists j. (i, j) \in X\}.$$

Example: Heyting-Valued Hyperdoctrine

Let H be a complete Heyting algebra (cHA). The set of functions $I \Rightarrow H$ is also a cHA by the pointwise $\wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow$.

We define $- \Rightarrow H: \text{Set}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Heyt}$ by

$$I \mapsto I \Rightarrow H, \quad (f: I \rightarrow J) \mapsto (- \circ f: J \Rightarrow H \rightarrow I \Rightarrow H).$$

Theorem 3. *The above functor is a hyperdoctrine for NJ.*

The left / right adjoints $\exists^{I,J} \dashv \mid \pi_1^{I,J} \Rightarrow H \mid \dashv \forall^{I,J}$ are

$$(\forall^{I,J} X)(i) = \bigwedge_{j \in J} X(i, j), \quad (\exists^{I,J} X)(i) = \bigvee_{j \in J} X(i, j).$$

Base category of Hyperdoctrine of $\mathsf{NJ}^{\Sigma, \Pi}$

We fix an enumeration $\overbrace{v_1, \dots, v_l}^{\Delta_l}, \dots$ of variables.

Define \mathbb{B}_{Σ} by:

$$(\mathbb{B}_{\Sigma})_0 = \mathsf{N}, \quad \mathbb{B}_{\Sigma}(l, m) = \{(t_1, \dots, t_m) \mid \Delta_l \vdash t_i\}$$

$$\text{id}_l = (v_1, \dots, v_l), \quad (s_1, \dots, s_n) \circ (t_1, \dots, t_m) = (s_1[t_i/v_i], \dots, s_n[t_i/v_i])$$

For $t: l \rightarrow m$, we write t^* for the **substitution**

$$- [t_1/v_1, \dots, t_m/v_m]$$

to terms / formulas.

Proposition 4. \mathbb{B}_{Σ} has finite products.

Some Lemmas

For any $f: l \rightarrow m$ in \mathbb{B}_Σ ,

1. $\Delta_m \vdash \varphi$ implies $\Delta_l \vdash t^* \varphi$.
2. $\Delta_m \mid \varphi \Rightarrow \varphi'$ implies $\Delta_l \mid t^* \varphi \Rightarrow t^* \varphi'$.
3. t^* commutes with \bigwedge , \bigvee , \rightarrow , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} t^* \left(\bigwedge (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_l) \right) &= \bigwedge (t^* \varphi_1, \dots, t^* \varphi_l) \\ t^* \left(\bigvee (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_l) \right) &= \bigvee (t^* \varphi_1, \dots, t^* \varphi_l) \\ t^* (\varphi_1 \rightarrow \varphi_2) &= t^* \varphi_1 \rightarrow t^* \varphi_2. \end{aligned}$$

Functor of Hyperdoctrine of $\mathbb{N}J^{\Sigma, \Pi}$

For $l \in \mathbb{B}_{\Sigma}$, define $q_{\Sigma, \Pi}l$ by

$$q_{\Sigma, \Pi}l = (\{\varphi \mid \Delta_l \vdash \varphi\}, \{(\varphi, \varphi') \mid \Delta_l \mid \varphi \vdash \varphi'\}).$$

We write \lesssim_l for the preorder part of $q_{\Sigma, \Pi}l$.

Proposition 5. *Each $q_{\Sigma, \Pi}l$ is a bi-cartesian closed preorder.*

For $t: l \rightarrow m$ in \mathbb{B}_{Σ} , define $q_{\Sigma, \Pi}t: q_{\Sigma, \Pi}m \rightarrow q_{\Sigma, \Pi}l$ by

$$q_{\Sigma, \Pi}t(\varphi) = t^*\varphi.$$

Proposition 6. *Each $q_{\Sigma, \Pi}t$ is a functor that strictly preserves the bi-cartesian closed structure.*

Functor of Hyperdoctrine of $\mathsf{NJ}^{\Sigma, \Pi}$

The quotient of $q_{\Sigma, \Pi} \mathfrak{l}$ by $\lesssim_{\mathfrak{l}} \cap \gtrsim_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is a Heyting algebra, say $p_{\Sigma, \Pi} \mathfrak{l}$.

Theorem 7. *The assignment $\mathfrak{l} \mapsto p_{\Sigma, \Pi} \mathfrak{l}$ extends to a functor*

$$p_{\Sigma, \Pi}: \mathbb{B}_{\Sigma}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Heyt.}$$

Below we identify a formula $\Delta_{\mathfrak{l}} \vdash \varphi$ and its equivalence class by $\lesssim_{\mathfrak{l}} \cap \gtrsim_{\mathfrak{l}}$.

We often write p for $p_{\Sigma, \Pi}$.

Adjoints of Hyperdoctrine of $\mathsf{NJ}^{\Sigma, \Pi}$

The projection $\pi_1^{l,m}$ in \mathbb{B}_Σ is (v_1, \dots, v_l) .

$$|p\pi_1^{l,m}|: |p\mathbb{1}| \rightarrow |p(l+m)|, \quad |p\pi_1^{l,m}|(\varphi) = (\pi_1^{l,m})^* \varphi = \varphi.$$

In $\mathsf{NJ}^{\Sigma, \Pi}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_l \mid \varphi \vdash \forall v_{l+1}. \varphi' &\Leftrightarrow \Delta_{l+1} \mid \varphi = |p\pi_1^{l,1}|(\varphi) \vdash \varphi' \\ \Delta_l \mid \exists v_{l+1}. \varphi \vdash \varphi' &\Leftrightarrow \Delta_{l+1} \mid \varphi \vdash \varphi' = |p\pi_1^{l,1}|(\varphi') \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 8. $(\exists v_{l+1}. -) \dashv |p\pi_1^{l,1}| \dashv (\forall v_{l+1}. -)$.

Universal / existential quantification \Leftrightarrow R / L adjoint of $p(\pi)$

Beck-Chevalley Condition

The right adjoint $\forall^{I,J}$ of $|p \pi_1^{I,J}|: |p I| \rightarrow |p(I \times J)|$ satisfies **Beck-Chevalley condition** if for any $f: I \rightarrow K$,

$$|p f| \circ \forall^{K,J} = \forall^{I,J} \circ |p(f \times J)|.$$

Similarly, the left adjoint $\exists^{I,J}$ of $|p \pi_1^{I,J}|: |p I| \rightarrow |p(I \times J)|$ satisfies **Beck-Chevalley condition** if for any $f: I \rightarrow K$,

$$|p f| \circ \exists^{K,J} = \exists^{I,J} \circ |p(f \times J)|.$$

Beck-Chevalley \Leftrightarrow Quantifier commutes with substitution

(They are a special version of a more general BC condition.)

Beck-Chevalley Condition

For $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m): l \rightarrow m$ in \mathbb{B}_Σ ,

$$t \times 1 = (t_1, \dots, t_m, v_{l+1}), \quad |p(t \times 1)|(\varphi) = \varphi[t_i/v_i, v_{l+1}/v_{m+1}].$$

Theorem 9. $\forall v_{m+1}. -$ and $\exists v_{m+1}. -$ satisfy Beck-Chevalley conditions.

For any $t: l \rightarrow m$ in \mathbb{B}_Σ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |p t| \circ (\forall v_{m+1}. -)(\varphi) &= (\forall v_{m+1}. \varphi)[t_i/v_i] \\ &= (\forall v_{l+1}. \varphi[v_{l+1}/v_{m+1}])([t_i/v_i]) \\ &= (\forall v_{l+1}. \varphi[t_i/v_i, v_{l+1}/v_{m+1}]) \\ &= (\forall v_{l+1}. -) \circ |p(t \times 1)|(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

The Hyperdoctrine of $\mathsf{NJ}^{\Sigma, \Pi}$

Theorem 10. *The functor $p_{\Sigma, \Pi}: \mathbb{B}_{\Sigma}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Heyt}$ constructed from $\mathsf{NJ}^{\Sigma, \Pi}$ is a hyperdoctrine for NJ .*

Structure of NJ

Hyperdoctrines for NJ captures the structures that are common among all NJs.

Each $\text{NJ}^{\Sigma, \Pi}$ is interpreted using a Σ, Π -structure.

Definition 11. *Let $p: \mathbb{B}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Heyt}$ be a hyperdoctrine for NJ. A Σ, Π -structure in p consists of*

1. a *universe* $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbb{B}$,
2. a morphism $\llbracket f \rrbracket: \mathcal{U}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ for every $f \in \Sigma n$,
3. an object $\llbracket p \rrbracket \in p(\mathcal{U}^n)$ for every $p \in \Pi n$.

Interpretation of $\text{NJ}^{\Sigma, \Pi}$

The following is the principle of the interpretation of $\text{NJ}^{\Sigma, \Pi}$:

$$\begin{aligned}x_1, \dots, x_l \vdash t & \dots \llbracket t \rrbracket : \mathcal{U}^l \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \\x_1, \dots, x_l \vdash \varphi & \dots \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \in \mathfrak{p} \mathcal{U}^l \\x_1, \dots, x_l \mid \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m \Rightarrow \varphi & \dots \bigwedge (\llbracket \varphi_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket \varphi_m \rrbracket) \leq \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \quad (\mathfrak{p} \mathcal{U}^l).\end{aligned}$$

Question 12. *Fill out the detail of the interpretation.*

Soundness and Completeness

Theorem 13. *Let Δ be a context and $\Delta \vdash \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \varphi$ be formulas in $\text{NJ}^{\Sigma, \Pi}$. TFAE:*

1. $\Delta \mid \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \Rightarrow \varphi$.
2. *For any hyperdoctrine $p: \mathbb{B}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Heyt}$ for NJ and Σ, Π -structure $(\mathcal{U}, \llbracket - \rrbracket)$, the following holds in $p(\mathcal{U}^{|\Delta|})$:*

$$\bigwedge (\llbracket \varphi_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket \varphi_n \rrbracket) \leq \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket.$$

Proof. (1 \Rightarrow 2) Easy. (2 \Rightarrow 1) Use the hyperdoctrine $p_{\Sigma, \Pi}$ and the **canonical Σ, Π -structure** in this hyperdoctrine. \square